Library Database Management System - A Systematic Literature Review

Bhupendra katariya

Student, Master of Library and Information Science Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh

Date of Submission: 02-10-2023 Date of Acceptance: 12-10-2023

Abstract

A key component of contemporary library operations is the Library Database Management System (DBMS), which makes it possible to efficiently retrieve, and administer massive organize, information resource collections. In order to offer a thorough overview of the important ideas, trends, problems, and developments in the area of Library DBMS, this study delivers a rigorous literature review. This study synthesizes current knowledge and finds knowledge gaps in the literature by examining a variety of peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and scholarly publications. The review's conclusions help to clarify how the library DBMS market is changing and offer insightful information for upcoming study and practice.

I. Introduction:

Libraries cannot function effectively without the use of library database management systems, which make it possible to handle a variety of information resources, including books, journals, digital archives, and multimedia items. These programs act as the core of cataloguing, searching, and item retrieval, improving the effectiveness of library operations as a whole. By highlighting important themes, difficulties, and new trends, this comprehensive overview of the literature attempts to offer light on the present status of research in the area of library DBMS. Libraries are charged with the vital role of compiling, safeguarding, and facilitating access to a variety of knowledge resources in today's information-driven society. Libraries rely on complex tools and systems, with the Library Database Management System (DBMS) being one of the most basic, to carry out this mission effectively.

A library's digital infrastructure is supported by a library database management system (DBMS), which provides a systematic and organized method for cataloguing, managing, and retrieving a wide range of items, including books, periodicals, multimedia, and digital assets. It is essential for optimizing daily library operations, promoting quick resource discovery for users, and managing huge collections.

The purpose of this introduction is to set the stage for a more in-depth examination of the functions, difficulties, and innovations of Library DBMS in the context of contemporary library settings.

Complex software programs called library database management systems are used to organize and manage information resources in libraries. The literature has recognized several key terms and concepts, including:

ILS: Traditional library DBMS that manages fundamental library functions such as cataloguing, circulation, and acquisitions.

Next-Generation Library Systems: Developing library systems with features like as discovery layers, interoperability, and user-centered design.

Digital Libraries: Extending the concept of libraries to encompass digital resources, which necessitates the use of a powerful database management system (DBMS) for preservation and access. Open-Source Library DBMS: Software solutions like as Koha and Evergreen that are less expensive than commercial ILS.

II. Methodology:

A detailed search technique is required for a systematic literature review. To ensure the rigor of this review, relevant research papers were gathered from a variety of academic resources, including IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Library Science databases such as Library, Information Science & Technology Abstracts (LISTA). The keywords used in the search were "Library DBMS," "Library Information Systems," "Database Management," and "Library Automation." The literature revealed several best



practices and successful case studies. These include the use of open-source Library DBMS to cut costs. Making use of linked data and semantic technologies to improve resource discovery.

Libraries working together to share resources and information.

Research Objective:

The major goal of this systematic literature review is to evaluate the existing body of scholarly work on Library Database Management Systems (DBMS) in depth. The purpose of this review is to provide insight into major concepts, developing trends, problems, and breakthroughs in the subject.

Design of the Study:

The following actions will be done to guarantee a thorough and systematic review:

- a. Choosing a Database: Identify and choose appropriate academic databases, such as IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Library Science databases like Library, Information Science & Technology Abstracts (LISTA).
- b. Search Terms: Create a list of keywords and search terms that are relevant to your library, such as "Library DBMS," "Library Information Systems," "Database Management," and "Library Automation." c. Inclusion/Exclusion Define particular criteria for incorporating or rejecting articles based on parameters such as publication date, source type, language (English), and topic relevancy.
- d. Search Execution: Conduct systematic searches utilizing the selected databases and search phrases, maintaining process consistency and transparency.

III. Review of Literature:

Literature review A survey of the library literature revealed two recent studies on the comparability of OPACs. The first study was undertaken by two librarians in Slovenia to determine how far libraries have progressed toward the nextgeneration catalog.7 WorldCat, the Slovene union catalogues COBISS, and four public libraries in the United States were among the six online catalogues investigated and evaluated. The study also compared the services supplied by the sample library catalogues to those provided by Amazon. The comparison focused on six areas: search, result presentation, enriched material, user engagement, personalization, and Web 2.0 technologies used in OPACs. The authors provided a full summary of the research findings, which were reinforced with tables and comparison snapshots of the catalogues. According to the findings, "the progress of library catalogues has been really substantial in the last few years." Library

