



# Socioeconomic Disparities between Indigenous and Urban Communities in India: A Comparative Analysis

Dr.Mirza Mohd Abu Tayyab

Date of Submission: 15-09-2024

Date of Acceptance: 30-09-2024

## Abstract

This paper examines the persistent socioeconomic disparities between indigenous tribal communities and urban populations in India. Through a comparative analysis of various dimensions including education, healthcare, infrastructure, employment, and economic status, it highlights the significant gaps that continue to exist despite decades of development efforts and affirmative action policies. The study finds that tribal communities face disproportionate challenges in accessing basic services and economic opportunities compared to urban populations. It argues that a more nuanced, culturally-sensitive approach is needed to address the unique circumstances of tribal groups and bridge the growing divide with mainstream society. The paper concludes with policy recommendations to promote more equitable and inclusive development.

**Keywords:** Tribal societies; Disparities

## I. Introduction

India's rapid economic growth and urbanization over the past few decades has led to significant improvements in living standards for large segments of the population. However, this progress has been uneven, with certain communities - particularly indigenous tribal groups - continuing to face deep-rooted socioeconomic challenges (Xaxa, 2011). Despite comprising over 8% of India's population, tribal communities remain among the most marginalized and vulnerable groups in the country (Census of India, 2011).

This paper aims to provide a comparative analysis of the socioeconomic conditions of tribal communities vis-à-vis urban populations in India across various dimensions. By examining disparities in areas such as education, healthcare, infrastructure, employment opportunities, and economic status, it seeks to highlight the persistent gaps that exist between these communities. The study draws on both quantitative data as well as qualitative insights

from existing literature to present a holistic picture of the challenges faced by tribal groups.

Understanding these disparities is crucial for developing more effective and targeted policies to promote inclusive growth. As India continues on its development trajectory, ensuring that tribal and other marginalized communities are not left behind remains an urgent priority. This analysis can help inform evidence-based policymaking to address the unique needs of tribal populations.

## II. Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis of secondary data with qualitative insights from existing literature. Key data sources include the Census of India, National Sample Survey (NSS), and other government reports. Academic publications and NGO studies are also reviewed to provide contextual understanding.

The paper focuses on comparing tribal communities with urban populations across various socioeconomic indicators. While recognizing the diversity among tribal groups, the analysis treats them as an aggregate category for the purpose of this broad comparison. Urban areas are defined as per the Census of India classification.

Limitations of the study include potential data gaps for remote tribal areas and the challenge of capturing intra-group variations. Nevertheless, the available data provides valuable insights into overall trends and disparities.

## III. Findings and Analysis

### 3.1 Demographic and Geographic Context

As per the 2011 Census, the total tribal population in India is 104.3 million, constituting 8.6% of the country's population. Tribal communities predominantly reside in rural and forested areas, with over 90% living in rural regions compared to 31% of the urban population (Census of India, 2011). This geographic isolation is a key factor underlying many of the socioeconomic disparities examined in this paper.



### 3.2 Education

Education is a critical area where tribal communities continue to lag significantly behind the general population, particularly in urban areas. The literacy rate among tribal populations was 59% in 2011, compared to 73% for the general population and 85% in urban areas (Census of India, 2011). The gap is even wider for higher education - only 2.8% of tribal youth are enrolled in higher education compared to 23.6% in urban areas (AISHE, 2019).

Infrastructure deficits exacerbate educational challenges in tribal areas. A survey by the National University of Educational Planning and Administration found that only 1.7% of schools in tribal-dominated areas met all 10 parameters of basic facilities mandated under the Right to Education Act (NUEPA, 2014). Issues like lack of electrification, absence of separate toilets for girls, and teacher absenteeism were particularly acute.

Cultural and linguistic barriers also impact educational outcomes for tribal students. Many tribal languages lack a written script, creating challenges in early education. The imposition of mainstream languages as mediums of instruction further alienates tribal students (Sujatha, 2002).

### 3.3 Healthcare

Access to quality healthcare remains a major challenge for tribal communities compared to urban areas. Only 31% of tribal households have access to public health centers within a 5 km radius, compared to 88% for urban areas (NFHS-4, 2015-16). Key health indicators like infant mortality rate (IMR) and maternal mortality ratio (MMR) are significantly worse in tribal areas - the tribal IMR is 44.4 per 1000 live births versus 27 in urban areas (MoHFW, 2017).

