The Politics of Diaspora Identity: Negotiating Belonging and Representation in Host and Home Countries

Anushka Dhar* and Prof. (Dr.) Nagalaxmi M.Raman**

*Research Assistant, MA(IR), Amity Institute of International Studies, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh.
**Director and Head, Amity Institute of International Studies, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh

"Diaspora identity is a fluid construct, shaped by the interplay of host and home country dynamics." - Vijay Prashad

Abstract:
This research paper explores the complex dynamics of diaspora identity politics, focusing on the negotiation of belonging and representation in both host and home countries. Diaspora communities often grapple with questions of identity, allegiance, and cultural preservation as they navigate between their ancestral roots and adopted homelands. Drawing on interdisciplinary perspectives from sociology, political science, and cultural studies, this paper examines how diaspora communities negotiate identity politics in their host countries and engage in political activism based on shared ethnic, religious, or cultural identities, exploring issues of representation, inclusion, and diversity within diaspora movements. It also examines how diasporas negotiate their identities within diverse socio-political contexts. Through case studies and theoretical analysis, the paper sheds light on the challenges and opportunities faced by diaspora communities in asserting their cultural heritage and political agency in host and home countries.
Keywords: Diaspora, Identity Politics, Representation, Belonging, Cultural Heritage, Transnationalism

"Sometimes we feel we straddle two cultures; at other times, that we fall between two stools."
— Salman Rushdie, Imaginary Homelands: Essays and Criticism 1981-

I.   Introduction:

The concept of diaspora identity is a complex and intricate tapestry that is crafted from the threads of dual heritage, the retention of culture, and the perpetual negotiation of belonging in a world that is both shrinking and expanding at the same time. When we delve into the realm of diaspora identity politics, we are immersing ourselves in the very core of personal and collective experiences that transcend borders. These experiences encapsulate the struggle for recognition, the pursuit of political influence, and the assertion of cultural distinctiveness within both host and home countries. The diaspora, who often maintain a deep connection to their place of origin, face the challenge of preserving their cultural heritage while simultaneously integrating into the social fabric of their adopted nations. This dynamic creates a unique political dimension wherein diasporic communities must navigate their space and voice, delicately balancing between assimilation and the preservation of their identity.

Within host countries, the politics of diaspora identity involves a complex interplay with notions of citizenship, acceptance, and representation. These communities confront issues of racism, discrimination, and exclusion, which can shape their political mobilization and participation. They often form advocacy groups, engage in lobbying efforts, and strive to make their voices heard in the public sphere, seeking to influence policies that affect their lives directly. The question of belonging becomes central, as they grapple with the extent to which they can and should integrate into the host society, what it means to be a part of it, and how to retain a distinct cultural identity without being marginalized.

The concept of diaspora identity is a complex and intricate tapestry that is crafted from the threads of dual heritage, the retention of culture, and the perpetual negotiation of belonging in a world that is both shrinking and expanding at the same time. When we delve into the realm of diaspora identity politics, we are immersing ourselves in the very core of personal and collective experiences that transcend borders. These experiences encapsulate the struggle for

recognition, the pursuit of political influence, and the assertion of cultural distinctiveness within both host and home countries. The diaspora, who often maintain a deep connection to their place of origin, face the challenge of preserving their cultural heritage while simultaneously integrating into the social fabric of their adopted nations. This dynamic creates a unique political dimension wherein diasporic communities must navigate their space and voice, delicately balancing between assimilation and the preservation of their identity.

Within host countries, the politics of diaspora identity involves a complex dance with notions of citizenship, acceptance, and representation. These communities confront issues of racism, discrimination, and exclusion, which can shape their political mobilization and participation. They often form advocacy groups, engage in lobbying efforts, and strive to make their voices heard in the public sphere, seeking to influence policies that affect their lives directly. The question of belonging becomes central, as they grapple with the extent to which they can and should integrate into the host society, what it means to be a part of it, and how to retain a distinct cultural identity without being marginalized.

Conversely, in their countries of origin, the diaspora engages in a different political dialogue, one that deals with the right to participate in the political processes from afar. This could involve voting rights, property ownership, and the representation of their interests. The notion of "long-distance nationalism" emerges, where diaspora members may support political movements or parties in their homeland, often driven by nostalgia and the desire to contribute to the development of their country of origin. However, this transnational political engagement can also lead to tensions, as questions arise about the legitimacy of their influence and the implications of their actions for those residing in the homeland.

The diaspora identity is also shaped by the global political context, where international relations, migration policies, and economic factors play significant roles. The interplay between global and local politics can either facilitate or hinder the efforts of diasporic communities to carve out their political niche. The rise of transnational networks, advancements in communication technology, and increased mobility have transformed the way diasporas engage with their host and home countries, allowing for more dynamic and immediate participation in political discourses.

In this realm of complex identities and allegiances, the diaspora becomes a powerful force that can bridge cultural divides, foster understanding, and contribute to the enrichment of both their host and home countries. They bring unique perspectives to political discussions, challenge monolithic narratives of nationhood, and redefine notions of citizenship and belonging in a multicultural world. As such, the politics of diaspora identity is not just about the struggle for space and voice; it is about reimagining the very fabric of political communities in an age of transnationalism and cultural hybridity.

The exploration of diaspora politics thus reveals a multifaceted landscape of identity formation, community building, and political activism. It is a testament to the resilience and adaptability of human societies, showcasing how diverse groups navigate the complexities of modern political life while striving to maintain a sense of home, heritage, and hope for a more inclusive future. As we delve into the nuances of diaspora identity politics, we uncover the stories of individuals and communities who, through their daily negotiations of belonging and representation, are reshaping the contours of political engagement and cultural expression across the globe.