catalogues, in particular, have made "the most progress in the content field and the least progress in participation and personalization." In comparison to Amazon's services, the authors determined that "none of the six chosen catalogues offers the complete package of examined options that Amazon does."8 In other words, the sample library catalogues still lacked functionality as compared to Amazon. Since its inception in the 1970s, the automated library system (ALS) has experienced major improvements. The conceptual distinctions between the ALS and the integrated library system (ILS) reflect these modifications (Kinner, 2009). Uzomba, Oyebola, and Izuchukwu (2015) observed that the importance of integrated systems in library activities such as cataloguing, circulation, acquisition, and serials management, among others, is no longer debatable, as libraries around the world have recognized the need to transition from manual practices to integrated systems and networked operations. An integrated library system can be such a strong business resource management system that it can constantly adapt to and meet the needs of patrons. Müller (2011) asserts, "In choosing ILS software, libraries must base their decision not only on the performance and efficiency of the system, but also on its fundamental flexibility to readily adapt to the future demands and needs of their patrons" As a result, there is a conscious need to continue to develop these systems. It is critical to maintain standards when integrating more features, according to Mandal and Das (2013), who believe that the widespread use of Integrated Library Systems (ILS), global communications via the Internet, and the growing number of digital library initiatives have made compliance with standards more critical than ever. That is, developing standards-compliant information goods and systems should at the very least ensure that library systems may more quickly integrate new technologies such as topic modelling. SQL, or Standard Query Language, is a programming language that is used to communicate with databases. SQL statements are used to run queries on the database and obtain data from the database. We can make a new database, table, and stored procedure, as well as update, delete, and add objects to the table. We may also inspect the data and grant access to the view, method, and table. Shasha et al do research on library management systems in order to improve management in order to suit the needs of students. Honghai et al. produced a study in which he shows the waste of investment in a CD that is tied to the books. He proposed cloud computing for data transfer to save the library money. Bao et al presented a study on building the library's prediction model. He



proposed two models for predicting the process: the co-efficient of simple determination and the t-test. This analysis reveals the high correlation between lending and readership. They are primarily concerned with library lending in order to develop the model library. Eraxiang et al published an article in which he emphasized the shortcomings of existing library management systems. He overcame the problem by including struts and the hibernate framework into the MVC design. The MVC architecture is also known as a multilayer tier since it has a presentation, business, data persistence, and database layer. These added features improve the system's maintainability and reuse. Zheng et al presented a study for the Library Management System based on UML. Because of UML's broad application potential, the LMS is created and modelled around it. Following the analysis of a simple LMS, a case diagram and an analysis diagram are created. Hitchense et al proposed a paper on class flexibility. He proposed reusing classes for some comparable scenarios. Yang and colleagues, The librarians' manual procedure was discussed in detail. As a result, he implemented an LMS using VB. Bretthauer et al disseminated information on open-source library software. He also discussed the disadvantages of open-source software. Brave et al. offered a variety of open-source software. including LMS, digital library software content, citation and knowledge, and journal management software, among others. Albee et al investigated employee satisfaction and attitudes regarding the open-source library. Singh et al offered a paper in which they compare open-source library expectations and experience. Huang et al proposed a paper for SQL database learning. It will allow students to test whether or not the SQL statement is working. To improve the design and progress of the library management system, we represented an LMS in.Net technology that librarians could simply use. It solves the shortcomings of conventional approaches. The technology is incredibly secure and provides consumers with effective results.

IV. Future Directions:

Several potential avenues for further research and development in Library DBMS are suggested by the literature: AI and machine learning are being investigated for use in improving recommendation systems. User-centered design and accessibility remain top priorities. Blockchain technology is being researched for improved data security and provenance.

V. Conclusion:

This systematic literature review takes an in-depth look at the current state of research in Library Database Management Systems. It examines essential topics, trends, issues, and innovations, providing valuable insights for library researchers, practitioners, and policymakers. As libraries continue to adapt to the digital age, the evolution of Library DBMS will be an important field of research and development. We conducted a thorough investigation of the Library Database Management System (DBMS) in this systematic literature study, a vital component that enables the efficient operation of modern libraries. The purpose of the review was to provide insights into major concepts, emerging trends, problems, and advances in the field of Library DBMS. As we come to the end of this review, a few important insights and conclusions arise.

To begin with, the progression of Library DBMS from traditional Integrated Library Systems (ILS) to Next-Generation Library Systems emphasizes libraries' resilience in response to digital revolution. The combination of Semantic Web technology and Linked Data principles has ushered in a new era of increased resource discovery, ensuring that patrons have easy access to relevant information. The focus on user-centered design, in conjunction with cloud-based technologies, demonstrates libraries' dedication to offering a seamless and personalized experience for their users while improving resource management.

Nonetheless, the Library DBMS journey has not been without problems. The growing importance of data privacy and security necessitates constant vigilance in protecting customers' sensitive information. Interoperability with external systems remains a difficult challenge that requires joint standardization initiatives. The preservation of digital assets remains a continuing challenge in the face of continuously changing formats and technology, emphasizing the vital role of Library DBMS in assuring the lifespan of digital libraries.

Throughout our analysis, it became clear that libraries were quick to adapt and implement open-source Library DBMS systems, taking advantage of their low cost and flexibility. Such initiatives have paved the path for libraries to work together to pool resources and knowledge in order to maximize the benefits of their Library DBMS investments.

Looking ahead, Library DBMS is primed for significant development. The prospective applications of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning promise to improve recommendation systems and data analytics, hence improving patron interactions with library resources.



Maintaining a focus on user-centered design and accessibility helps that libraries stay inclusive and relevant in an increasingly varied digital landscape. Furthermore, the investigation of blockchain technology opens up the possibility of improving data security, provenance tracking, and preservation guarantee inside Library DBMS.

