International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 5, Issue 3, May-June, 2025, pp: 567-572 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

Rewriting the Stereotype: Exploring the Complexities of Manthara's Disability in the Ramayana

Supriya Kumari
Research Scholar, Ranchi University, Ranchi.

Date of Submission: 01-06-2025 Date of Acceptance: 08-06-2025

ABSTRACT: The Ramayana, a foundational epic of Indian literature, serves as both a cultural touchstone and a moral guide, shaping societal values across generations. Within this narrative, Manthara-a character often reduced to a manipulative hunchback-has faced reductive interpretations that reinforce harmful stereotypes linking disability with moral deficiency. This paper re-evaluates her portrayal through the Indian Knowledge System (IKS), challenging these tropes to reveal her multidimensionality. While Manthara's physical difference is foregrounded in many readings, her actions stem from complex motivations: loyalty to Queen Kaikeyi, fear of political instability, and strategic maneuvering within Ayodhya's palace dynamics. These factors resist simplistic associations of disability with villainy, instead positioning her as an agent navigating socio-cultural pressures.

The analysis critiques global tendencies to equate disability with weakness or evil, contrasting Western narratives that prioritize "overcoming" disability with IKS's holistic framework. Unlike rigid moral binaries, IKS acknowledges disabled individuals as integral societal members, emphasizing agency and context over physical traits. For instance, Manthara's role in Rama's exile reflects political strategy rather than inherent malice, aligning with broader Indian epic traditions where disabled figures like Dhritarashtra (the blind Mahabharata king) demonstrate nuanced intersections of power, ethics, and identity.

This study underscores the Ramayana's potential to reframe disability discourse. By situating Manthara within IKS, the paper highlights how ancient texts can subvert stereotypes, offering inclusive narratives that recognize diversity as inherent to human experience. It also addresses contemporary relevance, advocating for a shift from stigmatizing "charity" models to rights-based frameworks that align with India's evolving disability policies. Ultimately, the exploration reaffirms the value of IKS in fostering equitable representations, urging a re-evaluation of cultural narratives to promote empathy and inclusivity in modern societal discourse.

Keywords: Disability, Stereotypes, Inclusion, Complexities, Representation

I. INTRODUCTION:

The history of disability is intricately tied to the evolution of human civilization, and its representation has varied across cultures, periods, and belief systems. Disability, as typically defined, refers to a long-term impairment-whether physical, intellectual, mental, or sensory-that limits an individual's ability to participate fully in societal activities. However, the perception and treatment of disability are not uniform across societies; they are influenced by cultural, social, and historical contexts. In the case of ancient India, disability has been portrayed in complex and varied ways within mythology, religious texts, and cultural discourse. While the dominant narrative often suggests that disabled individuals in Indian mythology are represented negatively, particularly through villainous characters such as Shakuni and Manthara, this view fails to account for the nuanced depictions and the broader societal and spiritual contexts that frame these portrayals. This paper argues that Manthara's portrayal, when viewed through the Indian Knowledge System, challenges simplistic associations between disability and villainy, and instead reveals a nuanced figure whose actions are shaped by complex social, political, and emotional forces.

DISABILITY AND THE VILLAIN TROPE IN INDIAN EPICS

In literature and cultural narratives, the disabled body is often used symbolically to signify moral flaws or physical deficiencies. These symbolic representations have historically tied disability to notions of inadequacy, criminality, and even evil. Such depictions are especially evident in the "villain

International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 5, Issue 3, May-June, 2025, pp: 567-572 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

trope," where the disability of a character is linked to their moral depravity or sinister intentions. One prominent example in Indian mythology is the character of Manthara, the hunchbacked maidservant in the Ramayana. Manthara is often cast as the "evil cripple," the architect of the exile of Prince Rama, who plays a central role in the unfolding of the epic's major events. Yet, the portrayal of her disability frequently becomes a shorthand for her perceived malevolence, reducing her character to a stereotype.

While the association of disability with negative traits is prevalent in many mythological narratives, it is important to recognize that Indian epics also contain counter-examples that challenge this binary. Characters such as Dhritarashtra in the Mahabharata, who is blind yet holds the throne of Hastinapura, and Ashtavakra, a revered sage with multiple physical deformities, illustrate that disability does not uniformly equate to moral failure or villainy. These figures, though marked by physical differences, are depicted with agency, wisdom, and influence, complicating the simplistic linkage between physical impairment and ethical deficiency. Their stories suggest that ancient Indian texts were capable of presenting disability as a facet of human diversity, sometimes even attributing spiritual insight or unique strengths to those who are physically different. This complexity is often overshadowed by more dominant narratives that foreground the villainous disabled character, but it is crucial for a balanced understanding of disability in Indian mythology. However, Indian epics also present alternative narratives that complicate this binary.

