



China's Belt and Road Initiative and India's Strategic Response

Mansi Parashar

Research scholar, Barkatullah University, Bhopal

Abstract

China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), launched in 2013, has emerged as a pivotal global connectivity and infrastructure endeavour, transforming economic and strategic relationships throughout Asia, Africa, Europe, and beyond. The plan seeks to enhance commerce, investment, and regional integration via extensive infrastructure projects, although it has raised geopolitical apprehensions about China's growing dominance, debt viability, and regional stability. This research explores the development, aims, and strategic importance of the BRI, while also critically assessing India's strategic reaction in the wider framework of Indo-Pacific geopolitics. The research utilises a qualitative and descriptive approach, relying on secondary data sourced from academic journals, books, policy documents, and official government publications. The examination is directed by the theoretical frameworks of Realism, Neoclassical Realism, and Geoeconomics to

elucidate the strategic rivalry concerning regional connection projects. The results suggest that India's reaction to the BRI is motivated by apprehensions regarding sovereignty, especially concerning the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), maritime security, and China's increasing regional dominance. As a result, India has sought alternative connectivity projects and reinforced strategic alliances to advocate for a free, open, inclusive, and rules-based Indo-Pacific. The research indicates that the interplay between the BRI and India's strategic reaction underscores the increasing importance of geoeconomics and strategic infrastructure in influencing the modern regional and global framework.

Keywords: *Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), India, China, Geoeconomics, Indo-Pacific, Regional Connectivity, Strategic Response, Foreign Policy*

I. Introduction

The twenty-first century has experienced a notable shift in the global geopolitical and geoeconomic framework, marked by the growing use of infrastructure development, trade connectivity, and economic collaboration as tools of foreign policy. In contrast to the Cold War era, characterised by military alliances and ideological conflicts, modern global politics is more focused on connectivity, supply chains, energy security, technological advancement, and regional economic integration. In this evolving landscape, nations are implementing extensive infrastructure projects to foster economic growth while simultaneously enhancing their geopolitical clout and fortifying their strategic standing within the global framework. As a result, connectivity has become a crucial element of national strength, rendering geoeconomics a significant aspect of international relations in the twenty-first century.

China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), introduced by President Xi Jinping in 2013, is one of the most audacious global connectivity endeavours in contemporary history. The initiative comprises two principal elements: the Silk Road Economic Belt, aimed at augmenting overland connectivity between Asia and Europe, and the Twenty-First Century

Maritime Silk Road, which concentrates on advancing maritime trade routes connecting China with Southeast Asia, South Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and Europe. The BRI seeks to enhance regional connectivity and promote trade and economic collaboration among participating nations by investing in transport networks, ports, trains, highways, pipelines, industrial corridors, digital infrastructure, and energy initiatives. In the last ten years, the effort has grown to encompass over 150 nations and many international entities, establishing itself as one of the most extensive transnational infrastructure and development programs in today's globe.

From China's viewpoint, the Belt and Road Initiative fulfils several economic, political, and geopolitical aims. From an economic perspective, it aims to enhance market accessibility, foster global commerce, ensure energy supply channels, and generate novel investment prospects for Chinese businesses. The strategy politically bolsters China's diplomatic relations with both developing and industrialised nations, simultaneously reinforcing its leadership in global governance. The BRI is a strategic initiative that aids China in its long-term goal of expanding its influence throughout the Indo-Pacific, Eurasia, Africa, and Europe via infrastructure



linkages and economic alliances. As a result, some academics characterise the BRI as a significant tool of China's geoeconomic strategy, wherein economic investments and infrastructure funding concurrently advance wider geopolitical objectives.

The swift proliferation of the BRI has sparked many scholarly and policy discussions. Proponents contend that the program tackles the worldwide infrastructure shortfall by delivering essential investments in transport, energy, logistics, and digital connectivity, thus fostering regional advancement and economic cohesion. Numerous developing nations have gained from augmented connectivity, elevated trade prospects, and heightened foreign investment via BRI-financed initiatives. The program has fostered enhanced economic collaboration among the involved nations and aided in the establishment of transcontinental transit routes connecting Asia, Europe, and Africa.

Critics argue that the Belt and Road Initiative transcends mere economic collaboration and signifies a crucial element of China's overarching geopolitical agenda. Apprehensions have been expressed about the viability of debt, the clarity of project funding, ecological consequences, governance benchmarks, and the strategic ramifications of Chinese dominance over essential infrastructure. The notion of "debt diplomacy" has emerged as a significant topic in discussions on the BRI, with detractors contending that substantial borrowing could heighten the economic reliance of beneficiary nations on China. In a similar vein, the establishment of strategically positioned ports and transportation routes has initiated dialogues concerning China's growing maritime influence and its consequences for regional security. These discussions illustrate that the BRI transcends a basic economic development scheme and serves as a vital geopolitical endeavour that can alter the equilibrium of power in the global arena.

