Implementing Pair-Work Stratragy In Efl Class: Why?

Joni Alfino¹, Zulfa Amrina², Dewi Mutia³, Widya Rahmadanti⁴, Neil Collin⁵

¹²Dosen Prodi PPG FKIP, Universitas Bung Hatta, Indonesia ³Pamong PPG of SDN 03 Alai, Padang, Indonesia ⁴Mahasiswa Prodi PPG FKIP, Universitas Bung Hatta, Indonesia ⁵Mahasiswa Prodi PGSDFKIP, Universitas Bung Hatta, Indonesia Correspondence author: joni.alfino@bunghatta.ac.id

Date of Submission: 11-01-2025

Date of Acceptance: 23-01-2025

Date of Submission. 11-01-2025 Date of Acceptance. 25-01-2025

I.

II. Introduction

Pair work is a fundamental classroom practice in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) settings, emphasizing active student participation and interaction. It is also a dynamic and interactive teaching strategy widely used to engage students in meaningful communication and collaborative learning. Effective implementation, however, requires a structured approach to ensure that the activity is both productive and engaging. The success of pair work depends significantly on how it is implemented. This process involves thoughtful planning, task design, student preparation, and classroom management strategies tailored to the unique dynamics of each group.

Pairing students effectively is an important aspect of implementation. It can be based on various factors such as language ability, personality, or seating arrangements, depending on the lesson's goals. Depending on the task, pairs may be formed randomly, by skill level, or based on compatibility to foster optimal collaboration.

Teachers play a crucial role in setting up pair work by clearly defining objectives, providing relevant materials, and establishing task instructions. Additionally, pairing strategies—whether random, teacher-selected, or student-chosen—can significantly influence the dynamics and success of the activity. During pair work, instructors monitor interactions, offer support as needed, and assess engagement, ensuring that students remain on-task and benefit from the activity. Concluding the session with feedback or a debrief helps reinforce learning and address challenges encountered during the interaction.

To implement pair work, teachers must first identify tasks that are well-suited to the language proficiency levels of their students and the goals of the lesson. The tasks should be designed to match students' proficiency levels, ensuring they are neither too easy nor overly challenging These tasks

might include role-plays, problem-solving exercises, or information gap activities, all designed to encourage meaningful communication. The teacher's role in setting clear expectations and providing concise instructions is crucial to ensuring students understand their objectives and responsibilities within the activity.

Based on what has been presented above, it can be concluded that the implementation of pair work in EFL classes will run successfully if it isplanned and carriedout well. It consists of careful tasks design in line with learning objectives, clarity of instructions, and monitoring student interactions. Classroom dynamics, such as pairing strategies and balancing proficiency levels, is important to maximize the effective pair activities. The clear time limits, relevant materials, and post-task feedback need to be provided in order to supports the process. Using these practical strategies will create a structured yet flexible environment that facilitates meaningful language practice and collaboration.

Merits of Pair-Work Strategy in EFL Class

Pair work strategy has been attracting the attention of many researchers and they found several benefits of the strategy. Sorch, as one of them, (1999:363) asserted that collaboration had a positive effect on overall grammatical accuracy. In other study, Storch (2005:168) made a comparison of the products (completed texts) of pairs and individuals. The pairs produced shorter and better texts that had greater grammatical accuracy and linguistic complexity, and were more succinct. They seemed to fulfill the task more competently. In a collaborative study, Wigglesworth and Storch (2009:445) found that collaboration (pair work) impacted positively on accuracy although it does not affect fluency and complexity. Indirectly, these three research findings reveal that pair work is able to facilitate students to improve their skill in using language component (grammar) required in

| Impact Factor value 7.52 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 1



International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM) Volume 5, Issue 1, Jan.-Feb., 2025, pp: 283-287 ISSN: 3048-6874

www.ijhssm.org

producing good written texts. In addition, pair work also helps students to write effectively in presenting ideas in their essays.