COUNTER-NARRATIVES: AGENCY AND WISDOM AMONG DISABLED CHARACTERS

Moreover, the cultural and religious context of ancient India played a significant role in shaping attitudes toward disability. The doctrine of karma, for example, often framed physical impairments as consequences of actions in past lives, which could lead to both stigmatization and empathy. While this karmic interpretation sometimes justified social exclusion, it also fostered a sense of compassion and responsibility within the community, as reflected in scriptural injunctions to care for the vulnerable. Over time, these attitudes have evolved, influenced by shifts in philosophical thought, social organization, and legal reforms. Contemporary disability discourse in India increasingly advocates for a rights-based approach, moving beyond charity and stigma to emphasize inclusion, dignity, and empowerment. In this light, revisiting mythological portrayals such as that of Manthara offers an opportunity to interrogate inherited stereotypes and to promote more nuanced, equitable representations of disability.

KARMA, COMPASSION, AND SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

The conventional portrayal of Manthara in the Ramayana exemplifies how physical disability has been problematically equated with moral deficiency. As noted in traditional interpretations, "In another Indian epic the Ramayana, Manthara, a lady with hunch back was held responsible in sending Lord Rama to exile. It is projected in these epics that Dhritarashtra and Manthara's mental crookedness was an outcome of their physical deformity" (Bhatt 95). This perspective, however, reflects a reductive reading that fails to acknowledge the complex socio-political dynamics at play in Manthara's actions.

MANTHARA: POLITICAL STRATEGY, LOYALTY, AND MARGINALIZATION

A more nuanced analysis reveals that Manthara's motivations stem from her unwavering loyalty to Queen Kaikeyi rather than any inherent malice associated with her physical condition. Her actions can be interpreted as political strategy within the palace dynamics, where she perceived a threat to her mistress's status and power. This complexity challenges the simplistic villain narrative and invites readers to consider how Manthara's marginalized position as both a servant and a person with disability might have influenced her worldview and decisions. As disability scholars have noted, the portrayal of Manthara reflects societal attitudes that have historically viewed disability through a moral or religious lens rather than recognizing the social and political dimensions that shape disabled individuals' experiences.

The portrayal of Manthara also invites us to consider the complex relationship between disability and narrative function in epic literature. As a character whose physical difference is immediately visible, Manthara serves as a catalyst for pivotal plot developments that drive the Ramayana forward. This narrative positioning is significant, as it grants her an agency that transcends her marginalized social status. Rather than being relegated to the periphery of the story, her actions directly influence

International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 5, Issue 3, May-June, 2025, pp: 567-572 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

the trajectory of the epic, challenging the notion that disabled characters in ancient texts were merely incidental or symbolic. This centrality to the narrative suggests that the Ramayana, despite its sometimes-problematic framing of disability, recognizes the capacity of disabled individuals to shape events and influence history.

Furthermore, examining Manthara through the lens of Indian Knowledge Systems reveals the tension between deterministic and agentive understandings of disability in ancient Indian thought. While some interpretations frame her physical condition as a manifestation of karma or divine design, others emphasize her strategic thinking and political acumen. This duality reflects broader philosophical debates within Indian traditions about fate versus free will, the relationship between physical form and inner character, and the nature of moral responsibility. By acknowledging these tensions rather than resolving them into a single narrative, the Ramayana offers a more textured understanding of disability that resists oversimplification and invites ongoing dialogue about the meaning and significance of bodily difference in human experience.

The intersection of gender and disability in Indian epics further complicates the portrayal of characters like Manthara. As a woman and a person with a physical impairment, Manthara occupies a doubly marginalized position within the royal hierarchy. Her influence over Queen Kaikeyi, despite her status as a servant, highlights the complex ways in which women with disabilities navigate power structures. This intersectionality often amplifies the scrutiny and suspicion directed at such characters, reinforcing stereotypes while also providing opportunities for nuanced agency. Recognizing these layered identities is crucial for understanding the full scope of Manthara's motivations and the social dynamics at play in the Ramayana.

