



## “Contemporary Issues in Disclosure Practices of Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs): A Review”

Bidyut Kumar Das

*PhD Research Scholar*

*Department of Commerce, Assam University Diphu Campus.*

*Communication Address: Department of Commerce, Assam University, Diphu Campus, Diphu – Lumding Road, Diphu, Karbi Anglong – 782462, Assam, India.*

Dr. Sweety Das

*Assistant Professor*

*Department of Commerce, Assam University Diphu Campus*

*Communication Address: Department of Commerce, Assam University, Diphu Campus, Diphu – Lumding Road, Diphu, Karbi Anglong – 782462, Assam, India.*

Date of Submission: 25-08-2024

Date of Acceptance: 04-09-2024

### Abstract

Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) are vital to financial inclusion but face significant challenges in maintaining robust disclosure practices. This article reviews the current issues in NBFC disclosures, such as inadequate financial reporting, lack of transparency in asset quality, and insufficient regulation. The impact of these deficiencies on investor confidence and market stability is highlighted, drawing lessons from recent defaults. The role of technological advancements, including digital reporting, block chain, and AI, is explored as potential solutions to enhance transparency and compliance. A comparative analysis with global standards provides insights into best practices and areas for improvement. The article concludes with recommendations for regulatory enhancements and best practices that NBFCs can adopt to align with international benchmarks, emphasizing the need for greater transparency and stronger governance to foster investor trust and financial stability.

**Keywords:** NBFCs, disclosure practices, transparency, regulatory compliance, technological innovations, investor confidence etc.

### I. Introduction

Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) play a crucial role in the financial sector by providing credit to various segments of the economy, including underserved markets that traditional banks often overlook (RBI, 2023). The transparency and accuracy of disclosure practices

are vital for maintaining investor confidence and ensuring market stability. However, NBFCs have historically faced challenges in maintaining robust disclosure standards, leading to concerns over financial reporting, asset quality transparency, and compliance with regulatory requirements (Sarma & Kumar, 2022). This article explores the contemporary issues in the disclosure practices of NBFCs, highlighting the need for improved regulatory measures and the adoption of technological solutions to enhance transparency.

#### 1.1. Brief Overview of NBFCs and Their Role in the Financial Sector

Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) are financial institutions that provide a range of financial services, including loans, asset financing, and investment advisory, without holding a full banking license. They play a pivotal role in the financial sector by catering to segments underserved by traditional banks, such as small businesses, rural areas, and individuals with limited credit history (Patel & Singh, 2022). NBFCs contribute significantly to financial inclusion and economic growth by providing accessible credit and enhancing market liquidity (RBI, 2023).

#### 1.2. Importance of Disclosure Practices for NBFCs

Disclosure practices are critical for NBFCs as they enhance transparency, build investor trust, and ensure compliance with regulatory standards. Proper disclosures provide stakeholders with essential information regarding



financial health, risk exposure, and governance practices, which are crucial for informed decision-making (Sharma & Mehta, 2023). Inadequate disclosures can lead to misrepresentation of financial status, contributing to market instability and diminishing investor confidence, as seen in past crises within the sector (Kumar & Rao, 2022).

## 2. Objectives of the Article

This article aims to examine contemporary issues in the disclosure practices of NBFCs, focusing on regulatory challenges, the impact of inadequate disclosures on stakeholders, and the role of technological advancements in enhancing transparency. The article also seeks to compare global disclosure practices to provide recommendations for improving the current standards in India (Sinha, 2024).

## 3. Methodology

This study employs a review-based approach, analyzing existing literature, regulatory guidelines, and recent case studies related to NBFC disclosure practices. The research framework integrates insights from global standards, technological innovations, and comparative analyses to identify key issues and potential solutions. Data is synthesized from academic journals, financial reports, and regulatory documents to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and best practices in NBFC disclosures.

## 4. Significance of the Study

The study is significant as it addresses critical gaps in the disclosure practices of NBFCs, highlighting the impact on investor confidence and market stability. By examining global standards and technological advancements, this research provides actionable recommendations for enhancing transparency in the sector. The findings are crucial for policymakers, regulators, and NBFCs, as they offer insights into improving financial reporting and fostering a more stable and transparent financial environment.

## 5. Regulatory Framework for Disclosure in NBFCs

Disclosure practices in NBFCs are primarily governed by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). RBI guidelines mandate regular financial disclosures, focusing on asset quality, capital adequacy, and risk exposure to ensure financial stability (RBI, 2023). SEBI's disclosure norms for listed NBFCs include periodic reporting requirements, but these are less stringent compared to banks, leading to variations in the quality and extent of information provided (SEBI, 2023).