In a study, Baleghizadeh (2009) highlighted that learners who completed the task in pairs outperformedthose who attempted it individually. Storch (1999) also found that learners who worked in pairs had more opportunities to communicate in the target language than those in teacher-fronted classrooms. These findingsimplicitly imply EFL teachers on how to create a strategy in which students have more opportunities to communicate in the target language. Baleghizadeh and Farhesh (2014) investigated the power of pair work to students's motivation and found that pair work had positive contributions to learners' motivations. This means that pairwork can be employed to stimulate students's motivation. Shin, et al (2015) found that students who worked together in pairs assisted each other, thereby recalling more correct idea units from the texts and eliminating extraneous information in their writing. These research findings have shown that pair work really, in general, gives a lot of advantages if it is employed in EFL classrooms. The findings discussed above on the merits of pair work are also related to aspects required in writing.

Some researchers also found the effect of pair work toward students' writing performance. Shehadeh (2011:286) found that collaborative writing had an overall significant effect on students' L2 writing. However, this effect varied from one writing skill area to another. Specifically, the effect was significant for content, organization, and vocabulary, but not for grammar or mechanics. Globally, her findings are the same as Storch's. However, she also found a different result from Storch's study (2005). Her finding showed that collaborative writing did not have a significant effect on grammar. Meanwhile, Storch found that pairs produced shorter and better texts that had greater grammatical accuracy. The difference was assumed by the fact that they used the subject with different proficiency (based on IELTS score). The subjects' score (average) in Shehade's study was 4. Meanwhile, the subjects' score (average) in Storch's study was 6.5. Although research findings by Shehade on grammar accuracy is different from Storch's, Shehadeh found the significant effect of pair work on some other aspects of writing. These aspects were found to be a problem for students working individually (Sabarun:2006, Attamim: 2007, Ulfiati: 2010, and Isnawati: 2010)

Jafari and Ansari (2012: 128) found that students working in pairs had better writing accuracy than those working individually. This

finding can enrich the theory on the merrits of pair work found by Shehadeh (2011) and Storch (2005). In addition, this finding (students working in pairs had better writing accuracy) also has answered research finding by Kasman (2004) and Irawati (2008) in which college students and university graduates were found to have low academic writing. It means that having students to work in pairs can help them to minimize their problem in writing.

Chen (2012:25) and Kwon (2014: 85) investigated the benefit of collaborative writing viewed from students's voices. Their finding was that most students perceived their collaborative writing experiences quite positively. Students' perceived benefits of collaborative writing were numerous, ranging from opportunities to exchange ideas to development of communication. This finding indirectly completes other research findings that usually discuss the power of pair work viewed from teacher voices (based on students works). In other words, the studens' voices need to be compared with teachers' voice in order to come to the more convincing result on the merits of pair work.

Biria and Jafari (2013:164) found that practicing in pairs really improved the overall quality of the learners' writing even though the fluency of written texts did not change significantly. Studets need to be encouraged in order to have good writing quality and this research finding has showed that pair works can meet the target. In other words, pair work can guide students to have a good quality writing.

Meihami, At last, Meihami, Varmaghani (2013:47) found that collaborative work (pair work) could improve students' grammatical accuracy in their upcoming writings. Their findings match what Storch (1999:363) has found on the effect of pair work on students grammar in writing. Having grammatical accuracy is a must in writing activities because sentences with grammatical patterns can mislead and will not be understandable. Working in pairs was found to be useful for students to improve their grammatical accuracy because writing activites where students correct each other and share ideas with one another guide students to better grammatical accuracy.

Talking about the advantages of pair work startegy, Storch (2007:143) also states that pairwork has strong pedagogical and theoretical supports. From the pedagogical aspect, Biria and Jafari (2013:166) affirm that pair-work offers language learners more chances to use the language. From a theoretical point, this strategy is in line with language learning that emphasizes the importance of



International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM) Volume 5, Issue 1, Jan.-Feb., 2025, pp: 283-287 ISSN: 3048-6874

www.ijhssm.org

interaction for learning in order to develop learner's language competence. Dobao (2012:41) assertsthat the role of interaction and peer collaboration are considered important in L2 development. In addition, Xiao (2008:106) also points out that paired-peer review gives students benefits in terms of EFL writing proficiency, transferable skills, and self-efficacy. Besides, Mulligan and Garofalo (2011:9) emphasize that collaborative writing (pairwork) is a non-threatening approach for students that results in purposeful usage of the target skills language across and demonstrable improvements in writing. In short, pair-work does not only function as an activity to be employed in teaching-learning process, but it also gives advantages to students in writing performance.

