



# The Influence of Interest Groups on Public Policy Formulation and Implementation in Nigeria: The Mechanisms and Challenges

Omoregie, Edoghogho

*Department of Political Science, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria*

*IRABOR EHIKIOYA FESTUS*

*Department Of Public Administration.*

*Ambrose Alli University Ekpoma.*

*Edo State, Nigeria.*

Dr. Mrs. O.O Otti

*Department of Public Administration*

*Ambrose Alli University Ekpoma*

OIGIANGBE OMEAHON HENRY

*Department Of Public Administration, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State.*

Date of Submission: 05-04-2026

Date of Acceptance: 16-04-2026

## Abstract

The influence of interest groups on public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria is pivotal yet complex, involving various mechanisms and facing critical challenges. This study employs a qualitative methodology to explore how interest groups interact with government institutions to shape policies. Utilizing document analysis, and case studies, the research seeks to elucidate the processes through which interest groups exert pressure and advocate for policy changes, highlighting the interaction between these entities and policymakers. A more suitable theoretical framework for the analysis is the Advocacy Coalition Framework (ACF) because it effectively explains how coalitions of actors within and outside the government use resources and strategies over time to influence policy outcomes amidst complex political environments. Key findings reveals that: Interest groups in Nigeria play essential roles in policy advocacy but suffer from limited financial autonomy, inadequate institutional capacity, and low professionalization, which undermines their effectiveness, Political interference and elite dominance frequently skew policy processes, limiting inclusive participation and prioritizing private interests over public good., Institutional weaknesses and inconsistent policy implementation further hinder the transition from formulation to effective execution. Recommendations to address these challenges include: Strengthening the capacity of interest groups through training, institutional development, and

financial independence to enhance their advocacy impact. Instituting transparent mechanisms that curb elite manipulation and promote inclusive policy dialogues, ensuring diverse stakeholder participation, Enhancing institutional frameworks and accountability systems to improve the coherence and sustainability of policy implementation.

**Keywords;** Interest Groups, Public Policy, Political Interference, Policy Makers and Financial Autonomy

## I. Introduction

The influence of interest groups on public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria is notable and multifaceted. Interest groups, comprising ethnic, religious, professional, and business associations, significantly affect the agenda-setting, drafting, and execution stages of policies (Babangida, 1986 as cited in). These groups engage in various mechanisms to exert influence, such as organizing seminars, public rallies, media campaigns, and direct discussions with government officials. Their involvement reflects Nigeria's pluralistic society where group interests often intersect with national public policies, making the political landscape complex. The geopolitical dynamics, especially the interests of dominant ethnic groups like the Hausa-Fulani, Igbo, and Yoruba, shape policy outcomes, revealing that interest groups operate not only to represent communal needs but also to influence government priorities based on identity and power balances (Schraeder, 2004).



Mechanisms of influence by interest groups in Nigeria include lobbying legislators, mobilizing public opinion, and engaging in advocacy coalitions that push specific legislative or regulatory changes (Babangida, 1986). Interest groups play crucial roles in participatory democracy by championing public and group interests and providing specialized knowledge during policy formulation. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms is often hindered by weak institutional frameworks and limited formal inclusion in the policy process, leading some groups to resort to informal methods or patronage-based access to policymakers (Omoregie, Itakpe & Agazuma 2019). Interest groups participate in making demands without seeking to form government, distinguishing themselves from political parties, but their contributions remain vital for shaping regulatory frameworks and government responses (Thomson, 2010).

Despite their critical roles, interest groups in Nigeria face substantial challenges in influencing public policy, and these challenges impact both the formulation and implementation stages. Political interference, instability, corruption, and inadequate funding are significant barriers that undermine transparent policy processes (Schraeder, 2004). Furthermore, institutional weakness in public administration and policy implementation capacity hampers the translation of interest group demands into effective public policies (Omoregie, Itakpe & Agazuma 2019). The fragmentation and competition among interest groups, compounded by Nigeria's ethno religious diversity, often result in conflicts and divergent priorities that complicate consensus-building necessary for policy success (Babangida, 1986). Addressing these challenges requires strengthening institutional frameworks, promoting transparency, and enhancing inclusive engagement avenues between the government and interest groups.