The strategic significance of the Belt and Road Initiative is especially apparent in the Indo-Pacific region, which has become one of the globe's most vibrant geopolitical and economic arenas. The Indo-Pacific links the Indian and Pacific Oceans, functioning as a significant center for global trade, energy transit, and maritime commerce. A significant share of international commerce and energy resources transits through the maritime routes of the Indo-Pacific, rendering the area strategically essential for both local and external authorities. As a result, rivalry regarding marine dominance, connectivity, infrastructure enhancement, and regional administration has escalated, with China, India, the United States, Japan, Australia, and several

ASEAN nations vigorously pursuing the safeguarding and promotion of their strategic objectives.

India holds a distinctive and strategically important role among the regional stakeholders. As a rapidly expanding major economy and a burgeoning worldwide influence, India considers the Indo-Pacific crucial to its national security, economic advancement, and foreign policy aims. India's geographical placement, vast coastline, and historical maritime connections establish it as a pivotal player in sustaining regional stability and enhancing connectivity throughout South Asia and the Indian Ocean Region. Nonetheless, India has persistently abstained from participating in the Belt and Road Initiative. The primary cause of India's dissent is the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), a key element of the BRI, which traverses Pakistan-occupied Kashmir—a region that India asserts as a vital part of its national territory. India has consistently asserted that involvement in initiatives impacting contested regions would jeopardise its sovereignty and territorial integrity.

Apart from the sovereignty matter, India's apprehensions over the BRI involve wider geopolitical and strategic aspects. Indian policymakers and strategic analysts contend that China's growing infrastructure investments in adjacent nations, such as ports, highways, trains, and energy initiatives, could progressively augment Beijing's political and strategic clout throughout South Asia and the Indian Ocean Region. The enhancement of ports in nations like Sri Lanka, Pakistan, and Myanmar has amplified dialogues about China's enduring maritime strategy and its effects on India's security landscape. These advancements have prompted India to reevaluate its regional approach and enhance its connectivity projects and strategic alliances.

India's reaction to the Belt and Road Initiative has been multifaceted rather than adversarial. Rather than outrightly contesting every facet of the BRI, India has concentrated on advocating for alternative frameworks of regional connectivity that emphasise openness, sustainability, fiscal prudence, and the preservation of national sovereignty. Initiatives like the Act East Policy, Security and Growth for All in the Region (SAGAR), the Indo-Pacific Oceans Initiative (IPOI), the International North-South Transport Corridor (INSTC), the enhancement of Chabahar Port in Iran, and backing for the India-Middle East-Europe Economic Corridor (IMEC) exemplify India's endeavours to bolster regional connectivity while preserving strategic independence. Concurrently, India has enhanced



collaboration with important allies via platforms like the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD), solidifying its dedication to a free, open, inclusive, and rules-based Indo-Pacific.

1. Evolution of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) signifies a fundamental foreign policy and development approach initiated by China in the twenty-first century. Proclaimed by Chinese President Xi Jinping in 2013, the plan has transformed from a regional connectivity scheme into an extensive global framework for infrastructural advancement, economic collaboration, trade facilitation, and strategic interaction. Its development signifies China's shift from a local economic force to a global participant aiming to redefine international interconnectivity and governance via economic strategies. The BRI has progressively broadened its geographical reach, sectoral collaboration, and strategic aims, establishing itself as a fundamental component of China's foreign policy.

Historical Background

The inception of the Belt and Road Initiative can be linked to the historical Silk Road, a series of commercial pathways that linked China with Central Asia, the Middle East, Africa, and Europe for numerous centuries. These pathways enabled the interchange of commodities like silk, spices, and valuable metals, as well as the dissemination of ideas, cultures, technologies, and civilisations. Through the invocation of the Silk Road's historical history, China aimed to present the BRI as a contemporary model for global collaboration founded on reciprocal development, connectivity, and economic integration.

The modern notion of the BRI was formally presented by President Xi Jinping during his trips to Kazakhstan and Indonesia in 2013. In Kazakhstan, Xi suggested the creation of the Silk Road Economic Belt, whilst in Indonesia he unveiled the Twenty-First Century Maritime Silk Road. The two elements were collectively termed the Belt and Road Initiative, which seeks to enhance regional connectivity by substantial investments in transportation infrastructure, energy systems, logistics, industrial zones, digital communication, and maritime collaboration.

Initial Phase of Development (2013–2016)

In its early stages, the Belt and Road Initiative concentrated on establishing transport routes connecting China with adjacent areas. Significant capital was allocated to trains, highways, ports, airports, pipelines, and energy infrastructure

throughout Asia, Africa, and Europe. Financial entities like the Silk Road Fund and the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB) were instrumental in offering financial assistance for infrastructure initiatives under the BRI framework.