### 5.1. Comparative Analysis of Disclosure Requirements for NBFCs vs. Banks

NBFCs and banks differ significantly in their disclosure requirements, primarily in the frequency and depth of reporting. The table below highlights these key differences:

**Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Disclosure Requirements for NBFCs vs. Banks**

Regulation	NBFCs	Banks	Key Differences
<b>RBI Guidelines</b>	Required quarterly disclosures	Required monthly disclosures	Frequency of reporting; banks report more frequently, ensuring more up-to-date information.
<b>SEBI Disclosure Norms</b>	Limited financial and operational disclosures, often focusing on major events and quarterly results.	Extensive disclosures, including detailed financial statements, risk factors, and operational insights.	Scope of disclosures; banks are required to provide more detailed and frequent updates, enhancing transparency.
<b>Capital Adequacy Reporting</b>	Less frequent and less stringent requirements compared to banks.	Frequent and detailed disclosures on capital adequacy and risk management practices.	Banks face stricter capital adequacy disclosure norms, promoting stronger oversight.
<b>Asset Quality Reporting</b>	Reporting primarily on significant changes in asset quality.	Detailed asset quality reports, including non-performing assets (NPA) and risk provisioning details.	Banks provide more comprehensive asset quality information, aiding in risk assessment.
<b>Related Party Transactions</b>	Limited disclosure, often aggregated without detailed breakdowns.	Detailed disclosure of related party transactions, including specific terms and risk implications.	Banks are required to disclose detailed information on related party transactions, ensuring greater transparency.
<b>Liquidity</b>	Less stringent reporting	Regular, often monthly,	Banks are subject to more frequent



<b>Coverage Ratios</b>	requirements, often quarterly or as mandated by RBI.	reporting on liquidity ratios, emphasizing short-term financial stability.	liquidity disclosures, highlighting ongoing financial health.
------------------------	--	--	---

Source: Existing Literature.

Banks are subject to more frequent and detailed disclosures, enhancing transparency and regulatory oversight compared to NBFCs (Patel & Singh, 2022).

## 6. Contemporary Issues in Disclosure Practices

The disclosure practices of NBFCs are marred by several key issues that undermine transparency and stakeholder confidence. These challenges include inadequate financial disclosures, lack of transparency in asset quality reporting, and difficulties related to off-balance sheet items and related party transactions.

### 6.1. Inadequate Financial Disclosures

Many NBFCs fail to provide comprehensive financial disclosures, often omitting critical information on financial performance, risk exposure, and future outlook. This lack of detail can obscure the true financial health of the institution, leading to misinformation and misinterpretation by investors and regulators (Sharma & Gupta, 2023). The gap in reporting standards between NBFCs and banks further amplifies these inadequacies, as NBFCs are not held to the same rigorous standards of financial transparency.

### 6.2. Lack of Transparency in Asset Quality Reporting

Asset quality reporting among NBFCs is often inconsistent and lacks the depth required to fully assess their risk exposure. Unlike banks, which are mandated to disclose detailed non-performing asset (NPA) information and risk provisioning data, NBFCs frequently provide aggregated data with limited insights into asset quality trends (Kumar & Singh, 2023). This lack of granularity hampers the ability of stakeholders to gauge the true risk profile of NBFCs.

### 6.3. Challenges with Off-Balance Sheet Items and Related Party Transactions

Off-balance sheet items and related party transactions pose significant disclosure challenges for NBFCs. These items are often underreported or inadequately disclosed, making it difficult to assess the full extent of an NBFC's liabilities and risk exposure (Verma & Desai, 2024). Related party transactions, in particular, can obscure financial transparency, as they may involve favorable terms that are not reflective of market conditions, further

complicating the assessment of the company's financial integrity.

## 7. Impact of Poor Disclosure Practices

### 7.1. Case Studies Highlighting the Consequences of Inadequate Disclosures

Inadequate disclosure practices have led to significant financial distress in several NBFCs, with recent cases like IL&FS and DHFL demonstrating the severe impact of poor financial transparency. These defaults were partly attributed to misreporting of asset quality and failure to disclose true risk exposures, which misled investors and regulators (Mohan & Sharma, 2023). Such instances highlight how insufficient disclosures can result in severe liquidity crises, reputational damage, and, ultimately, business failure.

### 7.2. Effects on Investor Confidence and Market Stability

Poor disclosure practices erode investor confidence, leading to reduced market participation and higher borrowing costs for NBFCs. When stakeholders lack clear and reliable information, they perceive increased risk, which can trigger panic and destabilize the broader financial market (Rao & Kumar, 2024). This uncertainty not only impacts the specific NBFC but can also cause systemic risks, influencing the stability of the entire financial sector.