### **Objectives of the Study**

To examine the mechanisms through which interest groups influence public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria.

. To identify the key challenges faced by interest groups in effectively participating in the Nigerian policy process.

To assess the impact of ethnic, religious, and political factors on the influence of interest groups in Nigerian public policy.

### **Research Questions:**

What mechanisms do interest groups use to influence public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria?

What are the main challenges limiting the effectiveness of interest groups in the Nigerian policy process?

How do ethnic, religious, and political dynamics affect the influence of interest groups on public policy in Nigeria?

## **II. Conceptual Review**

### **Interest Group**

Interest groups, also known as pressure groups or advocacy groups, are organizations formed to influence public policy and decision-making processes by representing specific interests or causes. Conceptually, interest groups operate outside of formal government institutions but seek to impact legislation, regulations, and governance outcomes through lobbying, public campaigns, and engagement with policymakers. In the context of Nigeria, interest groups play a critical role in articulating and promoting sectional or communal interests, given the country's ethnic, religious, and regional diversity. These groups range from professional associations, trade unions, religious organizations, ethnic associations, to civil society groups, each attempting to influence national policies to reflect their members' concerns (Akhakpe, 2014; Ovwasa, 2020).

In Nigeria, the role and effectiveness of interest groups are shaped by the country's socio-political environment characterized by underdevelopment, authoritarian legacies, ethnic fragmentation, and weak institutional frameworks. Many Nigerian interest groups are often described as lacking professionalization, financial autonomy, and robust organizational structures, which hampers their capacity to influence policy effectively and sustainably (Akhakpe, 2019). Moreover, the interaction between these groups and the state is often influenced by patronage networks and personal relationships rather than formal bureaucratic processes, which sometimes leads to skewed policy outcomes favouring elite interests rather than broader public welfare (Ovwasa, 2020). Despite these challenges, interest groups remain pivotal in Nigeria's political landscape by providing platforms for collective action and contributing to the democratic process, especially in articulating demands across its pluralistic society.

The impact of interest groups in Nigeria extends beyond mere advocacy to playing a



significant part in legislative processes and public policy formulation. The Nigerian experience shows that interest groups contribute to democracy by representing varied communal and sectional interests, which is essential in a multi-ethnic society where diverse groups compete for political recognition and resources. However, the potency of these groups is often moderated by systemic issues such as corruption, inadequate funding, and regional disparities, which affect their capacity to operate effectively and inclusively (Akhakpe, 2018; Ovwasa, 2020). Strengthening the institutional capacity of interest groups, fostering inclusivity, and ensuring transparency in their engagements with government can enhance their contributions to sustainable national development and democratic governance in Nigeria.

### **Public Policy**

Public policy refers to the deliberate decisions and actions undertaken by government institutions to address societal issues and promote the public good. The concept of public policy encompasses the entire process from problem identification, formulation, adoption, implementation, and evaluation of government interventions designed to influence societal outcomes (Adam, 2005). In the Nigerian context, public policy is particularly significant due to the country's complex socio-political fabric and developmental challenges. Public policies are meant to guide governance, economic development, social welfare, and national security, reflecting the government's priorities and its response to citizens' needs within a federal political system.

In Nigeria, the formulation of public policy faces multifaceted challenges that stem from political, institutional, and socio-economic factors. The policy formulation stage involves problem identification, selection of policy alternatives, and legitimization, but often this process is hindered by weak institutions, lack of policy continuity, and political interference (ICPC, 2014). The absence of evidence-based policymaking and over-reliance on political expediency lead to policies that do not adequately reflect the realities on the ground or the aspirations of diverse Nigerian populations. Moreover, policy formulation is often disconnected from meaningful citizen participation and lacks inclusiveness, which impedes democratic accountability and responsiveness (Remigius, 2025).