The project primarily focused on six significant economic corridors, namely the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), the China–Central Asia–West Asia Economic Corridor, the Bangladesh–China–India–Myanmar Economic Corridor (BCIM), the China–Mongolia–Russia Economic Corridor, the China–Indochina Peninsula Economic Corridor, and the New Eurasian Land Bridge. These passageways were constructed to enhance regional commerce, promote investment, and bolster China's economic assimilation with adjacent nations.

Expansion into a Global Connectivity Framework

Subsequent to its initial execution, the BRI evolved from conventional infrastructure development into a multifaceted global collaboration framework. The effort progressively integrated areas like digital infrastructure, renewable energy, healthcare, financial collaboration, education, scientific inquiry, and cultural interactions. The Digital Silk Road, Health Silk Road, Green Silk Road, and Polar Silk Road were proposed to broaden the collaboration and tackle new global issues.

The BRI saw significant territorial growth. Initially concentrated on Eurasia, the program progressively broadened its scope to include Southeast Asia, South Asia, the Middle East, Africa, Latin America, and certain regions of Europe. By the early 2020s, over 150 nations and various international entities have entered into cooperation accords within the BRI framework, highlighting China's expanding influence in global development funding and infrastructure integration.

Transformation in the Post-Pandemic Era

The COVID-19 epidemic signified a crucial juncture in the development of the Belt and Road Initiative. Global supply chain interruptions, economic deceleration, and public health issues compelled China to modify the effort in response to evolving global conditions. Throughout this timeframe, increased focus was directed towards health collaboration via the Health Silk Road, digital integration through the Digital Silk Road, and sustainable infrastructure via the Green Silk Road.

China has progressively advocated for initiatives associated with digital technology, e-commerce, artificial intelligence, smart cities, renewable energy, and telecommunications in conjunction with conventional physical infrastructure. The focus



transitioned from just increasing the quantity of projects to enhancing their quality, sustainability, financial feasibility, and ecological criteria. This shift demonstrated China's endeavour to synchronise the Belt and Road Initiative with worldwide sustainable development goals while addressing global critiques about debt sustainability and ecological issues.

Evolution from Economic Initiative to Geostrategic Instrument

In the last ten years, the Belt and Road Initiative has transformed from its initial economic aims and has progressively served as a tool for China's wider geoeconomic and geopolitical agenda. The effort allows China to deepen economic interdependence, diversify trade pathways, ensure access to energy supplies, and amplify its influence in strategically significant areas. Investments in infrastructure under the BRI have enhanced China's diplomatic outreach and solidified its role in regional and global governance frameworks.

From the standpoint of Realist philosophy, the development of the BRI signifies China's quest for national might, strategic dominance, and security via economic avenues. Neoclassical Realism elucidates that China's internal economic objectives, leadership aspirations, and external security context have jointly influenced the initiative's growth. In a like manner, the notion of Geoeconomics underscores that investments in infrastructure, financial aid, and connectivity initiatives have evolved into strategic tools for China to promote its national goals, independent of conventional military might.

The increasing strategic importance of the BRI has elicited varied global reactions. Numerous developing nations view the initiative as a vital avenue for infrastructure funding and economic advancement, yet several major powers, such as India, the United States, Japan, and certain European countries, have raised apprehensions about its geopolitical ramifications, debt viability, transparency, and possible effects on regional stability. As a result, the BRI has emerged as a vital element of modern geopolitical rivalry, especially in the Indo-Pacific area.

2. Objectives of the Belt and Road Initiative

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) is designed to promote economic cooperation, regional connectivity, and sustainable development through large-scale infrastructure investment and strategic partnerships. Beyond its economic dimension, the initiative seeks to strengthen China's geopolitical influence, enhance trade integration, and foster long-term regional stability. The major objectives of the BRI are as follows:

- **Enhance Regional Connectivity:** Develop road, rail, port, airport, and digital infrastructure to improve connectivity among participating countries.
- **Promote Trade and Investment:** Facilitate international trade, reduce transportation costs, and encourage cross-border investment and economic integration.
- **Ensure Energy Security:** Diversify energy supply routes and strengthen energy cooperation through pipelines, power projects, and renewable energy investments.
- **Strengthen Economic Cooperation:** Promote industrial collaboration, financial integration, and sustainable economic growth among partner nations.
- **Expand China's Global Influence:** Increase China's diplomatic, economic, and strategic presence across Asia, Africa, Europe, and Latin America.
- **Develop the Digital Silk Road:** Enhance cooperation in digital infrastructure, e-commerce, artificial intelligence, telecommunications, and technological innovation.
- **Promote Cultural and People-to-People Exchanges:** Strengthen educational, scientific, cultural, and tourism cooperation to improve mutual understanding and long-term partnerships.
- **Support Sustainable Development:** Encourage green infrastructure, environmental protection, and climate-resilient development in line with global sustainability goals.

Collectively, these objectives demonstrate that the Belt and Road Initiative extends beyond infrastructure development to become a comprehensive framework for economic integration, strategic cooperation, and China's long-term engagement in global governance.