Historical Context of Diaspora Formation:

Historically, the term 'diaspora' was first used to describe the migrations of Jewish people after the fall of Jerusalem in the sixth century B.C.E. Since then, many other diasporas have been recorded, such as the African diaspora during the transatlantic slave trade and the Irish diaspora due to famine and poor economic conditions. The causes of diaspora through migration and displacement are deeply rooted in a variety of social, economic, political, and environmental factors. Throughout history, individuals and communities have been displaced by man-made disasters such as wars, conflicts, and persecution, as well as natural disasters, which are increasingly exacerbated by climate change. The absence of local employment opportunities, poverty, and the lack of safety are significant drivers that force people to leave their homelands in search of better prospects elsewhere. Economic migration is another key cause, where individuals voluntarily move to improve their standard of living, access better educational opportunities, or join family members who have migrated before them. The twentieth century saw some of the largest migration movements, especially from the Middle East, forming substantial diasporas that contribute to the

global development narrative today. As the world becomes more interconnected, forced displacement is rising both within countries and across borders, with violence, human rights violations, and natural disasters contributing to the migration flows. Diasporas, often referred to as expatriates or transnational communities, play a pivotal role in connecting their countries of origin and settlement, influencing development, policy, and cultural exchange processes. These diaspora communities not only provide remittances but also share knowledge, skills, and cultural practices, enriching host societies and maintaining ties with their homelands. They are often characterized by a strong sense of identity and solidarity, with a commitment to the improvement of both their new and original homes. This complex web of causes and effects underscores the multifaceted nature of migration and the formation of diasporas, reflecting the challenges and opportunities of global mobility.

Throughout history, there have been numerous diaspora movements that have significantly impacted the cultural, social, and political landscapes of both the originating and host territories. The Jewish Diaspora is one of the most well-documented examples, beginning with the Babylonian Exile and continuing over centuries with migrations due to expulsion and discrimination.

Another historical example includes the African Diaspora, which was largely the result of the transatlantic slave trade, forcibly bringing large populations of African people to the Americas . Similarly, the Armenian Diaspora was largely formed as a consequence of the Armenian Genocide, leading to the dispersion of Armenians across the world.

The Indian Diaspora, which has become one of the largest and most influential diasporas globally, originated from migrations due to various reasons, including labor, trade, and colonialism. The Indian diaspora's success is attributed to its large numbers and the significant contributions of its members across various fields.

Other notable diasporas include the Palestinian Diaspora, resulting from the Arab-Israeli conflict, and the Latin American Diaspora, with a significant number of people migrating to Spain and other countries seeking better opportunities.

These diasporas have often formed tight-knit communities in their new countries while maintaining cultural ties with their homelands. They have contributed to nation-building projects in exile, as seen with historical figures such as

Garibaldi, Lenin, Gandhi, and Ho Chi Minh, who spent time abroad influencing their country's politics from afar.

Collectively, these movements illustrate the complex and multifaceted nature of diaspora experiences, where communities navigate identity, belonging, and adaptation in their new homes while contributing to a global cultural and socio-economic exchange.

Colonialism and globalization have played critical roles in shaping the formation and development of diasporas around the world. Colonialism was instrumental in creating diasporas through conquest and the encounters between Europeans and native peoples, which led to the establishment of societies distinct from their original models. For example, the African diaspora's formation is intrinsically linked to the globalized and racialized capitalism that arose from slavery and colonial labor policies, profoundly impacting the spread of African cultures.

Globalization further accelerated the diaspora phenomenon by enabling the flow of commodities, ideas, and people across borders, leading to the creation of transnational communities maintaining their ethnic identities. It has influenced diaspora dynamics, allowing them to retain cultural production and identity formation as part of a global network.

These processes have facilitated the impact of diasporas both in their homelands and countries of residence, where they contribute to social, political, and economic developments. Diaspora communities are noted for their role in contemporary social processes, often acting as bridges between their ancestral lands and places of settlement, influencing policy, and fostering bilateral relations.

The relationship between colonialism and globalization is complex, as the expansion of power and territory under colonialism laid the groundwork for the interconnectedness of globalization. This has resulted in the powerful benefiting at the expense of others, yet also enabling the diaspora communities to challenge these dynamics and contribute significantly to the global political economy.

Diasporas, therefore, are both products and agents of colonialism and globalization, shaped by historical forces and actively shaping the world through their diverse cultural heritages, economic contributions, and political engagements.

Cultural Hybridity and Identity Formation:

Cultural hybridity plays a central role in the intricate process of identity formation within diaspora communities, as individuals navigate the intersections of multiple cultural influences to construct their sense of self. Diaspora members often find themselves at the crossroads of diverse cultural traditions, languages, customs, and beliefs, resulting in a dynamic and fluid process of identity negotiation. This negotiation involves the blending, adaptation, and redefinition of cultural elements from both host and home countries, leading to the emergence of new hybrid identities that transcend traditional boundaries. In the context of cultural hybridity, individuals draw upon a rich tapestry of cultural resources to construct their identities, weaving together various aspects of their heritage with elements of the dominant culture in their host society. This blending of cultural practices and norms gives rise to unique hybrid identities that reflect the complex interplay of multiple cultural influences. For example, diaspora youth may integrate elements of their ancestral culture, such as language or cuisine, with contemporary trends and lifestyles prevalent in their host country, resulting in hybrid cultural expressions that are both familiar and novel. Cultural hybridity fosters a sense of belonging that transcends narrow notions of cultural purity or authenticity, as individuals embrace the diversity and fluidity of their identities. Rather than adhering rigidly to predefined cultural categories, diaspora members engage in ongoing negotiations of identity that are shaped by their lived experiences, social interactions, and personal affiliations. This fluidity allows for the coexistence of multiple cultural affiliations within the same individual, challenging conventional notions of fixed identity categories and inviting a more nuanced understanding of cultural diversity. Cultural hybridity serves as a dynamic and empowering framework through which diaspora communities negotiate their identities, affirming their agency in shaping their cultural landscapes. By embracing the complexities and contradictions inherent in their hybrid identities, diaspora members forge connections across cultural boundaries, fostering a sense of solidarity and belonging that transcends geographic and cultural divides. In this way, cultural hybridity emerges as a potent force for identity formation within diaspora communities, enriching their cultural heritage and contributing to the vibrant tapestry of global diversity.