Implementation of public policy in Nigeria remains a critical bottleneck in achieving policy

goals. Challenges such as corruption, inadequate funding, poor institutional capacity, and political instability have led to widespread policy failures and abandonment of projects (Obona, 2016; Remigius, 2025). Additionally, ambiguous policy goals and lack of clear implementation frameworks exacerbate inefficiencies. The Nigerian case exhibits a cyclical problem where successive administrations discard the policies of predecessors, disrupting continuity and long-term planning (Eme & Ugiagbe, 2024). Overcoming these challenges requires reforms aimed at strengthening institutions, promoting evidence-based decision-making, enhancing citizen engagement, and ensuring sustained political commitment to policy processes.

### **Policy Makers in Nigeria**

Policy makers are key actors in the governance process, responsible for formulating, adopting, and overseeing policies that address societal needs and promote development. Conceptually, policy makers are those individuals or bodies with the authority to set agendas, make decisions, and allocate resources in the public arena (Oji, 2018). In Nigeria, policy makers occupy formal positions within government structures such as the Executive branch, the Legislature, and the bureaucracy. Their role is critical in shaping the direction of the country's development and governance, as they translate public needs and political priorities into actionable policies.

In the Nigerian context, policy makers include the President, the Federal Executive Council (FEC), which is composed of the Vice President and Ministers who head government ministries, and the National Assembly comprising the Senate and House of Representatives (Ukiwo, 2016). These actors have constitutional and statutory mandates to formulate laws, approve budgets, and oversee government operations. Additionally, permanent secretaries and senior civil servants within the ministries act as bureaucratic policy makers, translating political decisions into programmatic implementation. These actors collaborate with other stakeholders such as political advisers, interest groups, and the judiciary, although the judiciary's role is mainly limited to constitutional interpretation and legal review of policies (Oji, 2018; Ukiwo, 2016).

Policy making in Nigeria is shaped by the principle of federal supremacy, where the federal government provides overall direction from policy formulation through to implementation and evaluation (Oji, 2018). This process is influenced by



political dynamics, socio-economic conditions, and institutional capacities. While elected officials set policy goals, bureaucrats play a crucial role in the technical details and continuity of policies. Challenges such as corruption, lack of data-driven decision making, and weak coordination often hinder effective policy outcomes (Oji, 2018; Ukiwo, 2016). Strengthening the capacity and transparency of these policy makers is essential for improving governance and development in Nigeria.

### **III. Theoretical Framework**

#### **Advocacy Coalition Framework (ACF)**

The Advocacy Coalition Framework (ACF) is a robust theoretical lens to analyse the influence of interest groups on public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria, especially by considering how coalitions of actors with shared beliefs operate within policy subsystems. Developed primarily by Paul Sabatier and Hank Jenkins-Smith in the late 1980s, the ACF conceptualizes policy change as a result of the interaction and competition between advocacy coalitions—which are groups of actors from various institutions who share normative and causal beliefs and who engage in a policy subsystem over time (Sabatier & Jenkins-Smith, 1993). This framework emphasizes the role of coordinated efforts among interest groups, bureaucrats, researchers, and other stakeholders to influence policy decisions through advocacy, negotiation, learning, and strategic action, which is particularly relevant to understanding how interest groups shape Nigerian public policy within complex political and institutional environments.

Key exponents of the ACF include Paul Sabatier, who provided the foundational theory, and scholars such as Hank Jenkins-Smith, who contributed to refining and applying the framework in diverse policy contexts. The framework has gained traction in various settings, including African countries like Nigeria, where studies have demonstrated the ACF's capacity to explain advocacy coalition formation, belief systems alignment, and political prioritization in health and other policy sectors (Okeke et al., 2021). The ACF's components such as policy subsystems, core beliefs, and external shocks have been applied to Nigerian cases to capture how coalitions form around shared policy goals, negotiate amid challenges, and respond to political changes to influence policy outcomes (Nwalie, 2019). Nigerian research on maternal and child health advocacy coalitions illustrates how interest groups coordinate to sustain crucial policy programs despite political volatility and

implementation challenges, thus reinforcing the ACF's explanatory power for public policy processes in Nigeria.