3. Strategic Significance of the Belt and Road Initiative

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has surfaced as a paramount geopolitical and geoeconomic endeavour of the twenty-first century. Although it is officially characterised as a mechanism for fostering connectivity, trade, and economic collaboration, the BRI has transformed into a strategic tool for China to augment its global dominance and redefine regional and international economic dynamics. The program encompasses infrastructure enhancement, financial collaboration, diplomatic interaction, and technical progress, rendering it a multifaceted approach with



considerable effects on the global power equilibrium. Its strategic importance transcends mere economic growth, impacting regional stability, marine regulation, energy security, and global diplomacy.

Goeconomic Expansion and Economic Integration

The BRI functions as a pivotal tool in China's goeconomic policy by enhancing trade networks, broadening investment prospects, and fostering regional economic cohesion. China aims to enhance the flow of commodities, services, capital, and technology across continents by making substantial investments in transport corridors, industrial parks, railways, ports, energy pipelines, and logistical infrastructure. These initiatives save transportation expenses, enhance supply chain effectiveness, and establish new markets for Chinese goods and businesses. As a result, the effort bolsters China's economic interdependence with involved nations while solidifying its status as a preeminent global economic force.

Strengthening China's Geopolitical Influence

A crucial strategic aspect of the BRI is its role in augmenting China's geopolitical might. Infrastructure investments allow China to enhance diplomatic ties with involved nations while augmenting its political influence in strategically vital areas such as South Asia, Southeast Asia, Central Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and Europe. Through the funding and execution of significant development initiatives, China has established itself as a crucial development ally for numerous emerging economies. This burgeoning power amplifies Beijing's capacity to mould regional policies, broaden diplomatic alliances, and elevate its participation in global governance entities.

Maritime Security and Strategic Connectivity

The Maritime Silk Road, an essential element of the BRI, greatly improves China's naval connectivity and strategic influence. Capital allocations towards ports, shipping lanes, and coastal facilities enhance commercial exchanges while bolstering China's enduring maritime objectives. Access to strategically positioned ports around the Indian Ocean and other marine areas bolsters logistical connectivity and fortifies the security of crucial sea routes that facilitate a significant share of global commerce and energy resources. Given that maritime trade is vital to the global economy, the BRI aids China in ensuring continuous access to global shipping lanes and safeguarding its growing international commercial interests.

Energy Security and Diversification

Energy security represents a significant strategic aim of the Belt and Road Initiative. China's swiftly expanding economy necessitates reliable and varied energy resources, rendering safe transportation pathways a national need. By investing in oil and gas pipelines, electricity transmission systems, renewable energy initiatives, and energy infrastructure, the BRI diminishes reliance on precarious maritime chokepoints and enhances access to energy resources throughout Central Asia, the Middle East, Russia, and Africa. The diversification of energy supply channels bolsters China's enduring energy security and fosters sustainable economic development.

Technological and Digital Connectivity

The progression of the BRI has transcended just physical infrastructure to encompass digital collaboration through the Digital Silk Road. Investments in telecommunications infrastructure, fiber-optic systems, artificial intelligence, online commerce, satellite positioning, cloud services, and smart city innovations have converted the initiative into a hub for technological collaboration. Digital connectivity enhances international economic interactions while amplifying China's impact on the evolution of global digital infrastructure and technology benchmarks. This technological aspect has gained significance as digital advancement and information security become vital elements of global competition.

Implications for Regional and Global Geopolitics

The strategic importance of the BRI impacts the wider geopolitical landscape by transforming regional linkages and modifying established economic and political dynamics. The program has fostered the development of novel transit routes, commercial alliances, and investment frameworks linking Asia with Europe, Africa, and Latin America. Simultaneously, it has heightened strategic rivalry among leading nations, especially in the Indo-Pacific area, where infrastructure advancement increasingly overlaps with security issues. Numerous nations have embraced the BRI as a driver of economic advancement, but others have raised apprehensions about debt sustainability, strategic reliance, transparency, and the geopolitical ramifications of China's growing influence. Consequently, the BRI has emerged as a pivotal element in modern discussions on regional order, governance of connectivity, and the prospective equilibrium of power.



4. India's Strategic Concerns Regarding the BRI

India has persistently adopted a prudent and sceptical stance about China's Belt and Road program (BRI), setting itself apart from numerous other nations that have participated in the program. India acknowledges the significance of regional connectivity and economic collaboration; but, it has chosen not to support the BRI owing to apprehensions over sovereignty, national security, strategic rivalry, and regional stability. India's stance embodies the overarching aim of maintaining its strategic independence while guaranteeing that regional connectivity projects comply with the tenets of transparency, sustainability, international law, and respect for territorial integrity. As a result, India's apprehensions go beyond just economic factors and include substantial geopolitical and geostrategic aspects.

