International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 4, Issue 3, May.-June, 2024, pp: 492-496 www.ijhssm.org

Implementation of Just-In-Time at Ashok Leyland: Challenges and Solutions

Mr. Yashpal , Student MBA Sem IV; Mr. Ujjwal Sharma, Assistant Professor; Dr. Gazala Yasmin Ashraf
Associate Professor , Amity Business School, Amity University Chhattisgarh

Date of Submission: 06-05-2024 Date of Acceptance: 18-05-2024

Abstract
In recent years, Just-in-Time (JIT) inventory systems have drawn considerable interest in the field of operations management because of their potential to increase efficiency, decrease waste, and improve overall productivity. This paper presents a descriptive analysis of the implementation of Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory management at Ashok Leyland, a prominent player in the automotive industry. Through a comprehensive examination of the challenges faced, solutions adopted, and the overall success or failure of JIT implementation, this paper aims to provide valuable managerial insights for organizations considering or undergoing similar transformations.

This research paper aims to explore the advantages and obstacles that come with implementing JIT inventory systems in various industries. By conducting a comprehensive review of existing literature, this study investigates the primary benefits of JIT systems, including reduced inventory costs, enhanced responsiveness to customer demands, and improved quality control. Additionally, the paper examines the difficulties and limitations that organizations face when adopting JIT practices, such as the need for accurate demand forecasting, reliable suppliers, and potential disruptions in the supply chain. Through a detailed analysis of empirical evidence and case studies, this research offers valuable insights into the factors that contribute to the successful implementation of JIT inventory systems and provides recommendations for overcoming common challenges.

Keywords: Just-in-Time (JIT), inventory management, efficiency, supply chain, challenges, benefits.

I. Introduction

Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory management has emerged as a critical strategy for enhancing operational efficiency and competitiveness in the automotive sector. Ashok Leyland, a leading commercial vehicle manufacturer in India, embarked on a journey to implement JIT principles in its production and supply chain operations. This paper delves into the complexities of JIT implementation at Ashok Leyland, highlighting the problems encountered, the strategies employed to address them, and the ultimate outcomes.

The goal and parameters of the research paper

Considering this, the goal of this research article is to provide a thorough assessment of the advantages and difficulties of putting Just-in-Time (JIT) inventory systems into practice. Through the examination of scholarly works, practical case studies, and business procedures, we hope to offer insightful information about the effects of implementing Just-In-Time (JIT) techniques in many types of organizational settings. This study will also examine the tactics for implementing JIT successfully, addressing the risks and constraints that may arise from using JIT techniques.

By doing this analysis, we hope to provide practical suggestions to professionals, scholars, and legislators who are working to improve inventory control procedures and promote operational excellence in their fields. Organizations can position themselves for long-term success in a market that is becoming more and more competitive by grasping the subtleties of Just-In-Time (JIT) deployment and taking full use of its potential benefits while successfully addressing related problems.

II. Literature Review

Just-in-time (JIT) is a philosophy as well as a technique. It is a technique for reducing inventories. As a philosophy, its primary goal is the

elimination of waste in the production system. Anything that does not add value to the product in the system is waste (Narasimhan et al., 2003). JIT systems require very little inventory because successive operations are closely coordinated. No activity should take place in a JIT system until there is a need for it (Christopher, 1998). JIT is based on planned elimination of all waste and continuous improvement of productivity, and requires all the parts or components to be made available at the time of their requirements and not before. Hence, it is one of the effective means of controlling the flow of inventory, preventing its storage and managing it effectively.

The basic idea of JIT was originally developed and brought to a notice by the Toyota Motor Company in Japan (Shingo, 1981; Ohno, 1982; Sohal et al., 1988). Taiichi Ohno, a former shop manager and later vice president of Toyota Motor Company, is the individual generally credited with the development of JIT, who is often called 'Father of JIT'. The JIT philosophy gradually developed and was accepted as one of the important management principles. By the mid 1970s, many of the Japanese industries had adopted this principle and were using it for managing manufacturing activities (Sohal et al., 1988). Initially, this approach was not known by its current name JIT but by the name Toyota Production System, as its source of origin was in Japan. However most of the ideas embodied in JIT were combined and implemented earlier by an American automobile company, the Ford Motor Company, about 50 years before JIT evolved (Gaither and Frazier, 2004). Henry Ford, the founder of the company, presented his approach to production, which was similar to JIT (Ford, H., 1926).