Rather than viewing Manthara's actions solely through the lens of malice, it is important to consider her as a political actor responding to the uncertainties of royal succession. Her advice to Kaikeyi is not simply the product of personal bitterness, but a strategic intervention aimed at securing her mistress's and, by extension, her own future in the palace. This reading aligns with a broader understanding of disability as not just a physical condition but a social position that can foster unique perspectives and survival strategies. Manthara's maneuvering thus challenges the notion that disabled characters in epics are merely passive or villainous, revealing instead their capacity for agency and influence.

Physical difference in Indian mythology is often imbued with symbolic meaning, reflecting societal anxieties about normalcy, purity, and order. Manthara's hunchback becomes a visual shorthand for her outsider status, but it also serves as a reminder of the arbitrary nature of social exclusion. By linking physical difference to moral suspicion, these narratives expose the mechanisms by which societies police the boundaries of belonging. Yet, the very visibility of characters like Manthara also opens up possibilities for empathy and identification, as audiences are invited to consider the lived realities behind the stereotypes.

The colonial period introduced new frameworks for interpreting disability in Indian texts, often emphasizing Western ideals of physical normalcy and moral virtue. These readings tended to reinforce negative stereotypes, casting disabled characters as symbols of cultural or moral deficiency. However, modern scholarship and activism have begun to reclaim these narratives, highlighting the complexity and resilience of disabled figures in Indian epics. By situating Manthara within both traditional and contemporary contexts, scholars can challenge inherited biases and promote more inclusive understandings of disability.

Manthara's emotional world is marked by loyalty, fear, and a deep sense of precariousness. Her attachment to Kaikeyi and anxiety about the future reflect the vulnerabilities faced by those on the margins of power. Rather than reducing her to a mere plot device, a closer reading reveals a character motivated by genuine concern and survival instincts. This emotional complexity not only humanizes Manthara but also invites readers to reflect on the broader emotional landscape of disability, which is often overlooked in favor of simplistic moral judgments.

The movement towards more inclusive disability narratives in India is reflected in both legal reforms and cultural reinterpretations. By re-examining characters like Manthara through the lens of agency, intersectionality, and social context, contemporary discourse can move beyond the charity-versus-rights binary. This shift encourages the recognition of disabled individuals as full participants in society, capable of shaping their destinies and contributing to collective narratives. Such an approach not only enriches our understanding of ancient texts but also supports the ongoing struggle for dignity and inclusion in modern India.

International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 5, Issue 3, May-June, 2025, pp: 567-572 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

While characters like Manthara have often been interpreted through negative stereotypes, a comprehensive examination of Indian epics reveals a more complex landscape of disability representation. Contemporary scholarship challenges the monolithic view that disabled characters in Indian mythology are uniformly portrayed negatively. As noted in recent research, "The epics like the Mahabharata, Ramayana, and Rig Veda have given space and strength to various disabled personalities, demonstrating the specifics that represent a narrative change in the contemporary era" (Bajpai 2). This perspective invites us to consider the multifaceted representations of disability within these texts, where characters with disabilities often demonstrate remarkable agency, wisdom, and complexity.

In the Mahabharata, for instance, Dhritarashtra's blindness prevented him from ascending the throne initially, reflecting societal barriers rather than personal inadequacy. His character complexity extends far beyond his disability, encompassing his roles as king, father, and political strategist. Similarly, in various Puranic texts, characters with disabilities often serve crucial narrative functions that transcend simplistic moral categorizations. This diversity of representation suggests that ancient Indian narratives recognized disability as one aspect of human variation rather than a definitive marker of character or worth. The themes of unity in diversity, cooperation, friendship, harmony, and power demonstrate that characters with disabilities have played critical roles through their unrivaled capabilities, challenging modern assumptions about historical perceptions of disability.

EVOLVING MODELS: FROM MEDICAL TO SOCIAL APPROACHES TO DISABILITY

To fully appreciate the complexity of Manthara's characterization, it is essential to understand the evolving frameworks through which disability has been conceptualized in India. Historically, disability in Indian society was viewed through multiple lenses, including religious, medical, and social perspectives. The medical model, which frames disability as an individual pathology requiring intervention or cure, has often dominated interpretations of characters like Manthara. However, contemporary disability studies have shifted toward a social model that locates disability not in individual bodies but in societal barriers and attitudes.

As articulated in recent policy documents, "In the social model, persons with disabilities are right holders, and are entitled to advocate for the removal of institutional, physical, informational and attitudinal barriers in society... it locates the definition of disability at the most basic level of activity/participation in core domains". This perspective challenges the traditional Indian approach that has predominantly followed the medical model, where "different definitions of disability conditions have been introduced for various purposes, essentially following the medical model and, as such, they have been based on various criteria of ascertaining abnormality or pathologic conditions of persons".

