



Economic Condition of Workers and Artisans in Gupta-era Northern India

Dr. Rashmi Rani Jha

Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur

Date of Submission: 15-03-2025

Date of Acceptance: 30-03-2025

The Gupta period (circa 4th–6th century CE) is often regarded as a golden age in Indian history due to its remarkable achievements in art, literature, science, and political stability. This era saw significant economic growth, largely driven by advancements in agriculture, trade, and craftsmanship. However, the benefits of this prosperity were not equally distributed among all social classes. While the ruling elite and wealthy merchants flourished, the economic condition of workers, including laborers and artisans, remained varied and often challenging. Workers in agriculture formed the backbone of the economy, yet they faced high taxation and limited land rights, often working as tenants or bonded laborers under feudal landlords. On the other hand, artisans played a crucial role in the urban economy, contributing to industries such as metallurgy, textiles, pottery, and jewelry-making. Many skilled artisans were organized into guilds (*shrenis*), which provided economic support and bargaining power, but others, particularly independent craftsmen, struggled with fluctuating demand and competition.¹ The economic opportunities for workers and artisans were influenced by factors such as caste, state policies, and the prevailing socio-political structure. While trade and commerce thrived, leading to better prospects for some artisans, laborers engaged in menial or agrarian tasks often remained in poverty. The overall economic structure of the Gupta Empire reflected a mix of prosperity and disparity, where urban centers experienced significant wealth accumulation, but rural laborers and lower-class workers continued to face hardships. This period, though marked by economic advancements, highlights the complexities of class divisions and the uneven distribution of wealth within the empire.²

Key words: Workers, Artisans, Gupta-era, Guilds, feudalism

During the Gupta period, guilds (*shrenis*) played a crucial role in organizing the economic activities of artisans and workers. These guilds functioned as powerful socio-economic institutions

that regulated trade, maintained quality standards, and protected the interests of craftsmen.³ They acted as cooperative associations where artisans of similar trades—such as blacksmiths, weavers, goldsmiths, carpenters, potters, and jewelers—worked collectively. Guilds also served as financial entities, providing loans and acting as banks by issuing their own coins. They enjoyed considerable autonomy and sometimes even held administrative responsibilities within their localities. The state often recognized guilds officially, granting them tax exemptions or land to support their economic functions. Some artisans worked independently, while others were employed by guilds or even directly by the state for large-scale construction projects, such as temples and palaces.⁴ These guilds also played a role in training new artisans, ensuring that skills were passed down through generations. In many cases, they acted as intermediaries between artisans and merchants, helping to market their products in urban centers and along major trade routes like Uttarapatha and Dakshinapatha. Additionally, the guilds provided social security, supporting members during financial difficulties or old age. Over time, as trade began to decline towards the later Gupta period, the power of guilds weakened, leading to a more localized, self-sufficient village economy. However, during their peak, these guilds significantly contributed to economic stability and the prosperity of artisans in Gupta-era northern India.⁵

During the Gupta period, artisans and workers benefited significantly from state patronage and royal support, which played a crucial role in sustaining and promoting economic activities. The Gupta rulers recognized the importance of skilled labor in maintaining a prosperous empire and, therefore, provided various incentives to craftsmen, artists, and guilds (*shrenis*). The state granted tax concessions and land to guilds, ensuring their financial stability and encouraging the production of high-quality goods. Royal courts and religious institutions became major patrons of artisans, commissioning them for the construction of temples,



stupas, palaces, and metal sculptures.⁶ The period witnessed remarkable advancements in metallurgy, as seen in the famous Iron Pillar of Delhi, which exemplifies the excellence of Gupta-era ironworkers. The state also supported artisans by integrating them into the economic framework through organized labor divisions, where craftsmen worked under guild supervision or directly for the state. Temples and monasteries functioned as centers of economic activity, providing employment to sculptors, painters, and metalworkers. Moreover, inscriptions such as the Mandasor inscription mention that rulers and local administrators often funded guilds to ensure continuous production and economic growth.⁷ The Gupta administration's favorable policies toward trade and commerce, including the maintenance of trade routes like *Uttarapatha* and *Dakshinapatha*, further enhanced the economic conditions of artisans by expanding their market reach. However, as the empire declined in the later Gupta period, economic instability reduced state patronage, leading artisans to become more dependent on local feudal lords and religious institutions for sustenance. Nonetheless, during its peak, the Gupta state's active encouragement of craftsmanship and guild activities contributed to a flourishing artistic and economic environment.⁸