Transnational Citizenship and Allegiance:

Transnational citizenship and allegiance represent a paradigm shift in the traditional understanding of citizenship, as individuals increasingly navigate transnational spaces and identities that transcend the boundaries of nation-states. In an era of globalization, diaspora communities and mobile populations maintain strong ties to multiple countries, forging complex webs of belonging and allegiance that extend beyond national borders. Transnational citizens actively participate in political, social, and economic activities in both their host and home countries, contributing to the blurring of traditional notions of citizenship based solely on territoriality. Instead, transnational citizenship emphasizes the importance of connections based on shared identities, cultural affiliations, and common interests, fostering a sense of belonging that transcends geographic constraints. This notion of citizenship is not limited to legal status or formal membership in a nation-state but encompasses a broader sense of belonging to a transnational community of individuals with shared values and aspirations. Moreover, transnational allegiance challenges conventional understandings of loyalty and patriotism, as individuals navigate competing demands and loyalties across multiple contexts. While traditional notions of citizenship often prioritize allegiance to the nation-state, transnational citizens may express allegiance to multiple countries, communities, or causes, reflecting their diverse identities and affiliations. This fluidity of allegiance allows individuals to engage in transnational activism, advocacy, and solidarity movements, leveraging their cross-border connections to effect change on a global scale. However, transnational citizenship also raises questions about accountability, representation, and democratic governance, as individuals navigate the complexities of multiple legal frameworks and political systems. Despite these challenges, transnational citizenship offers a transformative vision of citizenship that embraces diversity, inclusivity, and interconnectedness, fostering a sense of belonging that transcends narrow notions of national identity. As individuals continue to navigate transnational spaces and identities, the concept of transnational citizenship will remain a dynamic and evolving framework for understanding citizenship in the 21st century.

Media Representation and Stereotyping:

Media representation and stereotyping play a significant role in shaping perceptions of

diaspora communities, often perpetuating narrow and distorted portrayals that fail to capture the complexity and diversity of their experiences. Across various forms of media, from news outlets to film and television, diaspora communities are frequently depicted through simplistic and often negative stereotypes that reinforce existing power dynamics and social hierarchies. These stereotypes often reduce diaspora members to one-dimensional caricatures, portraying them as exotic, backward, or threatening "others" who are positioned outside of the mainstream. For example, diaspora communities may be portrayed as prone to criminality, terrorism, or cultural backwardness, perpetuating harmful stereotypes that contribute to stigmatization and discrimination. Moreover, media representations of diaspora communities often lack nuance and authenticity, failing to capture the rich diversity of their experiences and identities. Instead, they tend to rely on sensationalized narratives and sensationalist imagery that prioritize spectacle over substance. These representations not only distort reality but also shape public perceptions and attitudes towards diaspora communities, influencing how they are perceived and treated in wider society. Media representations of diaspora communities are often influenced by broader political and ideological agendas, reflecting and reinforcing dominant power structures and discourses. For example, in the context of global geopolitics, diaspora communities may be portrayed in ways that serve the interests of dominant political actors, perpetuating narratives of threat or instability that justify militarized interventions or discriminatory policies. Similarly, in the context of domestic politics, media representations of diaspora communities may be manipulated for electoral gain or to advance particular policy agendas, further marginalizing and disenfranchising these communities. In this way, media representation becomes a site of contestation and struggle, as diaspora communities seek to challenge and subvert dominant narratives and assert their own voices and perspectives.Despite these challenges, diaspora communities have also utilized media platforms to resist stereotypes and reclaim their narratives, creating alternative spaces for self-representation and counter-narratives. Through social media, independent journalism, and cultural production, diaspora members have sought to challenge dominant discourses and amplify their own voices and experiences. These grassroots efforts have helped to shift the terms of media representation, highlighting the agency and resilience of diaspora communities in the face of

stereotype and marginalization. However, much work remains to be done to ensure that media representation accurately reflects the diversity and complexity of diaspora experiences and identities, and that diaspora communities are empowered to participate meaningfully in shaping their own narratives.

Political Mobilization and Activism:

Political mobilization and activism within diaspora communities represent crucial mechanisms through which individuals assert their agency, advocate for their rights, and contribute to social and political change both within their host countries and in their countries of origin. Across the globe, diaspora communities have played pivotal roles in shaping political landscapes, challenging oppressive regimes, and advancing social justice agendas. Political mobilization and activism encompass a wide range of activities, including grassroots organizing, advocacy campaigns, protest movements, and electoral participation, all aimed at addressing systemic injustices and advancing the interests of marginalized communities. One of the key features of political mobilization within diaspora communities is its transnational nature, as individuals leverage their cross-border connections to amplify their voices and advocate for change across multiple contexts. Diaspora activists often utilize digital technologies and social media platforms to connect with fellow activists, share information, and coordinate campaigns on a global scale. This transnational activism allows diaspora communities to transcend geographic boundaries and mobilize solidarity networks that cut across borders, fostering collective action and mutual support. Political mobilization within diaspora communities is often driven by a strong sense of solidarity and shared identity, as individuals draw upon their cultural heritage and collective memories of struggle to mobilize support for their causes. Whether advocating for immigrant rights, refugee protection, or democracy promotion in their countries of origin, diaspora activists often draw upon cultural symbols, traditions, and narratives to galvanize support and inspire action. This sense of shared identity and collective purpose serves as a powerful catalyst for mobilization, fostering a sense of belonging and empowerment among diaspora members.Political mobilization and activism within diaspora communities also play a crucial role in challenging dominant power structures and advocating for social justice and human rights. In many cases, diaspora activists are

at the forefront of struggles for democracy, equality, and accountability, challenging authoritarian regimes, advocating for political reform, and demanding justice for victims of human rights abuses. Through their activism, diaspora communities often serve as important catalysts for change, pushing for policy reforms, raising awareness about pressing social issues, and mobilizing public support for marginalized communities. Political mobilization within diaspora communities often intersects with broader social justice movements, forging alliances with other marginalized groups and amplifying their voices within wider social and political struggles. Whether advocating for racial justice, gender equality, or environmental sustainability, diaspora activists often recognize the interconnectedness of social justice issues and work collaboratively with other activists and advocacy organizations to address systemic injustices and advance collective goals. Political mobilization within diaspora communities also faces numerous challenges, including government repression, surveillance, and censorship, as well as internal divisions and conflicts. In many cases, diaspora activists risk persecution, harassment, and even violence for their activism, facing pressure from both host and home governments to silence their dissent. Moreover, internal divisions and conflicts within diaspora communities, often stemming from differences in political ideologies, identities, or interests, can undermine solidarity and hinder collective action. Political mobilization and activism within diaspora communities represent powerful tools for social and political change, enabling individuals to assert their agency, advocate for their rights, and contribute to broader struggles for justice and equality. By mobilizing transnational solidarity networks, drawing upon their shared identities and collective memories of struggle, and forging alliances with other social justice movements, diaspora activists play a crucial role in challenging dominant power structures and advancing transformative change. Despite facing numerous challenges, diaspora communities continue to demonstrate resilience, creativity, and solidarity in their efforts to build a more just and equitable world.