Sovereignty Concerns and the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC)

India's primary apprehension is the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), a key initiative under the Belt and Road Initiative. The corridor links China's Xinjiang province to Pakistan's Gwadar Port, traversing through Pakistan-occupied Kashmir (PoK), a region that India asserts is a vital component of the Union Territory of Jammu and Kashmir. India has persistently contended that involvement in any infrastructure initiative crossing contested regions compromises its sovereignty and territorial integrity. As a result, New Delhi has formally rejected participation in the BRI, asserting that connectivity projects must honour universally acknowledged principles of sovereignty and territorial integrity.

Expanding Chinese Strategic Influence in South Asia

India is apprehensive that the BRI has considerably augmented China's political, economic, and strategic might in South Asia. By making substantial investments in nations like Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Nepal, and Myanmar, China has fortified its bilateral ties and augmented its influence in India's vicinity. Although these investments aid in infrastructure advancement, India views them as augmenting China's enduring geopolitical influence in the area. These advancements have heightened strategic rivalry and undermined India's conventional position as a dominant regional authority in South Asia.

Maritime Security in the Indian Ocean Region

The marine aspect of the BRI has elicited significant apprehension within India's strategic circles. China's financial commitments to ports and maritime infrastructure, such as Gwadar (Pakistan),

Hambantota (Sri Lanka), Kyaukpyu (Myanmar), and various other sites throughout the Indian Ocean, are perceived by numerous Indian observers as possessing strategic ramifications that extend beyond mere commercial interests. These advancements have rekindled debates on the "String of Pearls" strategy, which posits that China is progressively creating a network of strategically positioned ports to bolster its growing maritime influence. China characterises these initiatives as solely economic; nonetheless, India harbours apprehensions that they may ultimately enhance China's naval prowess and disrupt the strategic equilibrium in the Indian Ocean Region.

Debt Sustainability and Economic Dependence

India has voiced concerns about the fiscal viability of numerous BRI initiatives. Critics contend that substantial borrowing linked to major infrastructure projects could subject involved nations to considerable debt liabilities, heightening their reliance on Chinese funding and economic support. From India's viewpoint, financially unviable initiatives could diminish the policy independence of lesser-developed nations and engender enduring strategic weaknesses. As a result, India promotes connectivity projects that are economically feasible, transparent, ecologically friendly, and adhere to globally recognised credit standards.

Strategic Competition in the Indo-Pacific

The Belt and Road Initiative has heightened strategic rivalry in the Indo-Pacific area. India's increasing involvement with the Indo-Pacific framework demonstrates its dedication to maintaining a free, open, inclusive, and rules-based regional system. Indian officials view the growing scope of the BRI as an element of China's wider strategy to augment its geopolitical might via infrastructure integration and economic diplomacy. This has prompted India to enhance collaboration with aligned nations such as the United States, Japan, Australia, and various ASEAN members to uphold regional stability and strategic balance.

Concerns Regarding Transparency and Governance

A further significant issue pertains to the administration and execution of BRI initiatives. India has consistently underscored that global connectivity projects must conform to the tenets of transparency, accountability, environmental sustainability, and involvement of local stakeholders. Enquiries have emerged over procurement procedures, fiscal transparency, ecological criteria, and project oversight in certain BRI initiatives. India asserts that infrastructure advancement has to adhere to globally



recognised standards that guarantee enduring economic advantages while protecting the interests of involved nations.

Security Implications for India's Neighbourhood Policy

China's growing infrastructure investments in nations neighbouring India significantly affect New Delhi's Neighbourhood First Policy. Augmented Chinese involvement in South Asia has intensified strategic rivalry in an area historically viewed by India as pivotal to its foreign policy and security concerns. Infrastructure initiatives, financial aid, and enhanced diplomatic relations have allowed China to bolster its regional dominance, prompting India to amplify its developmental collaborations and connectivity efforts. This shifting geopolitical landscape has rendered neighbourhood diplomacy a progressively vital element of India's strategic framework.

5. India's Strategic Response

India's reaction to China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has been marked by a measured blend of strategic prudence, diplomatic involvement, and the advancement of alternative connectivity projects. Instead of directly challenging the BRI, India has implemented a multifaceted approach aimed at protecting its sovereignty, improving regional connectivity, bolstering maritime security, and solidifying its status as a dominant force in the Indo-Pacific. This methodology demonstrates India's dedication to strategic independence, a rules-oriented global framework, and sustainable infrastructure advancement. As a result, India's reaction transcends merely dismissing the BRI and emphasises the development of viable alternatives that correspond with its national interests and foreign policy aims.

Act East Policy: Expanding Regional Connectivity

A crucial component of India's strategic approach is the Act East Policy, initiated in 2014 as a refined iteration of the previous Look East Policy. The policy aims to enhance India's political, economic, cultural, and strategic involvement with Southeast Asia and the broader Indo-Pacific area. By means of improved connectivity measures, economic alliances, and security collaboration with ASEAN nations, India seeks to bolster its regional influence and diminish reliance on Chinese-driven infrastructure projects. Initiatives like the India–Myanmar–Thailand Trilateral Highway and the Kaladan Multi-Modal Transit Transport Project exemplify India's dedication to fostering regional integration grounded in transparency and reciprocal advantage.