The JIT approach considers the production activity in totality in contrast to traditional approach of manufacturing, where different functions are considered in isolation. One of the key goals achieved through JIT approach is to reduce the inventory including work-in-progress (WIP) and finished goods parts to its minimum level, preferably zero. It emphasizes on the necessity of a well-designed production system for the efficient production of perfectly defect free goods (McMohan and Browne, 2000), and ensures that all the elements of the supply chain in a production system work effectively in order to know the exact shipping and replenishment requirements of a product. It necessitates the requirement for small shipments to be made more frequently to meet the precise time requirements of the customer (Christopher, 1998). The JIT approach emphasizes on waste reduction, total quality control and devotion to customer (Adam and Ebert, 2003), and requires strong commitment and discipline on the part of organization and even Toyota Motor Company took 20 years to implement JIT system. It seeks to achieve zero lead time and a lot size of unity. The concept of zero lead time is ideal and unrealistic, but a manufacturing system that strives to keep the lead time minimum can render more flexibility to meet the short-term fluctuations in market demand.

According to Lee and Kim (2020), JIT enables companies to minimize inventory holding costs, reduce lead times, improve production flexibility, and enhance overall productivity. Furthermore, JIT implementation fosters closer collaboration between suppliers and manufacturers, leading to smoother production flows and better quality control (Monden, 2011).

The JIT system offers the following benefits (Krajewski and Ritzman, 2000; Martinich, 2005; Russell and Taylor, 2006; Meredith et al., 2015; Stevenson, 2015):

- It reduces the inventory levels drastically.
- It greatly reduces the lead time.
- It improves the product quality because of worker involvement in solving the causes of production problems.
- It eliminates wastes in production and material.
- It improves customer responsiveness.
- It reduces the overall cost of inventory.
- It reduces the purchasing costs.
- It increases the equipment utilization and productivity.
- It increases the organizational discipline and managerial involvement

Despite its numerous benefits, JIT implementation poses several challenges for organizations. These challenges include increased susceptibility to supply chain disruptions, greater reliance on supplier reliability, and the need for sophisticated inventory management systems (Womack et al., 2020). Additionally, JIT requires a cultural shift within organizations, emphasizing teamwork, continuous improvement, and a zero-defect mentality (Imai, 2016).

III. Methodology

This research adopts a descriptive approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data analysis methods. Primary data will be collected through interviews with key stakeholders in Ashok Leyland's supply chain, including

procurement managers, production supervisors, and logistics coordinators. Secondary data will be gathered from scholarly articles, industry reports, and case studies on JIT implementation in automotive manufacturing.

IV. Findings

Description of JIT Management System at Ashok Leyland:

Supplier Collaboration: Ashok Leyland works closely with its suppliers to ensure timely deliveries of raw materials and components. This collaboration involves establishing long-term partnerships with reliable suppliers, implementing vendor-managed inventory (VMI) systems, and monitoring supplier performance metrics.Monden, Y. (2011); Christopher, M. (1998).

Demand Forecasting:: The company employs advanced forecasting models to anticipate customer demand accurately. By forecasting demand patterns, Ashok Leyland can adjust production schedules and inventory levels accordingly, minimizing the risk of stockouts or excess inventory.Meredith, J. R., Raturi, A., & Amoako-Gyampah, K. (2015); Krajewski, L. J., & Ritzman, L. P. (2000).

Lean Manufacturing Practices: Ashok Leyland embraces lean manufacturing principles to eliminate waste, reduce production lead times, and optimize resource utilization. Lean techniques such as value stream mapping, kanban systems, and continuous improvement initiatives are integral to the JIT management system.Ohno, T. (1982); Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., &Roos, D. (2020).

Production Flexibility: The JIT system enables Ashok Leyland to respond quickly to changes in market demand and customer preferences. Flexible production processes allow the company to customize product configurations and adapt to fluctuating demand levels without incurring excessive inventory costs.Narasimhan, R., &McLeavey, B. (2003); Sohal, A. S., & Egglestone, A. H. (1988).

Benefits of JIT Management System at Ashok Leyland:

Reduced Inventory Costs:By maintaining minimal inventory levels and adopting a pull-based production approach, Ashok Leyland minimizes inventory holding costs, storage expenses, and obsolescence risks.Martinich, J. S. (2005); Russell, R. S., & Taylor, B. W. (2006).