Diaspora Organizations and Civil Society:

Diaspora organizations and civil society play critical roles in diaspora communities, serving as key vehicles for political mobilization, community empowerment, and transnational advocacy. These organizations encompass a wide range of groups, including cultural associations, advocacy organizations, humanitarian NGOs, and professional networks, all of which play unique roles in advancing the interests and concerns of diaspora communities. Diaspora organizations often emerge in response to shared challenges and aspirations, providing platforms for collective action, mutual support, and identity affirmation. One of the primary functions of diaspora organizations is to provide spaces for community engagement and empowerment, fostering social cohesion, cultural preservation, and mutual assistance among diaspora members. Through cultural events, language classes, and community gatherings, these organizations help diaspora members maintain connections to their cultural heritage, language, and traditions, fostering a sense of belonging and identity affirmation. Moreover, diaspora organizations often serve as important sources of support for new immigrants and refugees, providing assistance with settlement, integration, and access to essential services.Diaspora organizations play crucial roles in advocating for the rights and interests of diaspora communities both within host countries and in their countries of origin. These organizations engage in a wide range of advocacy activities, including lobbying policymakers, organizing protests and demonstrations, and conducting public awareness campaigns, all aimed at addressing systemic injustices, challenging discriminatory policies, and promoting social and political change. Through their advocacy efforts, diaspora organizations amplify the voices of marginalized communities, raise awareness about pressing social issues, and mobilize public support for policy reforms. Diaspora organizations often serve as important channels for transnational engagement and solidarity, facilitating connections and collaborations between diaspora communities and their countries of origin. These organizations engage in a wide range of transnational activities, including remittance transfers, philanthropic initiatives, and development projects, all aimed at supporting economic development, social welfare, and nation-building efforts in their countries of origin. Additionally, diaspora organizations play crucial roles in promoting cross-border dialogue, cooperation, and reconciliation, fostering connections between diaspora members and their communities of origin. Diaspora organizations also face numerous challenges and constraints, including limited resources, political repression, and internal divisions. Many diaspora organizations operate on shoestring budgets and rely heavily on

volunteer efforts, making it difficult to sustain their activities over the long term. Moreover, diaspora organizations often face pressure from host governments, which may view their activities as threatening to national security or sovereignty. Internal divisions and conflicts within diaspora communities, often stemming from differences in political ideologies, identities, or interests, can also undermine the effectiveness of diaspora organizations and hinder their ability to achieve their goals. Diaspora organizations play crucial roles in diaspora communities, serving as important vehicles for community engagement, empowerment, and advocacy. By providing spaces for collective action, identity affirmation, and transnational engagement, these organizations contribute to the resilience, cohesion, and empowerment of diaspora communities worldwide. Despite facing numerous challenges and constraints, diaspora organizations continue to play vital roles in advancing the rights, interests, and aspirations of diaspora communities, both within host countries and in their countries of origin.

Integration and Assimilation:

Integration and assimilation are complex processes through which diaspora communities navigate their identities, cultures, and social environments within host countries. Integration refers to the process by which immigrants become full members of the host society, participating in its social, economic, and political life while maintaining aspects of their cultural identity. Assimilation, on the other hand, involves the gradual adoption of the host society's norms, values, and customs, often resulting in the erosion or loss of the immigrant's cultural distinctiveness. Integration often involves finding a balance between preserving one's cultural heritage and adapting to the norms and expectations of the host society. Diaspora communities engage in various strategies to facilitate integration, including language acquisition, participation in civic activities, and forming social networks with both members of their own community and the broader society. Successful integration allows diaspora members to access opportunities for education, employment, and social mobility, contributing to their overall well-being and sense of belonging in the host country. Integration is not always a smooth process and can be influenced by various factors, including discrimination, social exclusion, and structural barriers. Diaspora communities may face challenges in accessing education, employment, and housing, as well as encountering prejudice and hostility from the host society. These challenges can impede the integration process and contribute to feelings of alienation and marginalization among diaspora members. Assimilation, on the other hand, represents a more profound transformation of the immigrant's identity and cultural practices to conform to those of the dominant society. While assimilation may facilitate social acceptance and mobility, it can also entail the loss of cultural heritage and the erasure of unique identities within diaspora communities. Assimilation policies and pressures may perpetuate inequalities and undermine cultural diversity, leading to the marginalization of diaspora cultures and identities within the host society. Integration and assimilation are complex and multifaceted processes that involve negotiation, adaptation, and resilience on the part of diaspora communities. While integration offers opportunities for social inclusion and empowerment, assimilation raises concerns about cultural preservation and identity affirmation. Diaspora communities must navigate these processes thoughtfully, balancing the desire to participate fully in the host society with the need to maintain their cultural heritage and sense of belonging. By fostering inclusive policies and practices that respect cultural diversity and promote social cohesion, host societies can support the successful integration of diaspora communities and contribute to a more inclusive and equitable society for all.

Diaspora Returnees and Reverse Migration:

Diaspora returnees and reverse migration represent significant phenomena within diaspora communities, involving the repatriation of individuals or families to their countries of origin after residing in foreign countries for an extended period. This reverse migration can occur for various reasons, including economic opportunities, family reunification, retirement, or a desire to reconnect with one's cultural roots. Diaspora returnees often bring with them valuable skills, experiences, and resources acquired abroad, which can contribute to economic development, social change, and cultural revitalization in their home countries. One of the key drivers of diaspora return and reverse migration is the pursuit of economic opportunities in the home country. Diaspora members may return to their countries of origin to capitalize on emerging business opportunities, invest in local industries, or start their own entrepreneurial ventures. These returnees often bring with them valuable knowledge, expertise, and financial capital, which can stimulate economic