Based on research findings by Storch (2005 and 2009), Jafari and Ansari (2012), Biria and Jafari (2013) and Meihami, Meihami, and Varmaghani (2013), it can be concluded that pair work can develop students's writing performance. Specifically, it helps students to produce better written texts in terms of content, organization, vocabulary, and grammatical accuracy.

In addition to research findings on the effect of pair work toward students writing performance, there are several benefits of employing pair work in EFL writing. A number of researchers have found them in some terms.

Pair work, in fact, contributes to students' idea development. In a study, Storch (2005:153) found that collaboration afforded students the opportunity to pool ideas and provide each other with feedback. This finding can be a solution and is useful for EFL learners studying writing skill. Writing requires students to develop ideas into be a paragraph and an essay. Students tend to have problems fulfilling this requirement if they write individually.

Pair work in EFL writing also can make students active. In another study, Storch (2007:143) found that although there were no significant differences between the accuracy of tasks completed individually and those completed in pairs, most pairs engage actively in discussing language. They tend to reach correct resolutions. Making students active in an EFL class is a must because if the students are active, the teaching-learning process will run well and expected targets can be achieved. Pair work, based on Storch's finding, can be one alternative solution to make it come true.

The next power of employing pair work in EFL writing is on language improvement. Dobao (2012:40) states that writing tasks completed in pairs offer learners an opportunity to collaborate in

the solution of their language-related problems, construct new language knowledge, and produce linguistically more accurate written texts. It seems that this finding provides more convincing evidence on the power of pair work in EFL writing because students get merrits of working in pairs.

Another advantage of employing pair work is that it can make students enjoy learning. Shehadeh (2011:286) found that most students in the pair work setting have an enjoyable experience. Making students enjoy the teaching learning process is important because if students enjoy class, the teacher can teach well and maximaze the learning achieved. Shehadeh's finding proves that pair work can be employed to make students enjoya the teaching learning process.

Paying attention to research findings on the effect of employing pair work in EFL writing toward students' writing performance and its power in several aspects, writing in pairs is apparently beneficial and very useful for students. Pair work in EFL writing enables students to produce good quality written texts. Then, the findings can minimize the belief that writing is a complicated and multifaceted task (Cahyono and Widiati, 2011; Tsai and Lin, 2012; and Richard and Renandya, 2002). In addition, pair work can be one of solutions to writing problems met by most students working individually as stated by some research findings (Kasman, 2004; Irawati, 2008; Ahmed, 2010; Barrett and Chen, 2011; Zakaria and Mogaddam, 2013; Hammad, 2014; Al Seyabi and Tuzlukova, 2014; and Javid and Umer, 2014).

Maftoon and Ghafoori (2009) investigated the effect of the homogeneous

and heterogeneous collaborative interaction on the development of EFL learners' writing skill. In the study, they found that both groups, very similarly, had significantly higher post-test scores in all three writing tasks. Ghafoori (2009) conducted a study on the effect of homogeneous and heterogeneous dvadic interaction on the development of EFL Learners' Writing Skill. His research finding was that there was no statistically significant difference between G-Hom and G-Het participants in terms of their progress from pretest to posttests. There was also no statistically significant difference among the scores obtained from the three posttest tasks. Then, Mahmoud (2011) also investigated theeffect of homogeneous grouping and heterogeneous grouping on high school students' EFL Writing Achievement. Here, he wanted to know which one was better. His finding was that homogeneous pairs showed a better performance than heterogeneous ones. From the three research findings above, it can be concluded



International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM) ISSN: 3048-6874

Volume 5, Issue 1, Jan.-Feb., 2025, pp: 283-287

www.ijhssm.org

that homogeneous and heterrogeneous groups have similar power on the the development of EFL learners' writing skill.