Malnutrition is another critical issue, with 42% of tribal children under 5 being underweight compared to 26% in urban areas (NFHS-4, 2015-16). Traditional healing practices and lack of awareness about modern medicine further compound health challenges in tribal regions (Balgir, 2011).

### 3.4 Infrastructure and Basic Amenities

There are stark disparities in access to basic infrastructure and amenities between tribal and urban areas. As per the 2011 Census, only 22.6% of tribal households had electricity compared to 92.7% in urban areas. The gap in sanitation facilities is even wider - only 22.6% of tribal households had toilets compared to 81.4% in urban areas.

Road connectivity, crucial for accessing markets and services, remains poor in many tribal regions. A study found that only 32% of tribal habitations were connected by all-weather roads compared to nearly universal connectivity in urban areas (PMGSY, 2016).

### 3.5 Employment and Economic Status

While agriculture remains the primary occupation for over 70% of the tribal workforce, productivity and incomes remain low due to factors like small landholdings, lack of irrigation, and limited access to modern farming techniques (Bijoy, 2003). In contrast, the urban workforce is predominantly engaged in services and manufacturing.

Wage disparities are significant - the average daily wage for tribal workers was Rs. 159 compared to Rs. 249 for urban workers (Labour Bureau, 2016). Limited skills and education restrict tribal youth from accessing better economic opportunities in urban areas.

Poverty rates remain disproportionately high among tribal communities. As per NITI Aayog estimates, 43% of Scheduled Tribes live below the poverty line compared to 25% of the general population and 13.7% in urban areas (NITI Aayog, 2021).

### 3.6 Land Alienation and Displacement

A major factor underlying tribal economic vulnerability is the loss of traditional lands and livelihoods. Despite legal protections, tribal communities have faced widespread land alienation due to infrastructure projects, mining, and encroachment (Xaxa Committee, 2014). An estimated 40% of those displaced by development projects since independence have been tribals, disrupting traditional economic and social structures (Fernandes, 2008).

The case of Kerala's tribal communities illustrates these challenges. The 1975 Kerala Scheduled Tribes Act aimed to restore alienated tribal lands, but implementation has been limited. In Attappady region alone, over 10,000 acres of tribal land was alienated between 1960-77 (Kalathil, 2004).



### 3.7 Cultural Identity and Social Exclusion

Beyond material deprivation, tribal communities also face challenges of cultural marginalization and social exclusion. Mainstream development paradigms often fail to account for tribal worldviews and traditional knowledge systems (Bijoy, 2003). Tribal languages and cultural practices face the threat of erosion amidst pressures of assimilation.

Social discrimination and stigma further limits tribal integration into mainstream society. A study found that 60% of tribal students faced some form of discrimination in urban educational institutions (NSSO, 2015).

## IV. Discussion

The findings highlight the persistent and multi-dimensional nature of socioeconomic disparities between tribal and urban communities in India. Despite decades of affirmative action policies and targeted development programs, tribal populations continue to lag significantly on most human development indicators.

Several factors underlie these disparities:

**Geographic isolation:** The remote location of many tribal communities limits their access to markets, services and opportunities available in urban areas. Poor connectivity and infrastructure compounds this isolation.

**Historical marginalization:** Centuries of exploitation and alienation from traditional lands and resources have eroded the economic base of many tribal communities.

**Cultural barriers:** Tribal worldviews, languages and traditional knowledge systems are often at odds with mainstream development paradigms, creating challenges in areas like education and healthcare.

**Governance deficits:** Despite constitutional protections, implementation of laws and policies for tribal welfare remains weak. Tribal communities often lack political voice and representation.

**Skills gap:** Limited access to quality education and skill development restricts tribal youth from capitalizing on India's economic growth.

These interlinked factors create a cycle of deprivation that is difficult to break through

piecemeal interventions. A more holistic, culturally-sensitive approach is needed to address tribal development challenges.

At the same time, it is important to recognize the resilience and agency of tribal communities. Many have successfully adapted to changing circumstances while preserving core cultural values. Indigenous knowledge systems offer valuable insights for sustainable development that can benefit broader society (Negi & Ganguly, 2011).