growth, create jobs, and drive innovation in sectors ranging from technology and finance to agriculture and tourism. Moreover, returnees may also contribute to the transfer of skills and knowledge acquired abroad, fostering human capital development and capacity building within their home countries. In addition to economic factors, diaspora returnees may also be motivated by a desire to reconnect with their cultural heritage, family, and community ties. Many returnees experience a sense of nostalgia or longing for their homeland, prompting them to seek opportunities to rediscover their roots and contribute to the development of their communities. Returnees often play active roles in cultural preservation and revitalization efforts, promoting traditional arts, languages, and customs, and fostering a sense of pride and identity among diaspora communities. Diaspora return and reverse migration also present challenges and complexities for returnees and their home countries. Returnees may face difficulties reintegrating into their home societies, including cultural adjustment, bureaucratic hurdles, and social stigma associated with their time abroad. Moreover, returnees may encounter limited job opportunities, inadequate infrastructure, and governance challenges in their home countries, which can hinder their efforts to settle and contribute effectively. Reverse migration can also have broader implications for host countries, particularly in terms of brain drain and loss of talent. As diaspora returnees repatriate to their home countries, host countries may experience a depletion of skilled professionals, entrepreneurs, and innovators, which can have negative consequences for economic competitiveness and social development. Moreover, reverse migration may also strain social services and infrastructure in home countries, as they contend with the influx of returning migrants and their families. Diaspora returnees and reverse migration represent significant opportunities and challenges for diaspora communities and their home countries. While returnees bring with them valuable skills, experiences, and resources that can contribute to economic development, cultural revitalization, and social change, they also face various obstacles and uncertainties in reintegrating into their home societies. By addressing these challenges and leveraging the potential of returnees, home countries can harness the benefits of reverse migration and build more inclusive and resilient societies for the future.

Diaspora Engagement in Homeland Development:

Diaspora engagement in homeland development refers to the involvement of diaspora communities in initiatives and projects aimed at fostering economic growth, social progress, and cultural revitalization in their countries of origin. This engagement can take various forms, including financial investments, philanthropic activities, knowledge transfer, and advocacy efforts, all of which contribute to the sustainable development and well-being of diaspora homelands. One of the primary ways in which diaspora communities contribute to homeland development is through remittances, which represent financial transfers sent by diaspora members to their families and communities in their countries of origin. Remittances play a crucial role in poverty alleviation, household income generation, and social welfare provision, providing a lifeline for millions of people in developing countries. By sending money back home, diaspora members support the education, healthcare, and basic needs of their families, while also stimulating local economies and fostering entrepreneurship and job creation. Diaspora communities also engage in philanthropic activities and development projects aimed at addressing pressing social and economic challenges in their homelands. Diaspora-led initiatives may include building schools and healthcare facilities, providing clean water and sanitation, or supporting community-based organizations and grassroots movements. These projects not only improve the quality of life for people in diaspora homelands but also strengthen social cohesion, resilience, and self-reliance within local communities. Diaspora engagement in homeland development often involves knowledge transfer and skills exchange between diaspora members and their communities of origin. Diaspora professionals, academics, and entrepreneurs may share their expertise, experiences, and networks to support capacity building, innovation, and entrepreneurship in their home countries. By fostering collaboration and collaboration between diaspora members and local stakeholders, knowledge transfer initiatives contribute to human capital development, institutional strengthening, and economic diversification in diaspora homelands. Diaspora communities play crucial roles in advocating for policy reforms, social justice, and human rights in their countries of origin. Diaspora activists, advocacy organizations, and solidarity networks may mobilize support, raise awareness, and lobby policymakers to address

issues such as governance, democracy, and social inequality.

Transnationalism and Dual Belonging

A. The emergence of transnational identities marks a significant shift in the way individuals and communities perceive and interact with the world. Transnationalism, as a concept, suggests a weakening of the control a nation-state has over its borders, inhabitants, and territory. This phenomenon is largely driven by migrants who live their lives across multiple nations, creating a new kind of identity that is not tied to a single place or culture.

Transnational identity is characterized by several distinct features. One such feature is intercultural competence, which is the ability to navigate and bridge different cultural contexts. Another is the reconstruction of locality, where individuals create their sense of place that transcends geographical boundaries. Diaspora consciousness is also a key component, where there is an awareness of and deep connection to one's country of origin, alongside a sense of belonging to the global community. Lastly, transnational identities are often formed through engagement in transnational projects that aim to build institutions and develop communities across borders, as immigrants bring their knowledge and experiences from their country of origin to their host countries and vice versa.

Such identities are the product of a dialogue between the society of origin and the host society. Migrants bring with them 'prior knowledge' from their home countries, which interacts with the new experiences and societal norms of the host country to create a unique transnational identity . This is not a static process but rather a dynamic one that continuously evolves as individuals and communities respond to changes in both their home and host societies.

Transnational migration refers to the process by which immigrants now live their lives in more than one country, negotiating alternating national, cultural, and social systems. This process of negotiation is critical to the emergence of transnational identities, as individuals must reconcile their cultural heritage with the realities of their new environments.

The emergence of transnational identities can also be observed in the workplace, such as among maquiladora workers and ex-workers on both sides of the US-Mexico border. Their identities are shaped by the transnational experiences and opportunities that the border economy provides, reflecting the complex interplay between local and global forces.

Lastly, the dynamics of transnationalism are under constant scholarly debate, and evidence-based research is crucial for understanding the nuances and implications of this phenomenon. Such research explores the content analysis of debates on transnationalism, which helps in understanding how the identities of individuals and communities are shaped by their transnational experiences .

Dual citizenship, the status that grants an individual membership in two or more states, carries significant political implications. For the individual, it presents an opportunity to participate fully in the political processes of each country, including the right to vote and stand for election. However, the political implications of dual citizenship extend beyond the rights of individuals and touch on matters of national policy, international relations, and questions of loyalty and identity.

One of the central debates surrounding dual citizenship is the question of loyalty. Dual citizens are sometimes perceived as having divided loyalties, which can raise concerns about their commitment to the political and social values of each country. In some cases, instrumental reasons for obtaining dual citizenship, such as economic advantage, may lead to higher perceived loyalty to the country of origin, although this does not necessarily translate into political intolerance or lack of commitment to the host country.

The political implications of dual citizenship also manifest in the realm of policy-making. Politicians with dual citizenship may face scrutiny over potential conflicts of interest, particularly when policies involve their countries of dual nationality. Furthermore, citizenship and nationality policies are often designed with the political community in mind, focusing on the inclusion or exclusion of individuals based on their national affiliations.

The rise in dual citizenship has prompted some scholars to argue that states should embrace this reality and recognize the benefits that dual citizens can bring, such as improved economic performance and enriched cultural exchange. Dual citizenship reflects overlapping ties and exchanges between different states, showcasing the increasingly transnational nature of today's world.

However, dual citizenship also poses challenges to traditional notions of state sovereignty and collective political arrangements. It forces a reconsideration of how individual choices intersect with collective political identities and how states manage the balance between inclusion and exclusion.

International organizations and networks play a crucial role in shaping the political identity of diasporas, fostering their influence in both homeland and host nation politics. As diasporas are often identity-motivated groups, they can powerfully embody broader trends in the changing nature of nation-states, where national or ethnic identification and political community extend beyond geographical boundaries.