Eckley (2014) conducted a study to discover what type of cooperative learning has the best result /educational outcomes. His research finding was that students from heterogeneous groups performed better working than homogenous groups. Fauziah and Latief (2015) investigated the effect of working in Heterogeneous Homogeneous pairs on the students' writing skill. Their research finding was that the quality of descriptive essays produced individually by the students from heterogeneous group was on the average significantly better than the quality of descriptive essays produced by the students from the homogeneous group. What was found by Eckley Fauziah& Latief above heterogeneous group has better result than homogeneous group to students' achievement.

Final Remarks

EFL students are required to have good performnace in learning English. One of strategies that can be implemneted is pair work. This strategy provides several significant benefits for language acquisition and learner engagement. opportunities are provided to practice speaking, listening, and problem-solving in a supportive environment. The students are encouraged for active participation, fosters peer learning, and helps build confidence as students collaborate to achieve shared goals. To get maximal-good result, the tasks should be planned carefully, students are paired well, and clear instructions are provided.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A. H. 2010. Students' Problems with [1]. Cohesion and Coherence in EFL Essay Writing in Egypt: Different Perspectives. Literacy Information Computer and Education Journal, 1 (4): 211-221.
- Al-Sevabi, F. & Tuzlukova, V. 2014. Writing [2]. Problems and Strategies: An Investigative Study in the Omani School and University Context. Asian Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities, 3(4): 37-48.
- Attamim, Z. 2007. The Implementation of [3]. Cooperative Learning to Improve students' Proficiency in Writing paragraph Muhammadyah University of Ponorogo. Graduate Unpublished Thesis. Malang: Program, State University of Malang.
- Baleghizadeh, S. 2009. Investigating the [4]. Effectiveness of PairWork

- Conversational Cloze Task in EFL Classes. TESL Reporter, 42 (2): 1-12.
- Baleghizadeh, S. & Farhesh, S.2014. The [5]. Impact of Pair Work on EFL Learners' Motivation. MEXTESOL Journal, 38 (3); 1-
- Baret, N. E. & Chen, L. 2011. English Article [6]. Errors in Taiwanese College Students' EFL Writing. Computational Linguistics and Chinese Language Processing, 16 (3): 1-20.
- Biria, R. & Jafari, S. 2013. The Impact of [7]. Collaborative Writing on the Writing Fluency of Iranian EFL Learners. Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 4 (1): 164-175.
- [8]. Cahyono, B. Y. &Widiati, U. 2011. The Teaching of English as a foreign Language in Indonesia.Malang: State University Malang Press.
- Chen, C. W. 2012. Collaborative Writing in [9]. an EFLUniversity Classroom Context: Voices from Students. Asian Journal of English Language Teaching, 22: 25-43
- Dobao, A. F. 2012. Collaborative Writing Tasks in the L2 Classroom:Comparing Group, Pair, and Individual Work. Journal of Second Language Writing, 21: 40-58
- Eckley, M. E. 2014. What Type of [11]. Cooperative Learning Has the Best Result /Educational Outcomes. Unpublished Thesis. Fredonia: the State University of New York at Fredonia.
- Ghafoori, N. 2009. A Comparative Study of the Effect of Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Dyadic Interaction on the Development of EFL Learners' Writing Skill. Unpublished Dissertation. Teheran: Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch.
- [13]. Hammad, E. A. 2014. Palestinian University Students' Problems with EFL Essay Writing in an Instructional Setting. Journal of Second and Multiple Language Acquisition, 2 (1): 1-
- [14]. Irawati, E. 2008. Pre-Writing and Drafting Strategies of Graduate Students in Writing Term-Papers in English: ACase Study. Unpublished Dissertation. Malang: Graduate Program, State University of Malang.
- [15]. Isnawati, I. 2010. Improving the English Writing Skill of the Third Semester English Department Students of STAIN Tulungagung Using Task-Based Language Teaching. Unpublished Thesis. Malang: Graduate Program, State University of Malang.