Improved Operational Efficiency:JIT practices enhance production efficiency by eliminating bottlenecks, reducing setup times, and optimizing resource utilization. Streamlined workflows and synchronized supply chains contribute to overall operational excellence. McMohan, J. M., & Browne, J. (2000); Adam, E. E., & Ebert, R. J. (2003).

Enhanced Product Quality: The JIT system emphasizes quality control measures, employee involvement, and error prevention techniques, resulting in higher product quality and fewer defects. Improved quality enhances customer satisfaction and brand reputation.Lee, J. Y., & Kim, C. (2020); Stevenson, W. J. (2015).

Increased Customer Responsiveness: With JIT inventory management, Ashok Leyland can fulfill customer orders quickly and reliably, leading to shorter lead times, on-time deliveries, and improved customer service levels.Gaither, N., & Frazier, G. (2004).

Challenges of JIT Management System at Ashok Leyland:

Challenges Faced: The implementation of JIT at Ashok Leyland was not without hurdles. Key challenges included:

Supplier Reliability: Dependency on external suppliers for timely deliveries exposes Ashok Leyland to supply chain risks, including supplier disruptions, material shortages, and quality issues.Imami, M. (2016); Sohal, A. S., & Egglestone, A. H. (1988).

Demand Variability: Fluctuations in customer demand pose challenges for production planning and inventory management. Ashok Leyland must balance demand variability with production capacity and resource constraints.Christopher, M. (2016);Narasimhan, R., &McLeavey, B. (2003).

Cultural Resistance: Resistance to change among employees accustomed to traditional inventory management practices may hinder the adoption of JIT principles. Overcoming cultural barriers requires effective change management strategies and employee training initiatives.Imai, M. (2016); Shingo, S. (1981).

Infrastructure Limitations: Insufficient technological infrastructure and IT systems may impede the implementation of JIT practices, particularly in complex manufacturing environments. Investment in technology upgrades and automation is essential to support JIT operations effectively.Meredith, J. R., Raturi, A., & Amoako-Gyampah, K. (2015); Krajewski, L. J., & Ritzman, L. P. (2000).

Solutions Implemented: To overcome these challenges, Ashok Leyland adopted several strategies:

Supplier Collaboration: Strengthening relationships with key suppliers through partnership agreements and performance monitoring.
Demand Forecasting: Implementing advanced forecasting models to anticipate demand fluctuations and adjust production schedules accordingly.
Employee Training: Providing comprehensive training programs to educate employees about JIT principles and encourage active participation in process improvements.
Technology Investment: Upgrading IT systems and investing in automation to enhance production flexibility and responsiveness.
Successes and Failures: The implementation of JIT yielded both successes and failures for Ashok Leyland:
Successes: Significant reduction in inventory holding costs, improved production efficiency, enhanced product quality, and better customer responsiveness.
Failures: Initial production disruptions due to supplier delays, resistance from certain employee groups, and challenges in integrating JIT with existing systems.
Managerial Implications: The JIT implementation experience at Ashok Leyland offers several managerial insights:
Strategic Alignment: Ensure alignment between JIT implementation and organizational goals to maximize benefits.
Continuous Improvement: Foster a culture of continuous improvement to sustain JIT practices and adapt to evolving market conditions.
Supplier Relationships: Develop robust supplier relationships based on trust, transparency, and mutual benefit.
Employee Engagement: Empower employees to contribute actively to process improvements and embrace change.

V. Conclusion:

In conclusion, the implementation of JIT at Ashok Leyland was characterized by both successes and failures. While the journey was challenging, it ultimately resulted in significant improvements in operational efficiency and customer satisfaction. By addressing challenges proactively, leveraging solutions effectively, and embracing a culture of continuous improvement, organizations can realize the full potential of JIT inventory management.

References:

[1]. Adam, E. E., & Ebert, R. J. (2003). Production and Operations Management: Manufacturing and Services (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.
[2]. Blackburn, J. D., Scudder, G. D., and Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2004). "Empirical Research on Just-in-Time: A Review and Synthesis." Production and Operations Management, 13(3), 287-305.
[3]. Braglia, M., Frosolini, M., and Montanari, R. (2012). "Just-in-Time: A Decade of Empirical Research." International Journal of Production Economics, 139(2), 671-684.
[4]. Christopher, M. (2016). Logistics & supply chain management. Pearson UK.
[5]. Emiliani, M. L., and Stec, D. J. (2005). "JIT Is Not Just Japanese: Sixteen Myths About Lean Production." International Journal of Production Research, 43(12), 2401-2433.
[6]. Farris, M. T., & Terry, D. J. (2011). Just-in-time manufacturing: An introduction. CRC Press.
[7]. Ferdows, K., & De Meyer, A. (1990). Lasting improvements in manufacturing performance: In search of a new theory. Journal of Operations Management, 9(2), 168-184.
[8]. Fisher, M. L. (1997). What is the right supply chain for your product? Harvard Business Review, 75(2), 105-116.
[9]. Fullerton, R. R., & McWatters, C. S. (2002). The role of performance measures and incentive systems in relation to the degree of JIT implementation. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 27(8), 711-735.
[10]. Fullerton, R. R., and McWatters, C. S. (2001). "The Production Performance Benefits from JIT Implementation." Journal of Operations Management, 19(1), 81-96.
[11]. Gaither, N., & Frazier, G. (2004). Operations Management (10th ed.). South-Western/Thomson Learning.
[12]. Godsell, J., & Masi, D. (2012). The impact of supply chain processes on the JIT paradox. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 32(5), 545-568.
[13]. Imai, M. (2016). Gemba Kaizen: A Commonsense Approach to Continuous Improvement Strategy. McGraw Hill Professional.
[14]. Krajewski, L. J., & Ritzman, L. P. (2000). Operations Management: Strategy and Analysis (5th ed.). Addison-Wesley.

[15]. Krafcik, J. F. (1988). Triumph of the lean production system. Sloan Management Review, 30(1), 41-52.
[16]. McMohan, J. M., & Browne, J. (2000). Purchasing Principles and Management (8th ed.). Prentice Hall.
[17]. Meredith, J. R., Raturi, A., & Amoako-Gyampah, K. (2015). Operations Management for MBAs (5th ed.). Wiley.
[18]. Monczka, R. M., Handfield, R. B., Giunipero, L. C., & Patterson, J. L. (2015). Purchasing and supply chain management. Cengage Learning.
[19]. Monden, Y. (2011). Toyota Production System: An Integrated Approach to Just-In-Time (4th ed.). CRC Press.
[20]. Nakamura, Y., and Stevenson, M. (2007). "The Impact of Just-in-Time Manufacturing and Its Infrastructure on Manufacturing Performance." Management Science, 53(5), 647-664.
[21]. Narasimhan, R., &McLeavey, B. (2003). Production Planning and Control: Text and Cases (3rd ed.). Wiley.
[22]. Ohno, T. (1988). Toyota Production System: Beyond Large-Scale Production. Productivity Press.
[23]. Sakakibara, S., Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. G., and Morris, W. T. (1997). "The Impact of Just-in-Time Manufacturing and Its Infrastructure on Manufacturing Performance." Management Science, 43(9), 1246-1257.
[24]. Shah, R., & Ward, P. T. (2007). Defining and developing measures of lean production. Journal of Operations Management, 25(4), 785-805.
[25]. Shingo, S. (1989). A Study of the Toyota Production System: From an Industrial Engineering Viewpoint. Productivity Press.
[26]. Slack, N., Brandon-Jones, A., & Johnston, R. (2016). Operations management. Pearson Education Limited.
[27]. Sohal, A. S., & Egglestone, A. H. (1988). Just-in-time: A Review of the Literature. International Journal of Production Research, 26(1), 49-75.
[28]. Spear, S. J., and Bowen, H. K. (1999). "Decoding the DNA of the Toyota Production System." Harvard Business Review, 77(5), 97-106.
[29]. Stevenson, W. J. (2018). Operations management. McGraw-Hill Education.
[30]. Su, X., & Chen, M. (2016). Just-in-time purchasing: A review of JIT purchasing research and directions for future research. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 22(3), 249-262.
[31]. Vonderembse, M. A., and White, G. P. (2004). "Just-in-Time: A Review of Empirical Research." International Journal of Production Research, 42(16), 4235-4269.
[32]. Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., &Roos, D. (1990). Machine that changed the world: The story of lean production. Harper Perennial.
[33]. Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., and Roos, D. (1991). The Machine That Changed the World: The Story of Lean Production--Toyota's Secret Weapon in the Global Car Wars That Is Now Revolutionizing World Industry. Scribner.
[34]. Xu, L. D., Xu, E. L., & Li, L. (2018). Industry 4.0: State of the art and future trends. International Journal of Production Research, 56(8), 2941-2962.
[35]. Yin, R. K. (2017). Case study research and applications: Design and methods. Sage Publications.