In the international arena, diasporas act as mobilized groups with a strong sense of identity, which allows them to play a significant role in both domestic and international politics. They may influence homeland politics through domestic avenues in their host countries and partake in a larger global network that transcends national borders. Understanding these networks is essential, as they represent principal paths for diasporic political influence, facilitating connections and engagement with political processes across nations.

Diaspora politics often link constituencies in one country with a real or imagined homeland elsewhere, creating omnipresent forms of political engagement that can lead to lobbying efforts that shape policies in favor of a homeland or challenge a homeland government. These diaspora-based associations may also influence political developments within their countries of residence, striving to shape policies that are beneficial to their communities and to the relationship between their homeland and host countries.

Some origin-state institutions are dedicated to emigrants and their descendants, which, though often unnoticed by mainstream political science, contribute to shaping the political identity and engagement of diasporas. These institutions can act as bridges between diaspora communities and their countries of origin, fostering political involvement and identity formation.

Intergovernmental organizations like the International Organization for Migration (IOM) collaborate with partners in the international community to address operational challenges of migration and support diasporas in their political engagement and identity formation. These organizations help to manage the complex relations between cultural identity and political institutions, recognizing diasporas as alternative entities to geographical states.

Diasporas are also influenced by the emergence of networks of international institutions, which tackle common issues to humanity, such as climate change and human rights. These networks provide diasporas with platforms to engage in global political discourses, reinforcing their political identity and influence on an international scale.

Challenges and Opportunities in Diaspora Politics

A. Identity Politics and the Risk of Marginalization.

Identity politics within diaspora communities can be a double-edged sword. On one hand, it allows for the expression and preservation of cultural, ethnic, and national identities in foreign lands. On the other, it carries the risk of marginalization, both within the host society and within the politics of the homeland. Diasporas, by their very nature, are concerned with maintaining a connection to their country of origin while integrating into their new environment. This balance is delicate and can lead to diasporas forming their own distinct political agendas and identity-driven movements.

The focus on specific identity characteristics can inadvertently result in diasporas being perceived as 'other' by the dominant culture. This can lead to exclusion from political decision-making processes, lack of representation, and even discrimination. Furthermore, the politics of identity may lead to internal divisions within diaspora communities themselves, as differing interpretations of cultural heritage or political priorities emerge. Such divisions have the potential to weaken the collective political voice of a diaspora.

In the homeland, the involvement of diasporas in politics may be viewed with suspicion, as their loyalties or priorities could be seen as influenced by their experiences abroad, or even as serving the interests of foreign states. This skepticism can further alienate diasporas from participating in the political life of their countries of origin, thus reinforcing their marginal status.

B. The Potential for Bridge-Building and Diplomacy

The diaspora's potential for bridge-building and diplomacy is substantial, as these communities often maintain strong ties to their countries of origin while also integrating into their

new countries. This dual connection places diasporas in a unique position to act as intermediaries, facilitating understanding and cooperation between nations.

Diasporas can encourage dialogue and mediate between conflicting viewpoints or cultural misunderstandings, given their in-depth knowledge of both their homeland and host nation. They can also be instrumental in shaping foreign policy and international relations, leveraging their transnational networks for economic, cultural, and political exchange.

Through 'diaspora diplomacy', diaspora communities can influence the policies of both their host and home countries, often advocating for bilateral cooperation on various issues ranging from trade to human rights. Their role in diplomacy is not limited to state actors; diaspora groups can engage in people-to-people diplomacy, fostering grassroots initiatives that build trust and partnerships across borders.

Moreover, diasporas can contribute to peace-building efforts by providing unique perspectives to conflict resolution. Their understanding of the nuances in cultural and political dynamics makes them valuable in crafting solutions that consider the needs and sensitivities of all parties involved.

The advent of digital communication technologies has further expanded the potential for diaspora diplomacy. Social media and other digital platforms enable diasporas to mobilize, share information, and collaborate with stakeholders globally in real-time, enhancing their role as bridge-builders between governments and communities.

However, the success of diaspora diplomacy hinges on recognition and support from both home and host country governments. When effectively engaged, diasporas can offer sustainable, culturally informed diplomatic solutions that reinforce international cooperation and contribute to global governance. Their transnational nature provides a rich foundation for fostering mutual understanding and creating transnational communities that benefit both their host and origin countries.

C. The Role of Media and Technology in Diaspora Activism.

Media and technology play a pivotal role in diaspora activism, offering powerful tools for communication, organization, and mobilization. Through various platforms, from traditional media outlets to social media networks, diasporas can raise awareness about issues affecting their communities, both in their countries of origin and in their host countries. This digital engagement enables diasporas to shape public discourse, influence policy, and maintain cultural connections across borders.

Social media, in particular, has transformed diaspora activism by providing a space for the dissemination of information and the coordination of collective action. Platforms like Facebook and Twitter allow for the rapid spread of news and ideas, giving diasporas the ability to respond quickly to events as they unfold and to engage with a global audience. For example, during political upheavals or humanitarian crises in their homelands, diaspora communities can use these tools to organize support, fundraise, and lobby for international intervention.

However, the use of media and technology is not without its challenges. Diaspora activists may face digital transnational repression, such as monitoring and surveillance by authoritarian regimes that seek to control and silence dissenting voices even beyond their borders. Despite these risks, digital media remains an essential aspect of diaspora activism, enabling members to circumvent traditional barriers to participation and to foster a sense of community and shared identity.

Moreover, the rise of digital media has created new public spheres and forms of protest, allowing for the emergence of social groupings and spaces of imagination that were previously inconceivable. Through these digital spaces, diasporas can engage in journalism, advocacy, and digital activism, contributing to democratic processes and enriching the political landscape of both their homelands and host countries.

D. Future Prospects for Diaspora Political Influence

The future prospects for diaspora political influence are promising, as these communities continue to grow in size and significance on the global stage. Over the past few decades, diasporas have already become notable players in international politics, wielding influence through various channels. As they maintain and strengthen their transnational networks, diasporas are poised to play an even more dynamic role in shaping political landscapes both domestically and internationally.

Diasporas are increasingly recognized not only as economic contributors through remittances but also as agents of change, capable of

lobbying host countries to shape favorable policies towards their homelands or advocating for human rights and democratic reforms. Their unique position enables them to engage directly with third-party states and international organizations, effectively bypassing traditional diplomatic channels.