International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)

Volume 5, Issue 1, Jan.-Feb., 2025, pp: 283-287

www.ijhssm.org

- [16]. Jafari, N. & Ansari, D. N. 2012. The Effect of Collaboration on Iranian EFL Learners' Writing Accuracy. International Education Studies, 5 (2): 125-131.
- [17]. Javid, C. Z. & Umer, M. 2014. Saudi EFL Learnres' Writing Problems: A Move Toward Solution. Paper presented in the Global Summit on Education. WorlConference.net, Kuala Lumpur, 4-5 March.
- [18]. Kasman, S. 2004. The Effect of Using Formal Outlines in Writing Exposition. Unpublished Dissertation. Malang: Graduate Program, State University of Malang.
- [19]. Kwon, C. 2014. Students' Perspectives on Group Work and Use of L1: Academic Writing in a University EFL Course in Thailand. Second Language Studies, 33(1): 85-124.
- [20]. Latief, M. A. 2014. Research Methods on Language Learning: An Introduction. Malang: UM Press.
- [21]. Maftoon, P. & Ghafoori. 2009. Comparative Study of the Effect ofHomogeneousand Heterogeneous Collaborative Interaction on the Development of EFL Learners' Writing Skill. The Journal of Applied Linguistics, 2 (1): 127-158.
- [22]. Mahmoud, I. A. E. M. 2011. The Effct of Homogeneous Grouping versus Heterogeneous Grouping High on SchoolStudents' EFL Writing Achievement. Electronic Thesis. Al-Ain: The Faculty of Education, United Arab Emirate University.
- [23]. Meihami, H., Meihami, B., & Varmaghani, Z. 2013. The Effect of Collaborative Writing on EFL Students' Grammatical Accuracy. International Letters of Social Humanistic Sciences, 11: 47-56.
- [24]. Mulligan, C. & Garofalo, R. 2011. A Collaborative Writing Approach: Methodology and Student Assessment. The Language Teacher, 35 (5): 5-10.
- [25]. Richards, J. C. & Renandya, W. A. 2002.Mthodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice.Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [26]. Sabarun, 2006. Improving Writing Ability of Semester Students of English Department of State University of Malang through Cooperative Learning Strategy. Unpublished Thesis. Malang: Graduate Program, State University of Malang.

[27]. Shehadeh, A. 2011. Effects and Student Perceptions of Collaborative Writing in L2. Journal of Second Language Writing,20: 286-305.

ISSN: 3048-6874

- [28]. Shin, S. Y. 2015. The effects of L2 Proficiency Differences in Pairs on Idea Units in a Collaborative Text Reconstruction Task. Language Teaching Research: 1-21.
- [29]. Storch, N. 1999. Are Two Heads Better Than One? Pair Work and Grammatical Accuracy. System, 7:363-374.
- [30]. Storch, N. 2005. Collaborative Writing: Product, Process, and Students' Reflections. Journal of Second Language Writing, 14: 153-173.
- [31]. Storch, N. 2007. Investigating the Merits of Pair Work on a Text Editing Task in ESL Classes. Language Teaching Research, 11 (2): 143-159.
- [32]. Storch, N. 2011. Collaborative Writing in L2 Contexts: Processes, Outcomes, and Future Directions. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics. 31: 275-288.
- Tsai, Y. R & Lin, C. F. 2012. Investigating the Effects of Applying Monitoring Strategy in EFL WritingInstruction. International Journal of Business and Social Science. 3 (13): 205-
- Ulfiati, T. 2010. Cohesive Devices in Papers [34]. Written by English Department Students of State University of Malang. Unpublished Thesis. Malang: Graduate Program, State University of Malang.
- [35]. Wigglesworth, G. & Storch, N. 2009. Pair versus Individual Writing: Effectson Fluency, Complexity and Accuracy. Language Testing, 26(3): 445-466.
- [36]. Xiao, J. 2008. Paired Peer Review in a Distance-taught EFL Writing Course. The Journal of Asia TEFL, 5 (4): 85-115.
- [37]. Zakaria, A. A. O.& Mugaddam. A. R. H. 2013. An Assessment of the WrittenPerformance of the Sudanese EFLUniversity Learners: A Communicative Approach to Writing. World Journal of English Language, 3(4): 1-10.

| Impact Factor value 7.52 | ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal Page 5

ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Journal