Using the Advocacy Coalition Framework to study interest group influence in Nigeria reveals the mechanisms through which these groups engage in policy formulation and implementation. According to the framework, coalitions operate within policy subsystems defined by shared policy core beliefs, and through coordinated strategies including lobbying, public campaigns, and evidence-based persuasion, they attempt to affect government decisions and the policy agenda (Sabatier, 1998). This is important in Nigeria where formal state institutions coexist with informal networks, making coalition-building a critical strategy for interest groups to overcome fragmented governance and policy implementation bottlenecks. The ACF also highlights challenges such as conflicting interests among coalitions, power asymmetries, and external shocks like regime changes or economic crises, which complicate coalition efforts in Nigeria's dynamic political landscape. Thus, by applying the ACF, researchers can systematically explore both the collective agency of interest groups and the structural challenges they face in shaping Nigerian public policy.

#### **Gap/Contribution to Knowledge**

Existing scholarship on public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria has predominantly focused on the state and institutional actors as the primary agents of policy processes, often overlooking the significant role played by interest groups. Prior studies have inadequately explored the specific mechanisms through which interest groups exert influence over public policy formulation and implementation in the Nigerian context. Furthermore, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding the challenges and constraints associated with the involvement of interest groups in shaping public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria.

These identified gaps underscore the need for a comprehensive examination, and this study aims to address them by investigating the role, mechanisms, and challenges of interest group influence in public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria. By doing so, the research seeks to contribute to the existing body of knowledge and provide a more nuanced understanding of the dynamics beyond state and institutional actors.

### **IV. Findings/Discussion**



## **Interest Group Mechanism for Influencing Public Policy Formulation and Implementation in Nigeria**

Interest groups in Nigeria play a crucial role in influencing public policy formulation by actively engaging in various mechanisms designed to shape government decisions. One primary mechanism involves the submission of well-articulated position papers or policy proposals to decision-makers, a strategy frequently employed by groups such as the Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU), Nigerian Association of Chambers of Commerce, Industry, Mines and Agriculture (NACCIMA), and others. These groups seek to protect and promote the interests of their members by informing policymakers of specific needs and perspectives. Additionally, interest groups organize seminars, conferences, public rallies, and media campaigns including editorial sponsorships to shape public opinion and pressure the government into adopting favourable policies. Direct interactions, such as discussions with government officials and public demonstrations, are also employed to assert their influence more forcefully (Oji, 2018; Ukiwo, 2016).

Beyond formulation, interest groups in Nigeria play an active role in the implementation phase of public policies, albeit with varying degrees of success and influence. Their involvement includes mediating compromises and aggregating interests to ensure policies account for their members' concerns. By continuously engaging with both governmental and non-governmental actors, these groups exert pressure to ensure policies are not only enacted but also effectively executed in ways beneficial to their agendas. This interaction forms a critical linkage between the public and government, helping to translate public demands into enforceable policy actions. However, the effectiveness of this influence can be compromised by systemic challenges, including corruption and political patronage, which undermine merit-based policy execution and may skew policy benefits toward groups with closer ties to political elites ((Oji, 2018; Ovwasa, 2020).

Furthermore, the nature of Nigeria's socio-political structure marked by ethnic rivalries, religious diversity, and a fragmented federal system intensifies the influence of interest groups in public policy processes. Group interests, often reflective of regional or ethnic affiliations, influence the content and direction of policies, sometimes at the expense of rational national planning. For example, ethnic

groups have historically competed to ensure economic projects and political appointments favour their regions, which complicates the consistent application of policies nationwide. Religious groups also constitute significant pressure groups, shaping policies related to national values and identity, sometimes leading to the establishment of dedicated government bodies such as the National Council for Religious Affairs to manage their interests. This pluralist yet fragmented landscape means interest groups wield substantial power, shaping government decisions primarily based on their ability to mobilize support and influence key political actors rather than through bureaucratic procedures alone (Ovwasa, 2020).