The rise of technology has amplified the diaspora's ability to mobilize and coordinate efforts across borders, facilitating their participation in cultural and political mobilization. As migrants and their descendants continue to leverage digital platforms, the influence of diasporas is likely to expand further, enabling them to shape policies and contribute to global discourse more effectively.

Diasporas are also set to play a crucial role in the economic development of their countries of origin. Beyond remittances, they can promote trade, foreign direct investment, create businesses, spur entrepreneurship, and transfer new knowledge and skills. This economic engagement can have a profound political impact, as diasporas become stakeholders in their homeland's prosperity and stability.

II.   Conclusion.

In conclusion, the politics of diaspora identity involves a complex negotiation of belonging and representation that spans host and home countries. Diasporas, through their transnational experiences and affiliations, are engaged in a continuous process of defining and redefining their identities within various socio-political contexts. They seek to maintain cultural and historical ties to their homelands while adapting to and integrating within their host societies. This dual orientation presents both challenges and opportunities for political engagement and representation.

On one hand, diasporas can face marginalization and exclusion due to their distinct identities, which can lead to reduced political influence and a sense of alienation. On the other hand, their unique position as cultural and social bridges offers the potential for significant contributions to diplomacy, international relations, and global understanding.

The role of media and technology has been transformative, enabling diasporas to amplify their voices, coordinate advocacy efforts, and mobilize resources for political causes. As a result, diasporas are increasingly recognized as important actors in the political arenas of their host and home countries, capable of influencing policies and shaping debates on issues ranging from immigration to foreign policy.

Looking ahead, the political influence of diasporas is likely to grow, driven by increased global migration, advancements in communication technologies, and the recognition of their role in fostering economic development and cultural exchange. As they navigate the politics of identity, diasporas will continue to redefine the meaning of citizenship, community, and transnational solidarity in an interconnected world.

In essence, the politics of diaspora identity is not just about the struggle for recognition or rights; it is a broader narrative of contributing to the creation of more inclusive, democratic, and globally connected societies.

Reference

[1]. Rushdie, S. (2010). Imaginary homelands: Essays and criticism 1981-1991. Vintage.

[2]. Diasporas. Migration data portal. (n.d.). https://www.migrationdataportal.org/themes/diasporas

[3]. Forced migration or displacement. Migration data portal. (n.d.-b). https://www.migrationdataportal.org/themes/forced-migration-or-displacement

[4]. Encyclopædia Britannica, inc. (2024, March 22). Diaspora. Encyclopædia Britannica. https://www.britannica.com/topic/Diaspora-Judaism

[5]. Diaspora. Education. (n.d.). https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/diaspora/

[6]. Academic.oup.com. (n.d.). https://academic.oup.com/book/510/chapter/135268653

[7]. The Economist Newspaper. (n.d.). India's diaspora is bigger and more influential than any in history. The Economist. https://www.economist.com/international/2023/06/12/indias-diaspora-is-bigger-and-more-influential-than-any-in-history

[8]. Diaspora | definition in the Cambridge english dictionary. (n.d.). https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/diaspora

[9]. Vertovec, S. (2021, September 21). The political importance of diasporas. migrationpolicy.org. https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/political-importance-diasporas

[10]. Globalisation from below - open research online. (n.d.-b).

http://oro.open.ac.uk/4142/1/DIASART1.pdf

[11]. Liwerant, J. B. (1970, January 1). Globalization, transnationalism, diasporas: Facing new realities and conceptual challenges. Penser global - Globalization, transnationalism, diasporas: facing new realities and conceptual challenges. https://books.openedition.org/editionsmsh/4 767?lang=en

[12]. Nonini, D. M. (1970, January 1). Diasporas and globalization. SpringerLink. https://link.springer.com/10.1007%2F978-0-387-29904-4_58

[13]. Globalization from above, globalization from below: Mechanisms for social disparity and social justice in Higher Education. Globalization from above, globalization from below: Mechanisms for social disparity and social justice in higher education | Emerald Insight. (n.d.). https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/do i/10.1016/S1479-358X(08)06008-7/full/html

[14]. Anonym. (n.d.). Globalization is a form of colonialism. GRIN. https://www.grin.com/document/287753

[15]. Encyclopædia Britannica, inc. (n.d.). Transnationalism. Encyclopædia Britannica. https://www.britannica.com/topic/transnatio nalism

[16]. Jin, R., & Wang, X. (2022, September 26). "Somewhere i belong?" A study on transnational identity shifts caused by "double stigmatization" among Chinese international student returnees during COVID-19 through the lens of mindsponge mechanism. Frontiers. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychol ogy/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1018843/ful l

[17]. Park, S., & Gerrits, L. (2021, March 22). How migrants manifest their transnational identity through online social networks: Comparative findings from a case of Koreans in Germany - comparative migration studies. SpringerOpen. https://comparativemigrationstudies.springer open.com/articles/10.1186/s40878-020-00218-w

[18]. Author links open overlay panelMoisès Esteban-Guitart, AbstractNowadays, & actualidad, R. la. (2015, April 16). The voices of newcomers. A qualitative analysis of the construction of transnational identity.

Psychosocial Intervention. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/articl e/pii/S1132055915000046

[19]. Author links open overlay panelMoisès Esteban-Guitart, AbstractNowadays, & actualidad, R. la. (2015a, April 16). The voices of newcomers. A qualitative analysis of the construction of transnational identity. Psychosocial Intervention. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/articl e/pii/S1132055915000046

[20]. Identities in the transnational lifeworld. individual, community and nation. IIAS. (n.d.). https://www.iias.asia/the-newsletter/article/identities-transnational-lifeworld-individual-community-and-nation

[21]. Rothe, E. M., & Pumariega, A. J. (2020, February 1). Transnational identities, pilgrimages, and return migrations. OUP Academic. https://academic.oup.com/book/29472/chapt er/247190510

[22]. Cuninghame, P. G. (2007, May 1). Globalisation, maquiladoras and transnational identities at the US-... Revue Interventions économiques. Papers in Political Economy. https://journals.openedition.org/interventions economiques/648

[23]. Tedeschi, M., Vorobeva, E., & Jauhiainen, J. S. (2020, August 9). Transnationalism: Current debates and new perspectives - geojournal. SpringerLink. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10 708-020-10271-8

[24]. Vertovec, S. (2009). *Transnationalism*. Routledge.