During the Gupta period, trade and market opportunities flourished due to stable governance, economic prosperity, and well-established trade networks. The empire maintained extensive internal trade routes, with *Uttarapatha* (northward trade route) and *Dakshinapatha* (southward trade route) serving as major commercial highways connecting important urban centers such as Pataliputra, Ujjain, Mathura, and Tamralipti. These routes facilitated the exchange of goods like textiles, spices, ivory, and metalwork.⁹ Local markets (*mandis*) and periodic fairs provided platforms for artisans and traders to sell their products. Guilds (*shrenis*) played a vital role in controlling trade by setting prices, maintaining quality, and ensuring the steady supply of goods.¹⁰

Foreign trade also thrived during this period, with Indian merchants engaging in maritime commerce with the Roman Empire, Southeast Asia, and China. Ports such as Tamralipti, Broach, and Kaveripattinam became major centers for exporting Indian goods like silk, muslin, pearls, and spices, while importing gold, silver, and luxury items. The issuance of gold coins (*dinaras*) by Gupta rulers further stimulated trade by facilitating large-scale transactions. However, towards the later Gupta period, trade began to decline due to the weakening of the empire, reduced Roman demand, and

increased local feudalization, which shifted economic activities towards self-sufficient village economies.¹¹ Despite this, the Gupta era marked a golden age of economic prosperity where trade networks contributed significantly to urban growth and the wealth of merchants and artisans.

During the Gupta period, the wages and living conditions of workers and artisans varied depending on their skills, profession, and employment structure. Skilled artisans such as goldsmiths, sculptors, and weavers generally earned better wages than unskilled laborers, who worked in agriculture, construction, or as general laborers. Many artisans were self-employed and sold their products in local markets or through guilds (*shrenis*), which ensured stable earnings and fair working conditions. However, those employed by the state or wealthy patrons often worked under contractual arrangements, receiving wages in the form of cash (*dinaras*), kind (grain, cloth, or tools), or land grants.¹²

The economic prosperity of the Gupta period provided relatively good living conditions for artisans and workers, particularly in urban centers like Pataliputra, Ujjain, and Mathura. Many craftsmen lived in specialized settlements based on their trade, forming close-knit occupational communities.¹³ Basic necessities such as food, clothing, and shelter were accessible, and artisans engaged in temple-building or royal projects enjoyed additional benefits like tax exemptions. However, unskilled laborers and agricultural workers often struggled with lower wages and had to rely on seasonal employment. The state levied various taxes, including *vishti* (forced labor), which affected the economic stability of lower-class workers. Towards the later Gupta period, economic decline and political instability led to reduced state patronage, increasing dependence on feudal lords and local markets, which adversely impacted wages and living standards.¹⁴

During the Gupta period, taxation played a crucial role in sustaining the empire's administration, military, and economic infrastructure. The state imposed various taxes on different economic groups, including peasants, artisans, traders, and guilds (*shrenis*). Land revenue (*bhaga*), which was usually one-fourth to one-sixth of agricultural produce, was the primary source of income for the state. In addition to land taxes, artisans and merchants were subjected to production and trade taxes, including tolls on goods transported through major trade routes like *Uttarapatha* and *Dakshinapatha*. Custom duties were also levied on



both domestic and foreign trade, contributing to the state's economy.¹⁵

Artisans and workers faced economic burdens in the form of *kara* (general tax), *shulka* (excise duty), and *vishti* (forced labor). *Vishti* was particularly harsh, as it required laborers and lower-class workers to provide free or underpaid labor for state projects, including road construction, fortification, and temple building. Although guilds enjoyed certain tax privileges, many artisans had to pay fees for operating in market areas or under royal patronage. Religious institutions and Brahmins were often granted tax exemptions, shifting more economic pressure onto common people.¹⁶

Despite these taxation policies, the overall economic structure under the Gupta administration was relatively stable, as taxes were collected systematically without excessive oppression. However, during the later Gupta period, as the empire weakened due to external invasions and internal conflicts, tax burdens on common people increased, leading to economic distress. The decline of trade and urban centers further intensified the economic strain on workers and artisans, forcing them to rely on local feudal lords for sustenance.¹⁷