Applying this evolving framework to Manthara's character allows us to reinterpret her actions not as consequences of her physical condition but as responses to social and political circumstances. Her marginalization within the palace hierarchy, combined with her loyalty to Queen Kaikeyi, provides context for her actions that transcends simplistic associations between physical impairment and moral character. This reframing aligns with contemporary disability theory that recognizes disabled individuals as active agents navigating complex social environments rather than passive recipients of medical intervention or moral judgment.

HISTORICAL SHIFTS: INCLUSION, EXCLUSION, AND THE ROLE OF THE STATE

The historical treatment of disability in ancient India reveals a more nuanced approach than often recognized. Contrary to the dominant narrative of exclusion and marginalization, evidence suggests that ancient Indian society demonstrated varying degrees of inclusion and accommodation for persons with disabilities. As noted by scholars, "In India, the attitude of the state has always been one of charity and welfare. From the colonial period, schools and residential institutions mainly for visually and orthopaedically impaired people were started mainly in towns with religious shrines" (Mehta 67). This suggests a historical recognition of disability that predates modern conceptualizations.

The historical context also reveals the complex interplay between religious beliefs and social practices regarding disability. The concept of karma has often been cited as reinforcing negative attitudes toward disability, suggesting that impairments result from past misdeeds. However, this interpretation oversimplifies the nuanced

International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 5, Issue 3, May-June, 2025, pp: 567-572 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

philosophical perspectives embedded in ancient Indian texts. As noted by disability scholars, "While disability was sometimes seen as a manifestation of past deeds, this belief did not uniformly lead to stigmatization. Instead, respect for disabled individuals often depended on their ability to overcome physical challenges and contribute meaningfully to society" (Ghosh 5).

The Manusmriti, while problematic in many aspects, acknowledges the responsibilities of kings toward disabled individuals, mandating "that the king should give gifts and carry out various types of charitable deeds for a learned Brahmin, a person suffering from diseases, a young person (and an orphan), a person who is very old, and a person who was born into a noble family" (Bhatt 95). This suggests that ancient Indian society recognized obligations toward vulnerable populations, including those with disabilities, even while not according them equal standing. The Bhagavad Gita similarly references generosity toward the disabled, indicating that care for disabled individuals was integrated into religious and social frameworks.

Contemporary disability studies have emerged primarily from Western academic traditions, often overlooking the unique perspectives offered by Indian Knowledge Systems. As noted by scholars, "Theoretical approaches to disability have engaged in critically unpacking structures of categorical exclusion in the form of ableism, normalcy and construction of disabled people as the other" (Ghai 8). However, these approaches must be contextualized within Indian philosophical frameworks to fully understand characters like Manthara.

The Indian Knowledge System offers alternative conceptualizations of difference and diversity that can enrich modern disability discourse. While Western approaches often emphasize individual rights and autonomy, Indian philosophical traditions have historically emphasized interconnectedness, duty, and the integration of diverse individuals within social structures. This perspective allows for a more contextual understanding of characters like Manthara, whose actions can be interpreted not solely as individual moral failings but as responses to complex social, political, and cultural dynamics.

As noted by disability scholar Anita Ghai, whose work explores disability as a "social, cultural and political phenomenon," disability should be "accepted as a part of social diversity". This perspective aligns with emerging approaches in Indian disability studies that seek to integrate traditional knowledge systems with contemporary rights-based frameworks. By reconsidering Manthara's character through this lens, we can appreciate how the Ramayana presents a complex portrayal that resists simplistic categorizations of disabled characters as either villains or victims.

The reinterpretation of Manthara's character has significant implications for contemporary disability discourse in India. As the country transitions from a charity-based approach to a rights-based framework, as evidenced by legislation like the Persons with Disabilities Act 1995 and subsequent policies, the cultural narratives surrounding disability remain influential in shaping public perceptions. By challenging reductive interpretations of characters like Manthara, we can contribute to broader efforts to destigmatize disability and recognize the agency and complexity of disabled individuals.