SAGAR: Strengthening Maritime Security

Acknowledging the strategic significance of the

Indian Ocean Region (IOR), India unveiled the concept of Security and Growth for All in the Region (SAGAR) in 2015. SAGAR underscores the importance of marine security, regional collaboration, sustainable development, and the enhancement of capabilities among coastal nations. By means of naval diplomacy, humanitarian aid, disaster relief initiatives, and maritime situational awareness, India aims to uphold a secure and stable maritime milieu. This program acts as a tactical reaction to China's expanding naval influence through the Maritime Silk Road by bolstering India's position as a net security provider in the Indian Ocean.

Indo-Pacific Ocean Initiative (IPOI)

India augmented its regional policy by initiating the Indo-Pacific Oceans Initiative (IPOI) in 2019. The program fosters collaboration in maritime security, disaster risk mitigation, marine ecology, resource management, scientific advancement, and trade connectivity. In contrast to exclusive geopolitical frameworks, the IPOI emphasises inclusion, transparency, and compliance with international law, especially the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS). Through the promotion of cooperative regional governance, India aims to create a secure Indo-Pacific that fosters navigational freedom and sustained economic growth.

Development of Alternative Connectivity Corridors

A fundamental aspect of India's strategic approach has been the establishment of alternate regional and global connectivity frameworks. The Chabahar Port in Iran provides India direct access to Afghanistan and Central Asia, hence diminishing reliance on routes traversing Pakistan. In a similar vein, India's involvement in the International North–South Transport Corridor (INSTC) enhances trade connectivity among India, Central Asia, Russia, and Europe via a multimodal transport system.

Recently, India has vigorously pursued the India–Middle East–Europe Economic Corridor (IMEC), aimed at creating an effective economic and infrastructure link between India, the Middle East, and Europe. IMEC underscores the need of sustainable infrastructure, digital connectivity, renewable energy, and robust supply chains, proposing an alternative framework for international connection rooted in transparency, fiscal sustainability, and multilateral collaboration.

Strengthening Strategic Partnerships

India has markedly enhanced its strategic alliances with aligned nations to advocate for a free, open, inclusive, and rules-based Indo-Pacific. The Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD), which



includes India, the United States, Japan, and Australia, has emerged as a significant forum for collaboration on maritime security, cybersecurity, essential technologies, robust supply chains, climate change, and disaster response. Apart from the QUAD, India has fortified its bilateral ties with Japan, Australia, France, the European Union, and ASEAN nations to bolster regional stability and diversify its economic and geopolitical alliances. These partnerships aid in equilibrating regional power relations while fostering collective security and sustainable advancement.

Promoting Rules-Based Connectivity and Strategic Autonomy

India persistently champions that global connectivity projects ought to be directed by the tenets of transparency, fiscal prudence, ecological sustainability, respect for sovereignty, and compliance with international law. In contrast to the BRI, which has seen scrutiny over debt sustainability and governance issues, India's strategy prioritises equitable growth and local stewardship of infrastructure initiatives. Simultaneously, India maintains its strategic independence, steering clear of formal military alliances while collaborating with other regional and global partners to promote its national objectives. This equitable foreign policy allows India to adeptly address changing geopolitical issues while maintaining its autonomy in decision-making.

6. Challenges and Opportunities

The evolving dynamics of China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) present both significant challenges and emerging opportunities for India and the broader Indo-Pacific region. While the initiative has accelerated regional connectivity and economic cooperation, it has simultaneously intensified strategic competition, particularly among major powers. India's response reflects the need to balance national security, economic development, and regional cooperation within an increasingly complex geopolitical environment.

Challenges

The foremost challenge for India remains the **China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC)**, which passes through Pakistan-occupied Kashmir (PoK), raising concerns over sovereignty and territorial integrity. Furthermore, China's expanding infrastructure investments in South Asia and the Indian Ocean Region have altered regional power dynamics, creating strategic competition between the two Asian powers. The increasing Chinese presence in ports and critical infrastructure has also generated

concerns regarding maritime security and freedom of navigation.

Another challenge is the growing dependence of several developing countries on Chinese infrastructure financing. Questions regarding debt sustainability, project transparency, environmental standards, and governance have raised concerns about the long-term economic and political implications of the BRI. Additionally, the intensifying strategic rivalry between China and major Indo-Pacific powers has increased geopolitical uncertainty, making regional stability more difficult to maintain.

