International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep. – Oct., 2026, pp: 293-295 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

Demand for Statehood in India: Regional Identity, Development and Federal Reorganization

Date of Submission: 06-10-2026 Date of Acceptance: 17-10-2026

Abstract
The demand for new states has been a constant feature of Indian political development since independence. The claims have been driven by a number of factors including language, ethnic group, culture, historical identity, economic disparities, administrative neglect, political representation and aspirations for greater autonomy. India's experience suggests that state re-organization has not been based on one single principle, but has evolved through negotiations between regional aspirations and the demands of national unity and administrative viability. The creation of Andhra, the reorganization of states in 1956, the creation of Punjab and Haryana, the emergence of north-eastern states and the formation of Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Uttarakhand and Telangana bring out different aspects of this process. The continuing agitations in Koshal, Bodoland, Ladakh and parts of Uttar Pradesh and Bihar further show that statehood continues to be a crucial mechanism for communities to express concerns about identity, development, representation and governance. This paper investigates the historical development and key factors of statehood demands in India, and contends that such movements are indicative of the continuing struggle to accommodate regional diversity within India's federal structure.

Keywords: Statehood, regionalism, federalism, linguistic identity, ethnic identity, state reorganization, India

I. Introduction:

When India became independent in 1947, it inherited a highly complex territorial and administrative structure. The country was made up of British provinces and princely states and the addition of these territories resulted in an initial administrative arrangement that was largely provisional. The Constitution of 1950 classified states and territories into different categories, but the rising regional aspirations soon revealed the limitations of this arrangement.

The demand for state reorganization was particularly strong on linguistic and cultural identities. Initially, the government opposed the linguistic reorganization, as political leadership feared that further fragmentation may weaken national unity during the difficult post-independence period. Therefore the Dhar Commission of 1948 and the JVP Committee of 1949 did not favor language as the principal basis for the formation of states. But the Andhra movement demonstrated the political muscle of linguistic ambition. Andhra State was created in 1953 following the death of Potti Sriramulu during a prolonged fast. It led to the creation of the States Reorganization Commission (SRC) under the chairmanship of Fazl Ali in 1953.

The SRC recognized language as a major basis for reorganization, but rejected the simplistic principle of "one language, one state". It stressed the interrelationship of national unity, linguistic and cultural considerations, economic and administrative viability and welfare of citizens. Later, the country was re-organised by the States Reorganization Act and the Seventh Constitutional Amendment in 1956 into 14 states and six Union Territories.

Historical Background of Regionalism

The roots of demands for regional statehood can also be found in colonial administrative practices. Uneven economic, political and social development in different regions under the British rule. Administrative boundaries were often determined by colonial convenience, rather than linguistic or cultural identities. Therefore, regional communities started to see disparities in development and political representation between themselves and the dominant regions (Chandra, 1984; Desai, 1989).

Bipan Chandra argues that in the absence of a strong national consciousness, regional identities could be important sources of political identification. Regional consciousness was thus not necessarily antithetical to nationalism, but developed in tandem with broader processes of political mobilization. Uneven development, perceived domination of some regions and lack of political representation led to demands for separate political identities (Chatterjee, 1975).

International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep. – Oct., 2026, pp: 293-295 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

The history of Bihar illustrates this correlation between historical identity and territorial organization. Bihar and Orissa were separated from the Bengal Presidency in 1912. In 1936 Orissa became a separate province. The history of Bihar is a story of how political, cultural and administrative factors have repeatedly led to changes in the administrative boundaries. The creation of Jharkhand in 2000, somewhat recently, changed the territorial composition of Bihar. But the regional aspirations continue in places like Mithila and Seemanchal.

Identity, Statehood and Representation

The northeast is especially important for examples of the relation between identity and political autonomy. After a long process of constitutional and political evolution, Manipur achieved full statehood in 1972. Its people wanted a constitutional framework and representative government to protect their unique cultural and regional identity. Thus the evolution of Manipur's status demonstrates that the aspirations for statehood involve not only language but also historical political autonomy, cultural identity and democratic representation.

The Bodoland movement also illustrates the relationship between ethnic identity, language, land, political representation and socio-economic development. The early movement was one of social and educational reform especially through the efforts of Kalicharan Brahma and organizations concerned with Bodo language, culture and education. Later, the Bodo Sahitya Sabha played an important role in getting the Bodo language recognized in education. These developments have resulted in increased political mobilization around greater autonomy (Basumatary, 2017; Khema, 2013).

Eventually the movement expanded into demands for autonomous territory, and finally separate statehood. The Bodo Accord of 1993 led to the creation of the Bodoland Autonomous Council and the agreement of 2003 established the Bodoland Territorial Council under the modified provisions of the Sixth Schedule. These arrangements illustrate how the Indian constitutional system has sought to address ethnic aspirations through intermediate forms of autonomy rather than through statehood alone. However, the continued disputes over territorial boundaries, political representation and competing ethnic claims also engendered conflict (Kundu, 2010; Pahi, 2022).