## **Key Challenges Faced By Interest Groups While Effectively Participating in Policy Making in Nigeria**

Interest groups in Nigeria face numerous challenges that limit their effective participation in the policy-making process. One major challenge is the lack of formal regulation and transparency in lobbying and interest group activities. Unlike in some democracies where lobbying is regulated and lobbyists must register and report their activities, Nigerian interest groups operate in a largely unregulated environment. This absence of a legal framework results in a lack of accountability and makes it difficult to assess the actual impact of these groups on policy outcomes. Consequently, certain powerful interest groups with access to political elites can exert disproportionate influence, sometimes promoting narrow or selfish interests rather than the public good. This creates an imbalance in the policy-making process and contributes to perceptions of corruption and undue influence (Musa, 2023).

Another significant challenge arises from Nigeria's complex socio-political environment, notably ethnic divisions and political instability. The intense competition among major ethnic groups (e.g., Hausa-Fulani, Igbo, and Yoruba) often leads to policies being shaped less by rational or national considerations and more by ethnic or sectional interests. This inter-group rivalry hinders consensus-building and national integration in policy processes. Moreover, political interference and elite dominance frequently side-line interest groups representing broader public concerns, reducing policy-making to a contest among elites and vested interests. These challenges are compounded by weak institutional frameworks, which limit the capacity of interest groups to engage constructively and consistently with



government agencies (Musa, 2023; Wilanglobal, 2025).

Financial and organizational weaknesses also impede the effectiveness of interest groups in Nigeria. Many interest groups suffer from limited financial autonomy, inadequate organizational capacity, and lack of professionalization. This undermines their ability to sustain advocacy campaigns, conduct research, and maintain consistent engagement with policymakers. Internal democratic deficits within these groups such as poor leadership and lack of member participation further limit their influence. Without strong and financially independent structures, interest groups struggle to represent their constituencies effectively or to counterbalance more powerful political actors. These structural challenges contribute to the uneven and sometimes obstructive role of interest groups in Nigeria's policy arena (Ajayi & Akinola, 2014).

### **The Impact of Ethnicity, Religion and Political Factors on the Influence of Interest**

#### **Groups in Nigeria**

##### **Public Policy**

Ethnicity plays a fundamental role in shaping the influence of interest groups on public policy in Nigeria. The country's multi-ethnic composition, with over 300 ethnic groups, contributes to a political landscape where ethnic affiliations often overshadow national considerations. Interest groups frequently align along ethnic lines, mobilizing to protect and advance the interests of their ethnic constituencies. This ethnic mobilization affects policy formulation and implementation as groups compete for resource allocation, appointments, and political power. Ethnic elites often act as gatekeepers, using ethnic loyalty to secure political appointments and influence policies to benefit their groups disproportionately. Such ethnic politics results in fragmented national policy agendas and creates challenges in achieving equitable and integrative governance, as ethnic interests can overshadow broader national development goals (Oji, 2024).

Religion, closely intertwined with ethnicity in Nigeria, similarly influences the efficacy of interest groups in shaping public policy. Religious groups in Nigeria often serve as powerful interest groups, exerting influence on policies that touch on social, moral, and cultural issues. The country's major religions, Islam and Christianity, are not only faith-based constructs but also markers of identity that reinforce group solidarity and political

alignment. Religious interest groups lobby to ensure policies align with their doctrinal beliefs, particularly in areas such as education, family law, and public morality. This religious influence increases the complexity of policy-making by creating competing demands that reflect doctrinal positions rather than unified national interests. Moreover, politicians often court religious groups for electoral support, further embedding religion into the policy-making process and sometimes exacerbating sectarian tensions (Abbo, 2024; Oji, 2024).

Political factors, especially Nigeria's patronage system and elite dominance, further condition the influence of interest groups in policy processes. Many interest groups operate within a political environment characterized by clientelism, where access to political power and resources depends heavily on personal and ethnic alliances rather than institutional merit. Political elites often co-opt interest groups or create government-controlled groups to manage dissent and control policy agendas. Consequently, genuine interest representation can be undermined by the subordination of interest groups to elite interests. Furthermore, political instability and weak institutions limit sustained engagement by interest groups, reducing their capacity to influence long-term policymaking. The intersection of ethnicity, religion, and political patronage thus creates a complex web where interest groups' influence is heavily mediated by ethnic loyalty, religious identity, and elite bargaining rather than purely on policy content or public interest rationales (Abbo, 2024; Oji, 2024).