Opportunities

Despite these challenges, the BRI also creates opportunities for regional economic growth and connectivity. India's alternative initiatives—including the Act East Policy, SAGAR, Indo-Pacific Oceans Initiative (IPOI), International North–South Transport Corridor (INSTC), and India–Middle East–Europe Economic Corridor (IMEC)—provide viable frameworks for sustainable and transparent regional cooperation. These initiatives strengthen India's economic engagement while promoting resilient supply chains and diversified trade routes. Moreover, cooperation with strategic partners through the QUAD, ASEAN, the European Union, Japan, Australia, and the United States enables India to enhance maritime security, technological collaboration, infrastructure development, and regional capacity building. Such partnerships provide opportunities to strengthen a free, open, inclusive, and rules-based Indo-Pacific while ensuring that connectivity initiatives remain financially sustainable and strategically balanced.

Overall, the challenges posed by the BRI have encouraged India to adopt a proactive and multidimensional foreign policy that combines strategic balancing with constructive regional engagement.

7. SWOT Analysis of China's Belt and Road Initiative and India's Strategic Response

Strengths	Weaknesses
Large-scale infrastructure connectivity across Asia, Africa, and Europe	Sovereignty concerns arising from CPEC passing through Pakistan-occupied Kashmir
Expansion of regional trade, investment, and economic integration	Limited transparency and governance concerns in several BRI projects



Strengthening China's global economic and diplomatic influence	Financial sustainability and debt-related concerns in recipient countries
Development of transport, energy, and digital infrastructure	Strategic mistrust between China and India limits regional cooperation
India's promotion of alternative connectivity initiatives enhances regional balance	India's infrastructure projects often face implementation and financing constraints
Opportunities	Threats
Expansion of sustainable regional connectivity through IMEC, INSTC, and Chabahar Port	Intensifying geopolitical rivalry between China and India
Strengthening strategic partnerships through QUAD and Indo-Pacific cooperation	Increased militarization and strategic competition in the Indian Ocean Region
Development of resilient supply chains and digital connectivity	Growing Chinese strategic influence in South Asia and neighbouring countries
Enhanced economic cooperation with ASEAN, Europe, and the Middle East	Regional instability arising from territorial disputes and great-power competition
Promotion of transparent, rules-based infrastructure development	Economic uncertainty and disruptions in global trade and investment

The SWOT analysis demonstrates that while the BRI has significantly enhanced China's economic reach and regional connectivity, it also faces geopolitical, financial, and governance challenges. India's strategic response seeks to mitigate these risks through alternative connectivity initiatives, strategic partnerships, and the promotion of a transparent and rules-based regional order. Consequently, the evolving interaction between the BRI and India's response is shaping the future geopolitical and geoeconomic architecture of the Indo-Pacific.

8. Findings

The study finds that China's Belt and Road Initiative has evolved from an infrastructure development programme into a comprehensive instrument of geoeconomic and geopolitical strategy. Although the

initiative has improved regional connectivity and facilitated economic cooperation across Asia, Africa, Europe, and other regions, it has simultaneously expanded China's diplomatic influence and strategic presence. This transformation demonstrates that infrastructure investment has become an important instrument of contemporary statecraft, where economic engagement increasingly complements geopolitical objectives.

The analysis further reveals that India's opposition to the BRI is primarily driven by concerns relating to sovereignty, national security, and regional strategic balance rather than opposition to connectivity itself. The China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), which passes through Pakistan-occupied Kashmir, remains the principal factor influencing India's decision to stay outside the initiative. Additionally, China's growing presence in the Indian Ocean Region and South Asia has intensified India's security concerns and encouraged greater strategic engagement with regional and global partners.

The study also finds that India has adopted a multidimensional strategic response by promoting alternative connectivity frameworks such as the Act East Policy, SAGAR, the Indo-Pacific Oceans Initiative, Chabahar Port, the International North–South Transport Corridor (INSTC), and the India–Middle East–Europe Economic Corridor (IMEC). These initiatives reflect India's commitment to transparent, sustainable, and rules-based connectivity while strengthening its strategic autonomy. Simultaneously, India's cooperation through the QUAD and partnerships with ASEAN, Japan, Australia, the United States, and the European Union demonstrate its preference for collaborative regional security and economic development.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings indicate that the interaction between the BRI and India's strategic response is best understood through the frameworks of Realism, Neoclassical Realism, and Geoeconomics. The BRI illustrates China's use of economic instruments to enhance national power and international influence, whereas India's response reflects a strategy of balancing, regional engagement, and the promotion of alternative connectivity models. The study concludes that the competition between these two approaches will continue to shape regional connectivity, strategic competition, and the evolving security architecture of the Indo-Pacific in the coming decades.



II. Conclusion

China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has significantly altered the conversation surrounding global connectivity, regional advancement, and worldwide geopolitical rivalry. Since its inception in 2013, the initiative has evolved from a substantial infrastructure project into a significant tool of China's geoeconomic and foreign policy approach. Through the amalgamation of transportation systems, energy pathways, digital frameworks, financial collaboration, and maritime links, the BRI has markedly augmented China's economic involvement and diplomatic sway throughout Asia, Africa, Europe, and Latin America. Concurrently, it has sparked considerable discussion regarding sovereignty, debt viability, strategic reliance, transparency, and the shifting equilibrium of power in the global framework.