## V. Policy Implications

Based on the analysis, following policy recommendations are proposed to bridge tribal-urban disparities:

**Education:** Expand culturally appropriate multi-lingual education in tribal areas. Improve school infrastructure and teacher training. Increase scholarships and support services for tribal students in higher education.

**Healthcare:** Strengthen primary healthcare infrastructure in tribal regions. Integrate traditional healing practices with modern medicine. Focus on addressing malnutrition through community-based interventions.

**Livelihoods:** Protect tribal land rights and forest-based livelihoods. Promote skill development aligned with local resources and markets. Support tribal entrepreneurship through targeted credit and capacity building.

**Infrastructure:** Accelerate road connectivity, electrification and digital access in tribal areas. Ensure tribal participation in planning and implementation of infrastructure projects.

**Governance:** Strengthen implementation of protective legislation like Forest Rights Act and PESA. Enhance tribal representation in local governance institutions.

**Cultural preservation:** Document and promote tribal languages, knowledge systems and cultural heritage. Support community-led conservation of sacred groves and biodiversity.

**Urban integration:** Create safe spaces and support networks for tribal migrants in urban areas. Address discrimination through sensitization programs.



## VI. Conclusion

This paper has highlighted the persistent socioeconomic disparities between tribal and urban communities in India across multiple dimensions. While there has been progress in some areas, tribal populations continue to face disproportionate challenges in accessing basic services and economic opportunities.

Bridging these disparities is crucial not just for social justice, but also for India's overall development. Tribal communities possess valuable traditional knowledge and cultural heritage that can contribute to sustainable and inclusive growth. Their equitable integration into the national mainstream, while preserving cultural identities, remains a key challenge for policymakers.

A paradigm shift is needed in the approach to tribal development - one that goes beyond welfare to focus on rights and empowerment. This calls for strengthening tribal autonomy, protecting traditional livelihoods, and creating culturally-appropriate pathways for education and skill development. At the same time, efforts are needed to sensitize mainstream society and create a more inclusive environment for tribal communities.

As India aspires to become a \$5 trillion economy, ensuring that tribal and other marginalized communities are active participants in this growth story is both a moral imperative and economic necessity. Only through bridging these socioeconomic divides can India achieve truly inclusive and sustainable development.

## References

- [1]. AISHE. (2019). All India Survey on Higher Education 2018-19. Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India.
- [2]. Balgir, R. S. (2011). Tribal health hazards: An aftermath of indigenous life and culture in India. *Indian Journal of Human Genetics*, 17(3), 149-153.
- [3]. Bijoy, C. R. (2003). The Adivasis of India - A History of Discrimination, Conflict, and Resistance. *PUCL Bulletin*.
- [4]. Census of India. (2011). Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India.
- [5]. Fernandes, W. (2008). India's forced displacement policy and practice: Is compensation up to its functions. In *Can Compensation Prevent Impoverishment* (pp. 180-207). Oxford University Press.
- [6]. Kalathil, M. J. (2004). *Withering Valli: Alienation, degradation, and enslavement of tribal women in Attappady*. Discussion Paper No. 66. Kerala Research Programme on Local Level Development, Centre for Development Studies.
- [7]. Labour Bureau. (2016). *Wage Rates in Rural India 2015-16*. Ministry of Labour & Employment, Government of India.
- [8]. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2017). *National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4) 2015-16*. Government of India.
- [9]. National Sample Survey Office (NSSO). (2015). *Education in India. NSS 71st Round (January-June 2014)*. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India.
- [10]. Negi, C. S., & Ganguly, S. (2011). Development projects vs. internally displaced populations in India: a literature based appraisal. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 13(2), 249-264.
- [11]. NITI Aayog. (2021). *SDG India Index & Dashboard 2020-21: Partnerships in The Decade of Action*. Government of India.
- [12]. NUEPA. (2014). *Elementary Education in Tribal Areas*. National University of Educational Planning and Administration.
- [13]. PMGSY. (2016). *Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana - Status of Implementation*. Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India.
- [14]. Sujatha, K. (2002). Education among scheduled tribes. *India Education Report*, 87-95.
- [15]. Xaxa, V. (2011). *The status of tribal children in India: A historical perspective*. Institute for Human Development, New Delhi.
- [16]. Xaxa Committee. (2014). *Report of the high-level committee on socio-economic, health and educational status of tribal communities of India*. Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India.