Regional Disparities and Development

Economic and administrative inequalities are another major foundation of statehood movements. The demand for Koshal state in western Odisha is closely linked to perceived differences between the coastal plains and western highlands. Historically, the coastal region had relatively higher levels of literacy, urbanization, industrialization and political representation, while the western region had large tribal populations and relatively weaker socio-economic development.

The movement also represents cultural and linguistic differences. Western Odisha has a distinct Sambalpuri cultural tradition, language varieties, festivals and folk traditions. Thus, the economic grievances of the Koshal statehood advocates are linked with cultural recognition and equal political representation. The case shows that regional economic disparities can heighten cultural consciousness, leading to the broadening of developmental grievances into demands for political autonomy (Mishra, 1988; Rao, 1965).

Similar arguments are being heard in calls for smaller states in other parts of India. Demand for a separate state for Saurashtra has been linked to regional identity, lack of employment opportunities, water-related issues, migration and linguistic differences. In Uttar Pradesh, the proposals of Purvanchal, Bundelkhand, Awadh Pradesh and Paschim Pradesh have been mainly related to administrative issues and regional development. The Bundelkhand demand also relies on the historical territorial identity and the desire to recreate a political identity associated with the Bundeli- speaking population.

The Telangana Model –

Telangana is one of the most significant modern-day examples of a successful statehood movement. The movement was born out of concerns over political representation, employment, implementation of regional safeguards and perceived developmental disparities. Such agreements and administrative measures to safeguard regional interests did not altogether satisfy these concerns. The question of jobs and the status of Hyderabad then became important issues in the movement.

The movement gained momentum after 2000, especially after the formation of the Telangana Rashtra Samithi in 2001. Later stages of mobilization involved students, employees, intellectuals, professionals and civil society groups. In 2014, political negotiations led to the formation of Telangana as the 29th state of India (Kamala, 2019). The Telangana experience demonstrates how it can take a long time for historical grievances, regional inequality, political mobilization and constitutional

International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)
Volume 6, Issue 5, Sep. – Oct., 2026, pp: 293-295 ISSN: 3048-6874
www.ijhssm.org

negotiation to come together to produce territorial reorganization.

Desires for Modern Statehood

The drive for statehood and self-rule continues to evolve. Ladakh is a major modern-day case in point. Since its conversion into a Union Territory in 2019, different parts of the region have raised concerns related to political representation, land, employment, cultural identity, environmental protection and constitutional safeguards. While some groups have pushed for statehood, others have focused on processes such as Sixth Schedule protections or greater autonomy.

These developments illustrate that regional movements do not necessarily have statehood as their only goal. Or they may seek autonomous councils, constitutional safeguards, legislative representation or greater control over local resources. Hence, India's federal system has evolved a number of mechanisms to accommodate regional aspirations.

II. Conclusion

The history of statehood demands in India speaks volumes about the difficulties of managing territorial diversity within a federal democracy. Language was the mainstay of the first large-scale post-independence reorganization, but subsequent movements have included ethnicity, historical identity, economic inequality, administrative neglect, political representation, and cultural protection. The statehood movements in Bihar, Manipur, Koshal, Bodoland, Telangana and Ladakh are very different in their historical origin and objectives.

The Indian experience also shows that territorial reorganization is an on-going constitutional and political process, not a one-time exercise completed in 1956. The Constitution in its article 3 empowers the Parliament to create new states and change existing boundaries. This gives a constitutional way of responding to changing regional aspirations. The main challenge remains in balancing the regional demands for recognition, representation, development and self-rule with administrative feasibility and national integration. The study of statehood movements thus provides an important insight into the working of Indian federalism and the continuing negotiation between unity and diversity.

References

[1]. Basumatary, K. R. (2017). Gurudev Kalicharan Brahma—The emergence of Bodo ethnic consciousness in the early 20th century. *SSRG International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 4*(2), 25–36.
[2]. Chandra, B. (1984). *Communalism in modern India*. Vikas Publishing.
[3]. Chatterjee, P. (1975). Bengal: Rise and growth of a nationality. *Social Scientist, 4*(1), 69–70.
[4]. Desai, A. R. (1989). *Social background of Indian nationalism*. Popular Prakashan.
[5]. Kamala, K. (2019). India's 29th state: Telangana. *International Journal of Political Science, 5*(1), 31–44.
[6]. Khema, S. (2013). *Why the Bodo movement?* Eastern EBH Publishers.
[7]. Kundu, D. K. (2010). *The state and the Bodo movement in Assam.* A.P.H. Publishing Corporation.
[8]. Mishra, R. N. (1988). The problem of regionalism in Orissa state politics. In A. P. Padhi (Ed.), *Indian state politics: A case study of Orissa* (pp. 424–425).
[9]. Pahi, S. (2022). *Ethnic mobilization and violence in Northeast India.* Routledge.
[10]. Rao, K. V. (1965). Politics in Orissa: Social ecology and constitutional compulsions. *Indian Journal of Political Science, 26*(4), 101–110.
[11]. The Constitution of India. (1950). *Article 3: Formation of new States and alteration of areas, boundaries, or names of existing States.*