The decline of trade during the later Gupta period had a profound impact on the economic structure, affecting artisans, merchants, and workers. Initially, the Gupta Empire flourished due to well-established trade routes, both internal (such as *Uttarapatha* and *Dakshinapatha*) and international (with Rome, Southeast Asia, and China). However, multiple factors, including political instability, regional fragmentation, and a decline in Roman trade, led to economic downturns. One of the major consequences of declining trade was the weakening of urban centers. Cities like Pataliputra, Ujjain, and Mathura, which were once bustling trade hubs, saw reduced commercial activity as merchants faced difficulties in exporting goods. The demand for luxury items like fine textiles, jewelry, and metalwork declined, leading to economic hardships for artisans and craftsmen. Many artisans, who previously benefited from trade guilds (*shrenis*), had to shift towards local agrarian economies or seek patronage from religious institutions and feudal lords.¹⁸

The reduction in trade also affected state revenue, as taxes on trade and commerce were significant sources of income for the Gupta administration. With declining income, the state struggled to maintain infrastructure, pay salaries, and support public welfare. The loss of revenue forced the rulers to increase land taxes and impose higher levies on local producers, creating economic

distress among peasants and workers.¹⁹ As trade networks collapsed, rural self-sufficiency became more prominent, leading to the rise of feudalism (*samanta* system).²⁰ Local landlords and feudal chiefs gained economic and administrative power, while centralized state control weakened. This shift reduced economic mobility for workers and artisans, making them dependent on local rulers rather than a broader commercial economy.²¹

The Gupta period was marked by economic prosperity, but the condition of workers and artisans varied based on their occupation, skill level, and access to trade networks. Guilds (*shrenis*) played a crucial role in organizing artisans and ensuring fair wages, while state patronage provided significant support through tax concessions, land grants, and employment in royal and religious projects. Trade and market opportunities allowed artisans to thrive, particularly in urban centers and along established trade routes like *Uttarapatha* and *Dakshinapatha*. However, taxation policies, including *vishti* (forced labor) and increased levies, placed economic burdens on the working class.²² The later Gupta period saw a decline in trade due to political instability and reduced foreign demand, leading to economic hardships for artisans and merchants. Urban centers weakened, and many skilled workers had to shift towards local agrarian economies or seek patronage from feudal lords. The rise of feudalism further impacted economic mobility, reducing the influence of trade guilds and leading to a more localized economy.²³ Despite these challenges, the Gupta period remains a significant phase in India's economic history, where organized labor, advanced craftsmanship, and state-supported trade contributed to a thriving economic environment before its eventual decline. The transition from a trade-driven economy to a feudal structure in the later period set the stage for economic and social changes in post-Gupta India.

Reference:

- [1]. Sharma, R.S., *India's Ancient Past*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, Page 304.
- [2]. Thapar, Romila, *The Penguin History of Early India*, Penguin Books, New Delhi, Page 345.
- [3]. Ramesh Chandra Majumdar, *History of Indian Economy*, Kolkata University Press, Kolkata, 1952, p.178.
- [4]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *Economic History of Ancient India*, Macmillan & Co., Delhi, 1945, p.217.



- [5]. Upendra Thakur, *Some Aspects of Ancient Indian History and Culture*, Abhinav Publications, New Delhi, 1989, p.106.
- [6]. Ramesh Chandra Majumdar, *The Gupta Empire*, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 1970, pp. 215-223.
- [7]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *op.cit.*, p.233.
- [8]. D.D. Kosambi, *The Culture and Civilization of Ancient India in Historical Outline*, Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, 1965, p. 147.
- [9]. Ramesh Chandra Majumdar, *op.cit.*, p. 238.
- [10]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *op.cit.*, pp. 260-263.
- [11]. B.P. Sinha, *The Economic Life of Northern India (A.D. 300-700)*, Motilal Banarsidass, Varanasi, 1974, pp. 178-185.
- [12]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *op.cit.*, p. 278.
- [13]. D.D. Kosambi, *op.cit.*, p.153-159.
- [14]. B.P. Sinha, *op.cit.*, p.194.
- [15]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *op.cit.*, pp. 280-288.
- [16]. Ramesh Chandra Majumdar, *op.cit.*, p. 252.
- [17]. Ibid, p.253-54.
- [18]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *op.cit.*, p. 272.
- [19]. Ramesh Chandra Majumdar, *The Gupta Empire*, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 1970, pp. 250-258.
- [20]. B.P. Sinha, *The Economic Life of Northern India (A.D. 300-700)*, Motilal Banarsidass, Varanasi, 1974, pp. 205-213.
- [21]. Ibid, p.203
- [22]. Radhakumud Mukherjee, *op.cit.*, pp. 300-308.
- [23]. Ramesh Chandra Majumdar, *op.cit.*,1970, p.274.