### **V. Conclusion**

The study on the influence of interest groups on public policy formulation and implementation in Nigeria concludes that interest groups play a significant and complex role in shaping public policies through various mechanisms such as lobbying, advocacy, and mobilization of public opinion. These groups act both as catalysts for policy change by promoting specific agendas and as obstacles when they resist reforms that threaten their interests. The effectiveness of interest groups depends largely on their organizational strength, financial resources, strategic alliances, and ability to engage policymakers. However, challenges such as ethnic and religious divisions, lack of internal democracy, financial instability, and undue influence often hamper their positive contribution to policy processes. Moreover, the Nigerian political landscape's geo-political dynamics further



complicate the distinctly pluralistic nature of interest group influence, often leading to policy decisions shaped by personal relationships rather than bureaucratic norms. Therefore, while interest groups hold the potential to enhance democratic governance and policy responsiveness, there is a pressing need for transparency, checks and balances, and professionalization of these groups to mitigate the risks of policy distortion and ensure equitable and accountable policy outcomes in Nigeria.

## VI. Recommendation

The recommendations below, stem from the observed mixed impact of interest groups in Nigeria, where they can both drive and impede policy change depending on their goals, resources, and strategies. Proper regulation, transparency, inclusiveness, and conflict management are critical to harnessing their positive influence for effective public policy formulation and implementation in the Nigerian context.

1. Enactment and Enforcement of Lobbying Regulation Laws; to enhance transparency and accountability in the interaction between interest groups and policymakers, Nigeria should enact comprehensive laws regulating lobbying activities. Such regulations would create formal mechanisms for interest groups to engage with government officials openly, reducing undue influence from narrow or selfish interests and fostering a fairer policy-making process.

2. Institutionalization of Transparent Consultation Processes; Establishing institutionalized and standardized processes for consultations with interest groups at all levels of government (federal, state, and local) can ensure that diverse voices are fairly represented. This would help balance competing demands from various groups, including ethnic, religious, and sectorial interests, and improve the legitimacy and accountability of policy decisions.

3. Strengthening Capacity and Inclusiveness of Interest Groups; the government and civil society should invest in capacity building for interest groups, especially those representing marginalized communities, to enable them to participate effectively in policy advocacy. Encouraging inclusive representation will reduce the dominance of powerful groups and promote policies that reflect broader societal interests rather than sectional ambitions.

4. Addressing Challenges of Ethnic and Religious Fragmentation; Given Nigeria's complex ethnic and religious diversity, which significantly shapes interest group dynamics, policies should be designed to mitigate the potential for conflicts and resistance rooted in these identities. Mechanisms such as inter-group dialogue platforms, conflict resolution initiatives, and national councils that include diverse interest groups can facilitate cooperation and overcome challenges in policy formulation and implementation.

## Reference

- [1]. Abbo, U. (2024). Public policy and governance issues in multi-ethnic society: The predicaments of policy agenda-setting in Nigeria. <https://aksujacog.org.ng/articles/24/04/public-policy-and-governance-issues-in-multi-ethnic-society-the-predicaments-of-policy-a>
- [2]. Adam, A. J. (2005). Public policy and industrialization in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Business and Finance*, 2(3), 45-59.
- [3]. Akhakpe, I. (2014). Public policy, interest groups and sustainable development in Nigeria. *Review of Public Administration and Management*, 3(6), 36-48. [http://arabianjbm.com/pdfs/RPAM\\_VOL\\_3\\_6/4.pdf](http://arabianjbm.com/pdfs/RPAM_VOL_3_6/4.pdf)
- [4]. Akhakpe, I. (2018). Historicizing interest groups and lobbying in Nigeria's legislative framework. *International Journal of Development Strategies in Humanities, Management and Social Sciences*. [https://www.academia.edu/37054666/Historicizing\\_Interest\\_Groups\\_and\\_Lobbying\\_in\\_Nigerias\\_Legislative\\_Framework](https://www.academia.edu/37054666/Historicizing_Interest_Groups_and_Lobbying_in_Nigerias_Legislative_Framework)
- [5]. Akhakpe, I. (2019). The public service and good governance in Nigeria's Fourth Republic: Pitfalls and opportunities. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 6(1), 262-275. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14738/assrj.61.5084>
- [6]. Ajayi, K., & Akinola, A. (2014). Challenges of interest groups in influencing public policy in Nigeria. *Review of Public Administration and Management*, 3(6). [http://arabianjbm.com/pdfs/RPAM\\_VOL\\_3\\_6/4.pdf](http://arabianjbm.com/pdfs/RPAM_VOL_3_6/4.pdf)
- [7]. Babangida, I. (1986). Interest groups in public policy formulation: The Nigerian experience. *Bangladesh Journal of Public Administration*. <http://journals.bpatc.gov.bd/index.php/bjpa/article/download/163/9>