[25]. Brah, A. (1996). *Cartographies of Diaspora: Contesting Identities*. Routledge.

[26]. Clifford, J. (1994). Diasporas. *Cultural Anthropology, 9*(3), 302-338.

[27]. Cohen, R. (2008). *Global Diasporas: An Introduction*. Routledge.

[28]. Safran, W. (1991). Diasporas in Modern Societies: Myths of Homeland and Return. *Diaspora, 1*(1), 83-99.

[29]. Brubaker, R. (2005). The 'diaspora' diaspora. *Ethnic and Racial Studies, 28*(1), 1-19.

[30]. Glick Schiller, N., Basch, L., & Blanc-Szanton, C. (1992). Towards a definition of transnationalism. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 645*(1), ix-xiv.

[31]. Anthias, F. (2008). Thinking through the lens of translocational positionality: An

intersectionality frame for understanding identity and belonging. *Translocations: Migration and Social Change, 4*(1), 5-20.

[32]. Werbner, P. (2002). The place which is diaspora: Citizenship, religion and gender in the making of chaordic transnationalism. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, 28*(1), 119-133.

[33]. Levitt, P., & Jaworsky, B. N. (2007). Transnational migration studies: Past developments and future trends. *Annual Review of Sociology, 33*, 129-156.

[34]. Faist, T. (2000). Transnationalization in international migration: Implications for the study of citizenship and culture. *Ethnic and Racial Studies, 23*(2), 189-222.

[35]. Al-Ali, N., & Koser, K. (2002). New approaches to migration?: Transnational communities and the transformation of home. Routledge.

[36]. Goldberg, D. T. (2002). *The racial state*. Blackwell Publishers.

[37]. Morawska, E. (2003). Immigrant transnationalism and assimilation: A variety of combinations and the analytic strategy it suggests. *International Migration Review, 37*(3), 795-819.

[38]. Werbner, P. (2004). Vernacular cosmopolitanism. *Theory, Culture & Society, 21*(2), 55-78.

[39]. Anderson, B. (1983). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*. Verso.

[40]. Soysal, Y. N. (1994). *Limits of citizenship: Migrants and postnational membership in Europe*. University of Chicago Press.

[41]. Vertovec, S., & Cohen, R. (2002). *Conceiving Cosmopolitanism: Theory, Context, and Practice*. Oxford University Press.

[42]. Baumann, G. (1999). *The multicultural riddle: Rethinking national, ethnic, and religious identities*. Routledge.

[43]. Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222-237). Lawrence & Wishart.

[44]. Dual citizenship. obo. (n.d.). https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/abstract/document/obo-9780199756223/obo-9780199756223-0274.xml

[45]. Folger, J. (n.d.). Dual citizenship advantages and disadvantages. Investopedia. https://www.investopedia.com/articles/perso

nal-finance/031315/advantages-disadvantages-dual-citizenship.asp

[46]. Verkuyten, M., Gale, J., Yogeeswaran, K., & Adelman, L. (2022, August 15). The impact of emotional versus instrumental reasons for dual citizenship on the perceived loyalty and political tolerance of immigrant-origin minorities: Journal of Experimental Political Science. Cambridge Core. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-experimental-political-science/article/impact-of-emotional-versus-instrumental-reasons-for-dual-citizenship-on-the-perceived-loyalty-and-political-tolerance-of-immigrantorigin-minorities/99A6898208F621F4EEAFCE5FEF37D481

[47]. Semotiuk, A. J. (2022, April 14). Time for new rules in Washington dealing with dual citizenship. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/andyjsemotiuk/2020/10/28/time-for-new-rules-in-washington-dealing-with-dual-citizenship/

[48]. Http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2511502. (n.d.-c). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268218700_httppapersssrncomsol3paperscfmabstract_id2511502

[49]. Dual citizenship in an age of mobility. (n.d.-b). https://www.migrationpolicy.org/sites/default/files/publications/faist-final%5B1%5D.pdf

[50]. The politics of dual citizenship in comparative perspective. (n.d.-e). https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1409948/FULLTEXT01.pdf

[51]. Khan, T. (2021, February 12). The paradox of dual citizenship – challenging the personal and the political. The Sociological Review Magazine. https://thesociologicalreview.org/magazine/february-2021/migrations/the-paradox-of-dual-citizenship/

[52]. Diamanti-Karanou, Y. (2015, November 9). Diasporas and international relations. E. https://www.e-ir.info/2015/11/01/diasporas-and-international-relations/

[53]. Impact of diaspora communities on national and global ... (n.d.-e). https://cissm.umd.edu/sites/default/files/2019-08/lahneman_diaspora_report.pdf

[54]. Diasporas and politics. obo. (n.d.-a). https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/abstr

act/document/obo-9780199756223/obo-9780199756223-0342.xml

[55]. Diasporas as key actors for change: (n.d.-b). https://publications.iom.int/system/files/pdf/Diasporas-as-Key-Actors-for-Change_0.pdf

[56]. Diaspora and foreign policy: A global perspective. (n.d.-b). https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijps/v6-i4/2.pdf

[57]. Diaspora and foreign policy: A global perspective. (n.d.-b). https://www.arcjournals.org/pdfs/ijps/v6-i4/2.pdf

[58]. Conceptualizing 'diaspora diplomacy': Territory and populations ... (n.d.-a). https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0309132517740217

[59]. The Digital Transnational Repression Toolkit, and its silencing effects. Freedom House. (n.d.). https://freedomhouse.org/report/special-report/2020/digital-transnational-repression-toolkit-and-its-silencing-effects

[60]. Bernal, V. (2020, November 13). African digital diasporas: Technologies, Tactics, and Trends. Brill. https://brill.com/view/journals/afdi/12/1-2/article-p1_2.xml?language=en

[61]. Vertovec, S. (2021a, September 21). The political importance of diasporas. migrationpolicy.org. https://www.migrationpolicy.org/article/political-importance-diasporas

[62]. The Future of Diasporas. (n.d.-j). https://africandiasporanetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/The-Future-of-Diaspora-Inside.pdf

[63]. Kenny, K. (2013, July 25). The Future of Diaspora. OUP Academic. https://academic.oup.com/book/510/chapter/135269635

[64]. Kathleen Newland, S. P. (2022, June 27). What we know about diasporas and economic development. migrationpolicy.org. https://www.migrationpolicy.org/research/what-we-know-about-diasporas-and-economic-development