## 8. Technological Innovations and Disclosure Improvements

### 8.1. Role of Technology in Enhancing Transparency

Technological advancements such as digital reporting platforms and blockchain are revolutionizing the disclosure practices of NBFCs. Digital reporting enables faster and more accurate dissemination of financial information, reducing the time lag and improving data reliability (Patel & Sinha, 2023). Blockchain technology further enhances transparency by creating tamper-proof records of financial transactions, ensuring that disclosures are trustworthy and easily verifiable by stakeholders (Gupta & Mehta, 2024).

### 8.2. Potential of AI in Real-Time Monitoring of Disclosures

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly being utilized to monitor disclosures in real time, identifying discrepancies and compliance issues



before they escalate. AI algorithms can analyze vast amounts of data quickly, providing insights into potential risks and highlighting areas where disclosures may be inadequate (Roy & Das, 2023). This proactive approach not only strengthens regulatory compliance but also boosts investor confidence by ensuring timely and accurate information.

## 9. Comparative Analysis with Global Disclosure Practices

### 9.1. Overview of Disclosure Practices in NBFCs Globally

Globally, NBFCs are subject to varying disclosure standards, with developed markets such as the USA and UK adopting more stringent and technologically advanced practices compared to their counterparts in developing economies. In the USA, extensive financial reporting is mandated, including detailed risk disclosures and adherence to strict compliance standards set by regulators like the SEC. The UK emphasizes real-time risk

disclosure, integrating advanced technologies to ensure continuous monitoring and timely reporting (Johnson & Smith, 2023). These international standards set a benchmark for transparency and accountability, which Indian NBFCs can learn from.

### 9.2. Lessons for Indian NBFCs from International Standards

Indian NBFCs can draw valuable lessons from global practices, such as adopting more comprehensive financial reporting frameworks and leveraging technology for real-time disclosures. Emulating international standards can enhance transparency, reduce information asymmetry, and foster greater investor confidence (Gupta & Rao, 2024). By integrating advanced technologies like AI and blockchain, Indian NBFCs can improve their reporting accuracy and compliance with regulatory norms, aligning more closely with global best practices.

Table 2: Comparative Table of Global Disclosure Standards

Country	Key Disclosure Requirements	Technology Adoption	Challenges	Opportunities for Improvement
USA	Extensive financial reporting, quarterly risk assessments, mandatory ESG disclosures	High - Advanced AI and blockchain for real-time compliance checks	Data privacy concerns, high compliance costs	Enhanced data security protocols, cost-effective technology solutions
UK	Real-time risk disclosure, comprehensive stress testing, mandatory financial health reports	Moderate - Use of digital platforms and AI for risk assessment	Regulatory burden, high cost of technology implementation	Streamlined regulations, increased adoption of advanced technologies
Australia	Comprehensive asset quality reporting, detailed liquidity risk disclosures	High - Digital reporting and AI for predictive analysis	Implementation costs, resistance to technology adoption	Government incentives for technology adoption, focus on training
Japan	Periodic risk assessment disclosures, focus on compliance and corporate governance	Moderate - Traditional and digital hybrid models	Complex regulatory landscape, high cost of compliance	Simplification of compliance procedures, focus on technology upgrades
India	Quarterly financial disclosures, asset quality reporting, limited ESG reporting	Low to Moderate - Emerging use of AI and blockchain	Limited technological integration, evolving regulatory framework	Adoption of global standards, increased focus on technology integration

Source: Existing Literature.

## 10. Recommendations for Enhancing Disclosure Practices

### 10.1. Suggestions for Regulatory Improvements

#### 1. Strengthening Disclosure Norms:

Regulators should mandate more frequent and detailed financial disclosures, including risk assessments and asset quality reporting, similar to global standards seen in the USA and UK.



Enhanced regulations can improve market discipline and investor confidence (Kumar & Sinha, 2023).

2. **Incorporating Technological Solutions:** Regulators should encourage the adoption of advanced technologies such as AI and blockchain for real-time compliance checks and data validation. This would ensure more accurate and timely disclosures, reducing information asymmetry (Sharma & Mehta, 2024).

3. **Standardization of Reporting Formats:** Implementing standardized reporting formats across the NBFC sector would facilitate easier comparison and assessment of financial health, aiding stakeholders in better decision-making (Patel & Gupta, 2023).

## 10.2. Best Practices for NBFCs to Enhance Transparency

1. **Adopt Digital Reporting Tools:** NBFCs should invest in digital reporting platforms to streamline their disclosure processes, ensuring that all relevant financial data is available to stakeholders promptly and accurately (Roy & Desai, 2023).

2. **Enhance Governance and Internal Controls:** Strengthening internal controls and governance practices can improve the quality of disclosures by ensuring that all financial information is thoroughly vetted before publication (Singh & Rao, 2024).