The research indicates that India's reaction to the BRI is predominantly influenced by factors of national sovereignty, strategic independence, and regional security. India's dissent on the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), which passes through Pakistan-administered Kashmir, is the primary rationale for its abstention from the project. Nonetheless, India's approach encompasses more than merely dismissing the BRI. It has vigorously advocated for alternative connectivity projects, including as the Act East Policy, SAGAR, the Indo-Pacific Oceans Initiative (IPOI), Chabahar Port, the International North–South Transport Corridor (INSTC), and the India–Middle East–Europe Economic Corridor (IMEC). These initiatives demonstrate India's inclination towards transparent, sustainable, and rules-oriented regional connectivity while maintaining its autonomous foreign policy. The examination additionally reveals that the strategic engagement between China and India progressively embodies the principles of geoeconomics, wherever infrastructure investment, trade, technology, and connectivity serve as pivotal tools of statecraft. Instead of depending solely on military strength, both nations utilise economic and diplomatic strategies to promote their regional and global objectives. From the viewpoints of Realism and Neoclassical Realism, the Belt and Road Initiative and India's strategic reaction exemplify rival endeavours to enhance national power, safeguard strategic territory, and influence the developing regional framework in the Indo-Pacific. Ultimately, the future of regional stability hinges on the equilibrium between strategic rivalry and collaborative engagement. Initiatives for sustainable connectedness based on transparency, fiscal accountability, respect for sovereignty, and

compliance with international law will be crucial for achieving enduring peace and economic success. As the Indo-Pacific increasingly becomes the focal point of global economic and geopolitical endeavours, the developing dynamics between China's Belt and Road Initiative and India's strategic countermeasures will persist as a critical aspect of twenty-first-century international relations.

References

- [1]. Australian Government, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade. (2023). The Quad. <https://www.dfat.gov.au/international-relations/regional-architecture/quad>
- [2]. Brewster, D. (2020). India and the Indo-Pacific: The significance of the Quad. *Journal of Indo-Pacific Affairs*, 3(2), 15–29.
- [3]. Green, M. J. (2022). Line of advantage: Japan's grand strategy in the era of Abe Shinzo. Columbia University Press.
- [4]. Hall, I. (2021). The strategic rise of the Quad. *The International Spectator*, 56(3), 75–90.
- [5]. Jacob, J. T. (2017). China's Belt and Road Initiative: Perspectives from India. *China & World Economy*, 25(5), 78–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cwe.12215>
- [6]. Jaishankar, S. (2020). The India way: Strategies for an uncertain world. HarperCollins India.
- [7]. Manikumar, B. V., Premanandam, P., & Naga Raju, T. (2024). China's Belt and Road Initiative: Analysis and India's strategic response. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(1), 7896–7900. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i1.11020>
- [8]. Medcalf, R. (2020). Indo-Pacific empire: China, America and the contest for the world's pivotal region. Manchester University Press
- [9]. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. (2021). Quad Leaders' Summit: Joint Statement.
- [10]. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. (2022). India and the Quad.
- [11]. Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan. (2023). Free and Open Indo-Pacific (FOIP).
- [12]. Nanwani, S. (2019). Belt and Road Initiative: Responses from Japan and India—Bilateralism, multilateralism and collaborations. *Global Policy*, 10(2), 284–289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12666>
- [13]. Pant, H. V. (Ed.). (2020). America, India, Japan, and the Indo-Pacific: Strategic Convergence. Routledge.



- [14]. Pant, H. V., & Passi, R. (2017). India's response to China's Belt and Road Initiative: A policy in motion. *Asia Policy*, 24(1), 88–95. <https://doi.org/10.1353/asp.2017.0025>
- [15]. Scott, D. (2019). The Quad: The rise of an Indo-Pacific strategic partnership. *Asia-Pacific Review*, 26(1), 1–28.
- [16]. Tekir, G. (2025). India's strategic response to China's Belt and Road Initiative: The case of economic corridors. *India Review*, 24(3), 331–357. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2025.2514965>
- [17]. The White House. (2022). Indo-Pacific Strategy of the United States.
- [18]. Tow, W. T., & Choong, W. (2020). The Indo-Pacific and strategic competition. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 42(2), 131–158.
- [19]. United Nations. (2022). United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS).
- [20]. United States Department of Defense. (2022). Indo-Pacific Strategy Report.
- [21]. Wilkins, T. S. (2022). The Quad and the Indo-Pacific: Institutional evolution and strategic significance. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 76(5), 521–538.
- [22]. Young, J. (2018). Strategic responses of advanced economies to the Belt and Road Initiative. *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies*, 4(3), 381–399. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2377740018500197>