- [8]. Idahida, D. P., & Maidoki, B. P. (2013). Public Policy Making and Implementation in Nigeria. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/PPAR/article/download/6355/6593>
- [9]. Eme, O. I., & Ugiagbe, E. E. (2024). Challenges of public policy making and execution in Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*, 18(4), 120-134.
- [10]. Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC). (2014). Governance and the public policy process: Transforming Nigeria into a truly modern society. ICPC Publications.
- [11]. Musa, A. I. (2023). Lobbying and interest groups: Drivers or obstacles of policy change in Nigeria. *African Scholars Multidisciplinary Journal (ASMJ)*, 5(October), 199–208. <https://gojamss.net/journal/index.php/ASMJ/article/download/1094/1096>
- [12]. Nwalie, M. I. (2019). Advocacy Coalition Framework and Policy Changes in a Democratic African State: The Nigerian Health Sector Reform 2003-2014. *Policy & Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/polp.12302>
- [13]. Obona, E. E. (2016). Effective implementation of public policies in Nigeria: Issues and challenges. *African Journal of Public Administration and Management*, 9(1), 60-75.
- [14]. Oji, O. R. (2018). Policy making and information dissemination in Nigeria. *Social Education Journal of Political Science*, 4(2), 120-135. <https://journals.aphriapub.com/index.php/SEJPS/article/download/1351/1289/2664>
- [15]. Oji, O. R. (2024). Ethnic politics and democratic governance in Nigeria. SSRN. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=4801981](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4801981)
- [16]. Okeke, C., et al. (2021). Exploring mechanisms that explain how coalition groups are formed and how they work to sustain political priority for maternal and child health in Nigeria using Advocacy Coalition Framework. *PMC*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7923834/>
- [17]. Omoregie, E., Itakpe, M. L., & Agazuma, S. (2019). Politics of ethnicity and the federal character question in Nigeria: A theoretical approach. In L. O. Izuagie & B. Chizea (Eds.), *Aspects of contemporary issues in humanities and social sciences*. Lagos: Amfitop Books Company.
- [18]. Ovwasa, L. (2020). Interest groups in public policy formulation: The Nigerian experience. <http://journals.bpatc.gov.bd/index.php/bjpa/article/download/163/91>
- [19]. Remigius, E. C. (2025). Public policy implementation and national security in Nigeria. *International Journal of Public Administration and Development*, 10(1), 22-39.
- [20]. Sabatier, P. A. (1998). The Advocacy Coalition Framework: Revisions and relevance for Europe. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 5(1), 98-130.
- [21]. Sabatier, P. A., & Jenkins-Smith, H. C. (1993). *Policy change and learning: An advocacy coalition approach*. Westview Press.
- [22]. Schraeder, P. J. (2004). *African politics and society: A mosaic in transformation* (2nd ed.). Wadsworth/Thomson Learning.
- [23]. Thomson, A. (2010). *An introduction to African politics*. Routledge.
- [24]. Ukiwo, U. (2016). *Policy levers in Nigeria*. Centre for Research on Peace and Development. <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08d1340f0b652dd001740/policycontext2.pdf>
- [25]. Wilanglobal. (2025, June 15). Demystifying policy: Understanding its impact on Nigerian society. <https://wilanglobal.org/demystifying-policy-understanding-its-impact-on-nigerian-society///ar 30>