3. **Engage in Continuous Training:** Regular training for management and staff on the latest disclosure requirements and technologies can help maintain high standards of transparency and compliance (Verma & Shah, 2023).

## 11. Conclusion

This review highlights the contemporary challenges in NBFC disclosure practices, emphasizing the need for regulatory reforms and the adoption of advanced technologies to enhance transparency. Poor disclosures can severely impact investor confidence and market stability, as seen in recent NBFC crises. By learning from global standards and implementing best practices, Indian NBFCs can improve their disclosure quality, aligning closer with international benchmarks. Strengthened regulations, coupled with a proactive approach to technology adoption, are critical for fostering a transparent and sustainable financial ecosystem.

## References

- [1]. Gupta, P., & Mehta, R. (2024). Blockchain and financial disclosures: Transforming NBFC transparency. *International Journal of Blockchain Applications*, 9(1), 45-57.
- [2]. Gupta, R., & Rao, M. (2024). Enhancing disclosure practices in Indian NBFCs: Lessons from global benchmarks. *Indian Journal of Financial Regulation*, 15(1), 56-70.
- [3]. Johnson, L., & Smith, A. (2023). Global standards in financial disclosures: Implications for NBFCs. *Journal of International Finance*, 22(3), 187-199.
- [4]. Kumar, N., & Rao, V. (2022). Financial reporting and disclosure challenges in NBFCs: A critical analysis. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 18(4), 202-217.
- [5]. Kumar, N., & Sinha, A. (2023). Enhancing financial disclosures in NBFCs: A regulatory perspective. *Journal of Financial Regulation*, 15(2), 95-108.
- [6]. Kumar, S., & Singh, R. (2023). Asset quality disclosures in NBFCs: Challenges and regulatory gaps. *Indian Journal of Banking and Finance*, 12(4), 221-230.
- [7]. Mohan, R., & Sharma, V. (2023). The role of disclosures in NBFC defaults: A case study of IL&FS and DHFL. *Journal of Financial Misconduct*, 21(3), 67-79.
- [8]. Patel, A., & Singh, M. (2022). Disclosure standards in Indian financial institutions: A comparative study of banks and NBFCs. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 20(3), 120-135.
- [9]. Patel, A., & Singh, M. (2022). The evolving role of NBFCs in financial inclusion. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 27(3), 450-462.
- [10]. Patel, R., & Sinha, K. (2023). The impact of digital reporting on transparency in NBFCs. *Journal of Financial Technology*, 18(2), 120-132.
- [11]. Patel, S., & Gupta, V. (2023). Standardization of financial reporting in NBFCs: A path forward. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 18(4), 204-219.
- [12]. Rao, P., & Kumar, A. (2024). The impact of financial disclosure on investor confidence: Evidence from NBFCs. *International Journal of Financial Markets*, 15(2), 90-104.
- [13]. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Annual Report 2022-23. Reserve Bank of India.
- [14]. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Financial Stability Report. Reserve Bank of India.



- [15]. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Master Direction – Non-Banking Financial Company – Systemically Important Non-Deposit taking Company (Reserve Bank) Directions.
- [16]. Roy, A., & Desai, P. (2023). Digital reporting and transparency in NBFCs: Best practices. *Journal of Financial Technology*, 12(3), 60-74.
- [17]. Roy, S., & Das, P. (2023). Leveraging AI for real-time financial disclosures in NBFCs. *Artificial Intelligence in Finance*, 14(3), 89-104.
- [18]. Sarma, R., & Kumar, P. (2022). Disclosure practices in NBFCs: Challenges and implications. *Journal of Financial Regulation*, 14(2), 178-192.
- [19]. Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2023). SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2023.
- [20]. Sharma, P., & Mehta, R. (2023). Enhancing transparency in NBFCs: A review of disclosure practices. *Journal of Financial Compliance*, 15(1), 25-34.
- [21]. Sharma, P., & Mehta, R. (2024). The role of technology in improving NBFC disclosures. *International Journal of Financial Innovation*, 10(1), 32-45.
- [22]. Singh, R., & Rao, K. (2024). Enhancing governance in NBFCs for better disclosures. *Journal of Corporate Governance*, 19(1), 50-63.
- [23]. Sinha, A. (2024). Comparative analysis of disclosure standards in global NBFCs. *Global Finance Journal*, 29(2), 311-325.
- [24]. Verma, D., & Shah, M. (2023). Training and development in NBFCs: Improving financial transparency. *Journal of Finance and Management*, 22(2), 88-99.
- [25]. Verma, P., & Desai, M. (2024). Off-balance sheet exposures and related party transactions in NBFCs: A disclosure analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 30(1), 78-85.