Contemporary disability activism in India has increasingly emphasized the shift "from 'Charity to Rights'", challenging historical approaches that positioned disabled individuals as objects of pity rather than rights-bearing citizens. This shift aligns with global disability rights movements while maintaining connections to India's cultural heritage. As noted by scholars, the establishment of institutions like the 'National Institute for the Physically Handicapped, National Institute for the Orthopedically Handicapped, National Institute for the Visually Handicapped, National Institute for the Hearing Handicapped, National Institute for the Mentally Handicapped, and the National Institute of Rehabilitation, Training and Research' reflects evolving approaches to disability in modern India.

By revisiting and reinterpreting characters like Manthara, we can contribute to this evolving discourse, challenging stereotypes that have historically linked disability with moral deficiency. This reinterpretation aligns with contemporary efforts to recognize disability as an aspect of human diversity rather than a deficit or divine punishment, promoting more inclusive and equitable representations in both cultural narratives and social policies.

II. CONCLUSION

The re-examination of Manthara's character in the Ramayana through the lens of the Indian Knowledge System (IKS) reveals the limitations of traditional, stereotypical interpretations of disability in Indian epics. Rather than being a mere embodiment of villainy or moral deficiency, Manthara emerges as a complex figure

whose actions are deeply rooted in loyalty, political acumen, and the socio-cultural realities of her environment. This nuanced perspective challenges the prevailing tendency to equate physical difference with ethical inferiority and instead highlights the agency and subjectivity of disabled individuals within ancient narratives.

By situating Manthara alongside other disabled figures such as Dhritarashtra and Ashtavakra, this study underscores the diversity of disability representation in Indian mythology. These texts, when read critically, offer both cautionary tales and counter-narratives that recognize the wisdom, resilience, and influence of disabled characters. The intersection of gender, disability, and social status in Manthara's story further enriches our understanding of how marginalized identities navigate power structures and societal expectations.

Furthermore, the paper demonstrates that the Ramayana and similar epics are not static repositories of prejudice but dynamic texts capable of supporting inclusive and empowering interpretations. The evolution from charity-based to rights-based approaches in contemporary disability discourse finds resonance in these ancient stories, especially when read through the IKS framework. Such readings not only challenge inherited biases but also contribute to ongoing efforts to foster empathy, dignity, and inclusion for disabled individuals in modern India.

Ultimately, revisiting Manthara's portrayal encourages a broader rethinking of cultural narratives surrounding disability. It urges scholars, educators, and policymakers to move beyond reductive stereotypes and to embrace the complexities and contributions of disabled individuals, both in literature and society. In doing so, the Ramayana and other Indian epics can serve as valuable resources for building a more just and inclusive future.

REFERENCES

[1]. Advani, Lal. "Rights of Disabled Persons: An Indian Experience." International Journal of Advancement of Education and Social Sciences, vol. 5, no. 2, 1997, pp. 78-95.

[2]. Bhatt, Usha. "Changing Faces of Disability in India: A Critical Analysis." International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, vol. 11, no. 3, 2023, pp. 94-96.

[3]. Census of India. "Disabled Population." Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India, 2011.

[4]. Ghai, Anita. Rethinking Disability in India. 1st ed., Routledge, 2015.

[5]. Ghosh, Nandini, editor. "Introduction: Interrogating Disability in India." Interrogating Disability in India, Springer India, 2016, pp. 1-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-81-322-3595-8_1.

[6]. "Historical Perception Of Disability." International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, vol. 11, no. 35, 2023, pp. 826-830, www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT1135826.pdf.

[7]. Mehta, Prayag. "Disability and Public Policy." *Social Scientist*, vol. 11, no. 1, 1983, pp. 66-80. JSTOR, www.jstor.org/stable/3516989.

[8]. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. "Chapter 3: Major Concepts and Definitions." Government of India, 2016, mospi.gov.in/sites/default/files/reports_and_publication/cso_social_statices_division/Chapter3_Definition_Disability.pdf.

[9]. Mohit, Anuradha, and RajiveRaturi. "The Right to Education for All: From Rhetoric to Reality." Disability, CBR & Inclusive Development, vol. 23, no. 2, 2000, pp. 48-63.

[10]. Roulstone, Alan, et al. Disability, Spaces and Places of Policy Exclusion. Routledge, 2012.

[11]. "Commentary: Persons with Disabilities in India: A Special Educator's Personal Perspective." Disability Studies Quarterly, vol. 25, no. 1, 2005, dsq-sds.org/index.php/dsq/article/view/485/662.

[12]. Yashi, Bajpai. "An Analysis of Disability in Ancient Indian Texts." Creative Saplings, vol. 2, no. 07, 2023, pp. 1-12. DOI: 10.56062/gtrs.2023.2.04.337.