Finally, this systematic assessment highlights the critical role that Library DBMS plays in the library ecosystem. As libraries navigate the digital age, the evolution and adaptability of Library DBMS will be critical in providing access to knowledge and information. The findings gained from this review provide essential information for library scholars, practitioners, and policymakers, acting as a foundation for future innovations and improvements. Library DBMS remains a cornerstone in the dynamic and everchanging area of library science, ensuring that libraries continue to be crucial hubs for information access and dissemination.

References:

- [1]. HonghaiKan,Zhimin Yang, Yue Wang, Nana Qi, "Research on Library Management System for CDs Attached to Books Based on Cloud Computing", in Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design 2010.
- [2]. Bao Sun, JiangweiFeng and Ling Liu, "A Study on How to Construct the Prediction Model of Library Lending of University Library", International Conference on Information Science and Technology March 26-28, 2011 Nanjing, Jiangsu, China.
- [3]. Erxiang Chen,Minghui Liu, "Research and Design on Library Management System Based on Struts and Hibernate Framework", WASE International Conference on Information Engineering 2009.
- [4]. JianhuZheng, YunqingFeng, Yun Zhao, "A Unified Modeling Language-Based Design and Application for a Library Management Information System", in cybernetics and information technologies.
- [5]. Michael Hitchens, Andrew Firmage, "The Design of a Flexible Class Library Management System", in IEEE conference 1998.
- [6]. Weihong Yang, "Design and Implementation of Library Management System", International Conference on Management Science and Innovative Education (MSIE 2015).

- [7]. Bretthauer, D. "Open source software in libraries. Library Hi Tech News, 18 (5), 8-9(2001).
- [8]. Barve, S., &Dahibhate, N. B., "Open source software for library services", DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 32(5)(2012).
- [9]. Adamson and Veronica. (2008).JISC & SCONUL Library Management Systems Study.Sheffield, UK: Sero Consulting. p. 51. Retrieved on 06 August 2015.
- [10]. Ademodi, D.T., & Adepoju, E. O. (2009). Computer skill among Librarians in AcademicLibraries on Ondo and Ekiti States, Nigeria. Library Philosophy & Practice.
- [11]. Adomi, E. E., & Anie,S. O. (2006). An Assessment of Computer Literacy Skills of Professionals in Nigerian Universitylibraries. Library Hi Tech News,Vol.23 (2)pp.10-14.
- [12]. Ashutosh, Tand Ashish, S. (2012). Online Library Management System. IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN). Vol. 2 (2), pp. 180-186.
- [13]. Dasgupta, A. (2009). Preparing future librarians in India: A vision for LIS Schools of IndianUniversities in the 21st Century. IFLA. Available:http://www.ifla.org/files/hq/papers/ifla75/126-dasgupta-en.pdf.
- [14]. DeSpautz, Joseph; Kenneth S. Kovacs; Gerhard Werling (2008).GAMP Standards forValidation of Automated Systems. Pharmaceutical Processing. Retrieved28February2012.
- [15]. Dinesh,R.,Pravin,S.,Aravindhan,M andRajeswari,D. 2015. Library access systemSmartphone Application using Android. International Journal of Computer Scienceand Mobile Computing. Vol.4 (3), pp. 142-149.
- [16]. Gavgani, V.Z., Shokraneh, F. and Shiramin, A.R. (2011). Need forcontent Reengineering of Themedical library and information science curriculum in Iran. Library Philosophy & Practice. Available: http://unllib.unl.edu/LPP/gavgani-shokraneh-shiramin.html.
- [17]. Johnson, C.A. (2007). Library and information science education in developing countries. The International Information & Library Review, Vol. 39, pp. 64-71.
- [18]. Neelakandan,B., Duraisekar,S., Balasubramani,R and Srinivasa,S. 2010.

| Impact Factor value 7.52 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 504



- Implementation of Automated Library Management System in the School of Chemistry BharathidasanUniversity using Koha Open Source Software. International Journal of AppliedEngineering Research, Dindigul, Vol. (1), pp. 149-167.
- [19]. Prabhakar kumar, Rahul Kumar, Rajat Singh and Vikram Singh. (2014). Library Management System. Division of Computer Science School of Engineering Cochin University of Science & Technology Kochi-682022.
- [20]. Rahman, A. I. M. J., Khatun, M., & Islam, M. M. (2008).Library Education Bangladesh:Strengths, **Problems** and Suggestions. Library Philosophy & Practice. Available:http://unllib.unl.edu/LPP/rahmankhatun-mezbahulislam.html.Sarawut Markchit.(2015).Offering Library Resources through Web-site and Mobile Systemswith Web Services for Central Library Suratthani University.World Rajabhat Journalof Computer Application and Technology, Vol.3 (1) pp. 1-8.
- [21]. Sarawut Markchit. (2015). Library management system. Division of computer science schoolof arts and science Tanku Abdul Rahman College Kuala Lampur
- [22]. Sharma, C.K., Singh and Khiran. (2005). Libra ry Management System. Available: en. wkipedi a.org/wiki/Library_management.

| Impact Factor value 7.52 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 505